Introduction: Quo warranto shall de facto ultra vires venire contra factum proprium?

A priori, a fortiori, and stare decisis, it is res ipsa loquitur malum in se, when quo warranto de facto officers acting in bad faith and employing bad behavior in a manner void from conception shall they venire contra to factum proprium and in order to not audi alteram partem to violate that nemo iudex in causa sua to obstruct their own ultra vires matters; and similarly

Cum quaeritur qua auctoritate ("under whose authority") magistratus illegitime creati ("improperly appointed officials") munere fungantur, quorumque acta ab initio irrita sunt ("whose acts are invalid from the outset"), num hi contra eos quos laeserunt actiones instituent aut defendent ("will they bring or defend claims against those they have harmed"), dum probationes alterius partis, quibus illegitime creatos esse ostenditur, audire recusant ("while refusing to hear the other side's evidence that they were improperly appointed")?

This document is a legal-advocacy introduction on behalf of a private relator, who as a graduate business professor with law school exposure, became a victim and then an expert over a period of 24 years with estoppel and tolling attached, regarding the legal history of specific and removable liabilities harming the United States government and its taxpayers -- elucidating a massive ongoing government fraud conspiracy harming him, his family, his businesses, the United States, the State of California, their taxpayers, and their children, linked to and resulting in the contemporary criminal network of Jeffrey Epstein and his associates — a whistleblower and victim deprived rights under color of law by corrupted public offices who may sue in the government's name, and otherwise, under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730, Whistleblower Protection Act, and who may sue to remove the liabilities he has elucidated, and to void all of their changes to the government from conception, but not limited to the same, pursuit of relief and justice from this ongoing conspiracy. The argument in four sentences is found below:

1. **The law supplies at least fifty-one tools** to disqualify, remove, and void the changes of officeholders who operate outside the law — with no exception carved out for any office, rank, or robe (Section 1).
2. **Those officeholders swore oaths and made commitments** — at confirmation, on the record — that no one is above the law; the record shows how each of the named officeholders violated his own oath or commitment (Section 2).
3. **The violations are not isolated.** Conspiracy law makes each member liable for all of it, and the conduct traces one engineered chain — from Nixon's GOP through the Epstein network to the present administration — with the relator's own 2002–2026 record as the bridge (Sections 3 and 4), with estoppel and tolling attached.
4. **The remedy is removal, voiding, and a hearing in the right court** — the Ninth Circuit, where the record was delivered to the DOJ's USAO in Western WA on 08/29/2024, and is now missing from the government's/DOJ's own Epstein files (Sections 5 and 6), and yet ordered forth by all three branches of the federal government, including but not limited to (A) Judge E. Sullivan's court (the Judicial Branch, who has ruled that the government/DOJ under the auspices of Todd Blanche, Donald Trump, Marco Rubio, Peter Hegseth, and/or others have lost their presumption, deference, and/or regularity); and demanded released in unredacted form by the Epstein Files Transparency Act (EFTA), brought forth by both (B) the Executive Branch and (C) by Congress. A criminal element of de facto officers is RICO obstructing the same in a "bad

faith" and bad behavior manner, which the law has a removal and voiding remedy for, as follows.

The section guide

- **Section 1 — The Toolbox.** The fifty-one provisions and mechanisms of law that disqualify, remove, and void. Under each tool, where the record supplies one, a dated *Violations in the record* line names the acts that violated it. This is the law that applies.
- **Section 2 — The Commitments and the Performance Gap.** What an oath is as an agreement, what fraud does to that agreement, the oaths each role takes and how the record shows each broken, the doctrines that convert breach into removal — the seats in the order they were filled (1991 to 2022) — each commitment followed by the performance gap that violated it.
- **Section 3 — The Ongoing Conspiracy.** The law that abandons the one-actor-at-a-time frame: once the meeting of minds is shown, each conspirator answers for all of it. Includes the two-selves doctrine (official capacity vs. private capacity vs. the counterfeit third category) and the perpetuity consequence — the clocks that cannot run for the concealers.
- **Section 4 — The Engineering.** The chronology of the conduct itself: the manufacture of de facto officers from the WW2 financiers and Nixon through Iran-Contra, *Bush v. Gore*, 9/11, and Trump–Russia; the Epstein–Kissinger–Edge orbit that financed and networked it; and the relator's own documented bridge into it, 2002 to the present.
- **Section 5 — The Deaths Without Limitations.** The end state the engineering was built to purchase: liability killed without trial — bribery laundered as campaign finance, retroactive immunity, and limitations clocks — and why each fails.
- **Section 6 — The Venue.** Where the case belongs and why: the Ninth Circuit, not the circuit of the de facto. The delivery record, the missing Report, the Cannon record, and the relator's request — an emergency read by Justice Kagan and an injunction.
- **Section 7 — The Release Orders.** The merged Epstein Files Release Orders record: the Act and the four judges who ordered the Epstein/Maxwell files forward (the precise language and the dates), the presumption-of-regularity record across the courts, the access suits and their theories, the cover-up order and the unity thesis, the classification bar, the conditional Cannon-seal analysis, the legal corner, the mooting section, and the origins timeline that predates the candidacy. Section 7.11 adds the Oct. 26–28, 2026 national-security inversion — the denials, the government's own classified-material records, the statutory vise, and the concealers concealing the evidence against themselves — returned to the Introduction's own quo warranto, ultra vires, venire contra factum proprium, de facto officers, nemo iudex in causa sua as their own judges: under whose lawful authority can they conceal these dangers (themselves) to our country?
- **The Sources.** The verification record for every layer: which anchors are [V], which positions are the relator's dictated [RI], and where each was verified. Tier conventions: [V] verified public record; and [RI] relator-verified and/or reasonable inference under 31 U.S.C. § 3730.

Section 1 — The Toolbox: No Liability Without a Tool, No One Above the Law Without Exception

A priori, a fortiori, and stare decisis, it is res ipsa loquitur malum in se, when quo warranto de facto officers acting in bad faith and employing bad behavior in a manner void from conception shall they venire contra to factum proprium and in order to not audi alteram partem to violate that nemo iudex in causa sua to obstruct their own ultra vires matters.

When asked about impeachment, Congressman Jamie Raskin answered: "It's not a taboo with us, but it's not a panacea. It is a tool in the toolbox." (Rep. Jamie Raskin, *Meet the Press*, Sept. 2026) [V]. Impeachment is one tool in the toolbox to remove liabilities from the government.

The law supplies roughly fifty more tools to disqualify, remove, and to void the changes of liabilities to the government -- those who operate outside of official capacity and not in defense, protection, nor support of the rule of law -- especially those attacking and/or eroding the Constitutional protections of the people, who are the government -- and especially those seeking to reestablish the rule of man.

The thesis of this introduction, on behalf of the relator, the government, and the people: there is no liability without a tool, and no person for whom an exception is carved out — not any person, not any officer, not any government employee, not any judge, not any Justice, not any President, not any Congress.

To that end, the Constitution is a tool to be used to defend the rule of law and was literally constructed as an anti-fascist tool meant to guard the majority of people against the return of the rule of man, monarchs, dictators, totalitarian regimes, and privatized socialist systems for the few over the majority -- which are tools of fascism.

Furthermore, this is largely a Christian nation, which abides by the 10 Commandments, and where Jesus was provably an anti-fascist, and so here the altruistic teachings of Jesus and the 10 Commandments are compatible with the anti-fascist nature of the Constitution, and the anti-fascist nature of Christianity, each advocating that no man is above these laws meant for every person. Socrates agreed and also argued the same for himself to his own death.

Those who advocate for the rule of law are protected by the United States Constitution to do so, and if entrusted with the authority of the people, are entrusted based on the public's trust-- but also based on an agreement known as the oath of office, to support and defend the Constitution and thus rule of law, and which is inviolate -- and these people are only vested the public's trust and protected by the oath agreements vesting their authority if their behavior is within official capacity or the rule of law.

But if outside of their oaths, official capacity, and outside of the rule of law, they are not protected by the Constitution or rule of law in a manner that allows them to remain in public office is settled. The Constitution specifies the same by way of self-executing laws, including but not limited to, by disqualification from public offices in plain and simple text.

Conversely, those who advocate fascism, the rule of man, a unitary executive, those who make targets of anti-fascists by seeking to deprive them of their Constitutional rights under color of law, and/or who meet minds to not separate Constitutional powers -- by meeting minds in an ongoing conspiracy to subvert and defraud the government, one they have overtly furthered towards an unlawful end by unlawful means -- instead violate the checks and balances of our just system meant to ensure that no one is above the law -- and thus are not protected in a manner that allows them to remain to pretend nor usurp these public offices. This matter has been settled no fewer than 50 different ways across US law.

It is not a coup, treason, sedition, conspiracy, nor insurrection to plainly state that the text of the law

does not support that those who operate outside of official capacity, outside of the rule of law, and/or who disqualify themselves from public office under the corrupt guise of official capacity -- must be removed from office if so plainly states the text of the law.

And if they have acted in bad faith with bad behavior under the guise of official capacity, especially in an ongoing conspiracy -- then they must also have their changes to the government voided at conception -- to not allow bad faith actors to make even one change to our just system, or system of justice -- especially if the same brings injustice or violations against the people, their government, and their rule of law -- by seeking to return to the rule of man, where not everyone is above the law, depriving the people of their rights under color of law here, and well outside of official capacity.

The same is the difference between the rule of law within official capacity and the rule of man without official capacity -- such that the rule of law leaves no one above the law -- and the rule of man leaves one man or a minority rule above the rule of law, above the people and their government, instead of on behalf of the people and their government, which almost always results in abuses of the people and their government, depriving them of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness Such liabilities need to be removed from public offices, and are in fact removable in a self-executing manner, but not limited to the same. There are many tools is this toolbox.

It is res ipsa loquitur malum in se (naturally evil), ultra vires (when de facto government officials behave unlawfully, badly, and in bad faith, in a voidable manner, to deprive others including the government and its whistleblowers of their rights under color of law, and thus outside of official capacity/immunity) -- and quo warranto (under whose authority can they do so if the bad faith, badly behaving, and unlawful conduct is outside of official capacity and void from conception, against the Constitution, and against the rule of law, if any?) There is no such legal authority in our Constitutional system of government.

And quo warranto (under whose authority?), can such a de facto officer, behaving badly, and in bad faith, and whose changes to the government are voided at conception corruptly intend to, and actually and unlawfully overtly further venire contra factum proprium (argue cases as lawyers or as judges if they have been vested with the public's trust by, and/or themselves are, voidable bad faith and badly behaving de facto officers – if they are overtly furthering an ongoing RICO conspiracy with corrupt intent to benefit themselves and others disqualified from appointing them, to bring harm against the people and their government, directly or indirectly – in order to unlawfully remain in power to usurp taxpayer funds, things of value, and to RICO obstruct with decisions void from conception in an ongoing conspiracy with others with estoppel and tolling attached)? There is no such legal authority in our Constitutional system of government.

And quo warranto (under whose authority?) can such a de facto officer, behaving badly, and in bad faith and whose changes to the government are voided at conception corruptly intend to, and actually and lawfully overtly further ongoing conspiracy to nemo iudex in causa sua (act as their own judges for their own legal matters in what is outside of the rule of law and official capacity), in order to not audi alteram partem (to not hear the speech and evidence, proving their de facto nature and voidable changes, by those whose rights the ongoing de facto RICO conspirators are depriving under color of law) -- a prior, a fortiori, and stare decisis? There is no such legal authority in our Constitutional system of government.

A priori (“from the outset”), *a fortiori* (“with even greater reason”), atque ex iure iam constituto (“under law already settled by precedent”—older and stronger law has settled the matter), res ipsa malum suapte natura patefacit (“the thing itself reveals its inherent wrong”).

Cum quaeritur *qua auctoritate* (“under whose authority”) magistratus illegitime creati (“improperly appointed officials”) munere fungantur, quorumque acta ab initio irrita sunt (“whose acts are invalid

from the outset"), num hi contra eos quos laeserunt actiones instituent aut defendent ("will they bring or defend claims against those they have harmed"), dum probationes alterius partis, quibus illegitime creatos esse ostenditur, audire recusant ("while refusing to hear the other side's evidence that they were improperly appointed")?

Ita principium violant ("principles are violated" as) *ne quis in causa sua iudex sit* ("no one should judge their own case"), atque sua ipsorum acta, quae potestatem legitime concessam excedunt (for "their own acts beyond lawful authority"), impediunt.

Some of the rules of law that remove public liabilities from public offices are disqualifying and self-executing

Self-executing laws exist in the United States, and the qualification and disqualification laws are the proof. Article II, § 1, cl. 5 requires that the President be a natural born citizen, have been fourteen years a resident within the United States, and have attained the age of thirty-five years — and so no court needs to hear the case of the four-year-old who wants to run for office because he, she, or they want(s) to be the President: the four-year-old is immediately disqualified from office, because the law is self-executing and specifies it.

The scholarship is settled on the point and on its extension to the disqualifications of this inventory: Baude and Paulsen, *The Sweep and Force of Section Three*, 172 U. Pa. L. Rev. (2024) — Fourteenth Amendment § 3 "is self-executing, operating as an immediate disqualification from office, without the need for additional action by Congress," [V as published] on the same footing as the other qualifications; and Prakash and Smith, *How to Remove a Federal Judge*, Yale L.J. (2006) — the Good Behavior tenure itself supplies the removal mechanism, by ordinary judicial process (the writ of scire facias), without impeachment and without Congress creating anything new. [V on the thesis as published]

The lesson for the inventory: some of the disqualification laws are immediately applicable because the laws are self-executing — the tools below enforce what the law has already done, rather than asking a court to make the disqualification exist. [RI — the application]

The summary of 51 tools that disqualify, remove, and/or void the changes of the liabilities to the government of the people

The inventory, by category:

1. **Constitutional disqualifications.** Fourteenth Amendment § 3 (disqualification of insurrectionists); Article I, § 3, cl. 7 (an impeachment judgment extends to removal *and* disqualification from offices of honor, trust, or profit under the United States); Article II, § 4 (treason, bribery, high crimes and misdemeanors).
2. **Crimes of office.** 18 U.S.C. §§ 2381–2385 (treason; rebellion; insurrection; seditious conspiracy; advocacy of overthrow); § 2071 (concealment, removal, or mutilation of records); § 201 (bribery).
3. **Judicial-branch self-policing.** 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 (judicial-council misconduct and disability proceedings); 28 U.S.C. § 455 (mandatory judicial disqualification); *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868 (2009) (due-process disqualification standard).
4. **Common-law and statutory vehicles.** Quo warranto; *Norton v. Shelby County* void-*ab-initio* doctrine; the Federal Vacancies Reform Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 3345–3349.

5. **The licensing channel.** State-bar disbarment and suspension — the only tool that runs entirely outside the federal system.
6. **Ethics and enforcement mechanisms.** The Ethics in Government Act (referral mechanisms for violations by officials); qui tam under 31 U.S.C. § 3730 (a private relator sues in the sovereign's name).
7. **The "whoever" criminal set** (18 U.S.C. unless otherwise noted): §§ 3–4; § 371; §§ 1961–1968 (RICO); §§ 1503/1505; §§ 1512/1513; §§ 1621/1623; § 1001; §§ 1341/1343/1346; §§ 1951/1952; §§ 600/610; § 953; § 666; § 1071; § 1924; §§ 793–798; §§ 241/242; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983/1985; 44 U.S.C. §§ 3106/3314; 52 U.S.C. § 20511.

The inventory of the 51 tools that disqualify, remove, and/or void the changes of the liabilities to the government of the people

The inventory's point is structural: **not one of these provisions carves out an exception for ANY office, rank, or robe.** That absence of exception is the statutory meaning of the pledge — "no one is above the law" — as applied to any person, officer, government employee, POTUS, SCOTUS, and Congress. Anyone arguing the contrary is operating outside of official capacity, and argues here for this matter with fruits from a poisonous tree. No law has to be popular by those violating the same in order for that law to ring true.

Icarus has not flown too close to the Sun by merely acknowledging that the Sun exists. And if those violating these laws are Icarus, then it is their frivolous and cherry-picked arguments that melt like wax after they've flown to close to the Sun, the light, and the truer, older, and stronger laws that have long been settled, but for their need to have Icarus overthrow the Sun.

And the inventory answers with its own record: under each tool below, where the record supplies one, a *Violations in the record* line carries the date(s) on which the acts, omissions, and/or conduct of Trump, the GOP in Congress or otherwise, the GOP SCOTUS justices, Aileen Cannon, Todd Blanche, and/or their proxies, hires, and/or appointments violated that tool — naming those with whom the violation was committed, specifically where the record names them, generally where the others are too many.

(a) Bars to office arising from the officer's own conduct or status:

1. **Fourteenth Amendment § 3** — insurrection and rebellion; the officer who engaged cannot hold office. (*Trump v. Anderson*: Congress-only enforcement; the Colorado Supreme Court's insurrection finding stands undisturbed.)
   Violations in the record: Trump — Jan. 6, 2021, the conduct the Colorado Supreme Court found him to have engaged in (Dec. 19, 2023), the finding left undisturbed by *Trump v. Anderson* (Mar. 4, 2024) — with John Eastman and Rudy Giuliani (the Georgia indictment, Aug. 14, 2023, as charged) and, generally, the 147 GOP members of Congress who objected on Jan. 6–7, 2021 to the count that same conduct interrupted. [V on the finding and dates; RI on the application]
2. **Article I, § 3, cl. 7** — impeachment judgment "shall not extend further than to removal … but the party convicted shall nevertheless be liable … to disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States."
   Violations in the record: the tool's non-use is the exhibit: two impeachments of Trump (Dec. 18, 2019; Jan. 13, 2021) and two GOP-Senate acquittals (Feb. 5, 2020, 52–48; Feb. 13, 2021, 57–43) — the disqualification half of the judgment never reached. [V on the record; RI on the omission-as-exhibit]

3. **Article I, § 6, cl. 2** — incompatibility; election or appointment to an incompatible office vacates the seat.
   Violations in the record: the dictated incompatibility frame: it is incompatible for those disqualified from public office to enter an election for a seat that vacates, as it is for that disqualified person to appoint someone they are disqualified from appointing into a vacating seat, if we are being honest. The frame applies to Trump; to the GOP and other members of Congress who voted not to hear evidence to not impeach impeachable behavior; and to the judges appointed by Nixon, GHW Bush, Reagan, GW Bush, and Trump, who joined and furthered an ongoing conspiracy to commit treason and/or elections fraud disqualifying behavior with South Vietnamese, Iran, the Contras, and Russia, and who judges they were disqualified from appointing furthered this ongoing conspiracy in the 2000 elections, resulting in overt furtherance of appointments by those disqualifying from appointing them.
4. **Article I, § 9, cl. 8 — foreign emoluments; Article II, § 1, cl. 7 — the President's domestic emoluments** — forbidden conditions of holding office.
   Violations in the record: Trump — the Qatar-gifted Boeing 747-8 announced as accepted for his use in May 2025, and the foreign-patronage stream (trademarks, hotels, licensing) running since 2017 — with foreign governments generally, too many to name. [V as reported; RI on the characterization]. Trump and Jared Kushner companies, receiving $2-3 billion dollars from the UAE and/or (9/11's) Saudis.
5. **18 U.S.C. § 2381** — treason; conviction carries "inability to hold any office under the United States."
   Violations in the record: the dictated thesis, never adjudicated: Nixon and the Chennault affair (1968) — with the South Vietnamese government; Reagan and GHW Bush and Iran-Contra, resulting in and disqualifying GW Bush and his judges if we are being honest — the arms-for-hostages sales to Iran (a state sponsor of terrorism since 1984) and the Boland Amendment violations — with the Iranian government and the contra network; and Trump–Russia per the settled record (the Sater–Cohen email, Nov. 3, 2015; Durham's June 21, 2023 testimony) — with Russia and the GOP. [RI — dictated; V on each anchor]
6. **18 U.S.C. § 2382** — misprision of treason; binds "whoever."
   Violations in the record: Cannon — the July 15, 2024 dismissal and the seals (Jan. 21, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026); Blanche — the 2026 EFTA noncompliance; the DOJ's own withholding (the Wyden-disclosed FD-302s, Sept. 30, 2026): each aware of, and concealing, the disqualifying record of others.

And per the dictated frame: the GOP members of Congress who did not impeach and instead obstructed the investigations into Trump–Epstein–Russia 2016 — and the insurrection, by extension, having done the same. For Congress also, misprision of a felony where appointments, nominations, and confirmation hearings seat those who have adhered to, comforted, and/or aided those involved in treason and/or extended insurrection meant to conceal treason. And the disqualifying crimes of elections fraud, gerrymandering, lawfare, obstructive pardons/clemency, and stealing/destroying/concealing government documents to conceal those giving aid, comfort, and/or adherence to enemies — each is misprision of treason. Here we must also add the SCOTUS justices who invented retroactive immunity for the disqualified people disqualified from appointing them and involved in treason — who then sought to conceal that treason, linked to their appointments, by way of retroactive immunity for those appointing them. This is the law, per the relator's dictated position. [V on the acts; RI — dictated on the misprision characterizations]

7. **18 U.S.C. § 2383** — insurrection; conviction renders the offender "incapable of holding any office under the United States."

Violations in the record: Trump — Jan. 6, 2021, the conduct the Supreme Court of Colorado finding reached. [V on the finding; RI on the application]

8. **18 U.S.C. § 2384** — seditious conspiracy; binds "whoever."
Violations in the record: adjudicated against others in the same conduct: Stewart Rhodes (convicted Nov. 29, 2022) and Enrique Tarrio (convicted May 4, 2023) — with each other and their members; Trump was not within official capacity when he sought to overtly further misprision of treason with Russia. [V on the convictions; RI on the extension]

9. **18 U.S.C. § 2385** — advocating unlawful overthrow; conviction renders the offender "ineligible for employment by the United States or any department or agency thereof, for the five years next following his conviction."
Violations in the record: Trump advocated hanging Mike Pence to remain in power — the "Hang Mike Pence" chants of the mob he incited, and the reported statements in the same vein [V as reported; RI on the advocacy characterization]; he advocated and incited an insurrection to remain in power — the Jan. 6, 2021 "fight like hell" speech, demanding that those he incited march onto the Capitol or they would have no government, and other inciting quotable statements [V on the speech]; and "Russia, if you're listening" (July 27, 2016) — the invitation to the enemy to interfere with U.S. elections, which they did per John Durham and others [V on the statement and the testimony]. And for the insurrection/elections-interference/espionage set: five federal prosecutors after grand juries specified evidence beyond a reasonable doubt to proceed — Smith, Windom, Duree, Gaston, and Hulser, sworn — with removal by disqualification where these cases were heading. [RI — dictated; V on the BRD record as recorded]

10. **18 U.S.C. § 2071** — concealment, removal, or mutilation of government records; the offender "shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States."
Violations in the record: Trump — the Mar-a-Lago indictment (June 8, 2023; superseded July 27, 2023), charged with Walt Nauta and Carlos De Oliveira; and the missing Janson Report — delivered Aug. 29, 2024 to USAO Seattle, absent per the Oct. 10, 2026 full-corpus sweep — against the DOJ/USAO custodians. Cannon and GOP SCOTUS have concealed the government's records, those of Smith and of Janson, which include at least Janson's Russia-Trump-Epstein files all three branches of the federal government have otherwise demanded forward, for them not to be concealed nor con-sealed. [V on the indictment and sweep; RI on the offense characterization]

11. **18 U.S.C. § 201** — bribery; the official "may be disqualified from holding any office of honor, trust, or profit."
Violations in the record: the benefit record, never adjudicated as this offense: Thomas — with Harlan Crow (1991–2023; the 2023 admission); Alito — with Paul Singer (the 2008 Alaska trip); Gorsuch — with Brian Duffy/Greenberg Traurig (the Apr. 16, 2017 ranch contract); Trump — the Qatar 747-8 (announced May 2025). [V as reported; RI on the characterization; allegations remain allegations] And the Oct. 28, 2026 addition: Blanche — while acting AG, the IRS deal promising no investigation of the President's and his family's tax returns (the thing of value delivered by the Department to the appointer before the oath was even taken; Section 2's named-officers block). [V as reported: UPI; RI on the thing-of-value characterization; allegations remain allegations]

12. **18 U.S.C. § 872** — extortion by an officer "under color of his official authority."
Violations in the record: NAACP v. DHS (D.D.C., filed Sept. 18, 2026) alleges armed federal agents at polling places and voter-roll extortion — with DHS/ICE, under color of official authority. And per the relator's dictated record: the complex threats to stop him from whistleblowing and reporting disqualifying crimes to the government (the public) are extortion — and per *Pinkerton*/joint-and-several tortfeasor principles, the answer extends to every conspirator in the relator's complex matter. [V — complaint allegations; U — dictated, per the stalking-and-threats record; RI on the extension]

13. **18 U.S.C. § 912** — falsely assuming or acting as a federal officer — the de facto and pseudo-officer's own statute; binds "whoever."
Violations in the record: the de facto thesis itself: the GOP six and Cannon pretending official capacity

— the statute's own subject, the falsely assumed officer. And the dictated extension: Trump, Blanche, and/or those they have hired and appointed falsely assuming or acting as federal officers — some to such an extent that they have been removed, and all of their changes to the government voided (the Lake/USAGM voiding, Mar. 7, 2026; the Halligan installs, Nov. 24, 2025 and Aug. 21, 2026; the Chattah de-facto ruling, Aug. 17, 2026). [RI on the characterization; V on the rulings]

14. **5 U.S.C. § 7311** — accepting or holding federal employment conditioned on loyalty to the Constitution; disloyalty forfeits eligibility.

Violations in the record: Cannon, Blanche, and the GOP six — post-oath conduct against the Constitution each swore loyalty to as the condition of holding. And the dictated measure for the whole set: which of the million-plus officers across the three federal branches have and have not been loyal to the Constitution and their oaths — upon the relator's DOJ/DOS 2024 deliveries, the 2014 entrapment, and the 2002–2026 disclosures, and/or otherwise — by enforcing the same, or by abandoning, attacking, obstructing, and/or corrupting the same; and *Citizens United* (2010) read as the unlawful legalization of bribery for the sake of corrupting the government, whose participants later aided, comforted, and/or adhered to provable enemies. [RI — dictated on the measure; V on Citizens United, 558 U.S. 310 (2010)]

15. **5 U.S.C. § 3331** — the oath itself ("support and defend the Constitution"); breach evidences conduct outside official capacity and outside *Harlow* immunity.

Violations in the record: Thomas (the Crow record; the 2023 admission); Trump (Jan. 6, 2021; the House referral, Dec. 19, 2022); Alito (the Jan. 2021 upside-down flag); Blanche (the 2026 EFTA conduct) — each post-oath, under color of the office the oath conditions. And the dictated measure: who has received bribes, gifts, self-dealings, financial assets, and remained in office — and how they have corrupted the law for their own matters in order to remain above the law here. [V on the records; RI — dictated on the measure]

16. **5 U.S.C. §§ 208–209** — conflicting financial interests and prohibited compensation.

Violations in the record: Gorsuch — the buyer undisclosed on the Apr. 16, 2017 filing; Roberts — the Kendal Price complaint (2023); Thomas — the Crow-financed transactions, incl. the 2014 house purchase. And per the dictated measure (tool 15): the bribes/gifts/self-dealings/financial-assets record and the corrupting of the law for their own matters — this tool carries the financial-interest exhibits. [V as reported; allegations, never adjudicated; RI — dictated on the measure]

17. **50 U.S.C. § 843** — the Internal Security Act of 1950 applied to knowing members of the Communist Party and of organizations having as a purpose the overthrow of the government — a status-based criminal bar with no office exception.

Violations in the record: the dictated frame, none adjudicated as yet: who here had their family investigated for links to the communist parties of Europe; who here was engineered by, joined, was financed by, and/or adhered to, comforted, and/or aided historically communist countries and/or their representatives here. The frame differentiates those unlawfully overthrowing the government from those seeking to reestablish an overthrown or illegitimate government — and organizational purpose is further and commonly defined by an organization's stated mission and vision statements. [RI — dictated; honest: no adjudicated instance dated in the record as yet; the tool stands ready] And the Oct. 28, 2026 mapping per the relator's dictated record: the knowing-membership structure is the same structure the RICO record already carries — § 1962(c)'s "any person" who participates in the enterprise's affairs and § 843's "knowing member" are the same membership test measured at different intensities — so the network the tool-46 harbor layer maps is the same membership roster: the Russia layer (the elections fraud; the oligarch proxies; the financiers), the Epstein layer (the comixing; the 1,971 named associates the obstruction now protects), the GOP/Trump engineering layer, the tech set (Microsoft, Google, Yahoo, Verizon — used to build the qui tam, then written into the complaint circa 2016), the state layer (UCSF, UC Davis, the SACPD channel), and the picks layer (Cannon; the SCOTUS majority) — each member's knowledge measured by the purposes each furthered: the

elections fraud, the concealment, the protection of the trafficking's financing. [U — the relator's dictated record; RI — the structural mapping; allegations, not adjudicated; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]

(b) Removal, voiding, and enforcement mechanisms — the tools against the de facto officer and his acts:

18. **Congressional impeachment** — Article II, § 4; the tool Raskin says is "one" of many.
Violations in the record: the specific actors, so the tool names them: the House impeached Trump twice — Dec. 18, 2019 (abuse of power, 230–197–1; obstruction of Congress, 229–198–1) and Jan. 13, 2021 (incitement of insurrection, 232–197) — and the GOP-controlled Senate acquitted twice: Feb. 5, 2020, 52–48 on abuse of power (Mitt Romney the only GOP vote to convict — the first senator in history to vote to convict a president of his own party), and Feb. 13, 2021, 57–43 on incitement (the GOP votes to convict: Richard Burr, Bill Cassidy, Susan Collins, Lisa Murkowski, Mitt Romney, Ben Sasse, Pat Toomey). The tool was used; the disqualifying judgment withheld by the senators named. [V on the votes; RI on the omission]

19. **Congressional expulsion** — Article I, § 5, cl. 2.
Violations in the record: none expelled for the Jan. 6, 2021 conduct — no objector removed by either chamber; the non-use is the omission-exhibit per the omissions-are-acts doctrine (Section 3). And the dictated frame: the non-expulsion rests on the same misprision of treason as the non-impeachment (tools 2, 6, 18) — the same concealment is why no objector was expelled. [RI — dictated; honest on the record]

20. **Judicial councils** — 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 (Judicial Conduct and Disability Act): misconduct complaints against any federal judge, including a Supreme Court Justice, processed by the circuit's chief judge and judicial council — the Kagan-enforcement mechanism, already on the books.
Violations in the record: the relator's Oct. 7, 2026 public-interest demand — 22 numbered demands, incl. the §§ 351–364 complaints against the GOP justices — opens the channel. [V — the published instrument]

21. **28 U.S.C. § 455 recusal** / *Caperton v. A.T. Massey* **(2009)** — "shall disqualify himself" where impartiality might reasonably be questioned; probability of bias suffices.
Violations in the record: Alito's May 29, 2024 recusal refusal in the Jan. 6 cases; Thomas's refusals in the Trump matters; Cannon's non-recusal in the prosecution of her own appointer (the July 15, 2024 dismissal), with the 2026 reporting of the retribution probe steering filings toward her Fort Pierce courthouse. And the dictated application: Trump, Blanche, all of the DOJ under them, all of the military tribunals under them, all of the GOP SCOTUS, Aileen Cannon, and any GOP judge who hears any of the relator's matters or any of the matters of Jack Smith — each acting as their own judges for their own legal matters: nemo iudex in causa sua, venire contra factum proprium, rex, res ipsa loquitur malum in se — against the plain text of disqualification, stare decisis, a priori, and a fortiori. [V as reported; RI — dictated on the applications]

22. **Statutory quo warranto** — D.C. Code §§ 16-3501 et seq.: "by what authority" the officer holds office; the void-from-conception vehicle.
Violations in the record: the vehicle is this Introduction's demand side; the record the writ would test — the Aug. 29, 2024 USAO Seattle in-camera acceptance of the Janson Report — is already documented (Section 6). And the dictated frame: under whose authority can badly behaving and bad faith de facto officers — seeking to overthrow the government and/or appointing and hiring the same, all acting outside of official capacity — bring venire contra factum proprium against anyone for anything, if their changes are void at conception? There is

no such legal authority. And per *Pinkerton*: everyone in the Trump–GOP–SCOTUS–SDFL conspiracy and/or their proxies serving them outside of official capacity by depriving others — the relator, the federal government, taxpayers, and others — of their rights under color of law. [RI — dictated]

23. **5 U.S.C. § 3348** — the FVRA voiding provision: an action taken by one not validly serving under the Act "shall have no force or effect" and "may not be ratified" — § 3348(d) — the statute that voids the de facto officer's acts from conception.
Violations in the record: adjudicated against Trump's own hires and appointees: Kari Lake's USAGM acts held void (D.D.C., Mar. 7, 2026); the interim U.S. attorney installs held unlawful (the Nov. 24, 2025 Comey/James/Bolton dismissals; the Aug. 21, 2026 block, 28 U.S.C. § 546); the application to Cannon's acts is the relator's. [V on the rulings; RI on the extension]

24. **28 U.S.C. § 546 /** *Noel Canning* **line** — invalidity of improperly installed interim officers.
Violations in the record: the same record: the Halligan installs (Nov. 24, 2025; Aug. 21, 2026) and the Ninth Circuit's unanimous Chattah ruling (Aug. 17, 2026) — "Nor can the Attorney General create a de facto Acting U.S. Attorney by delegating all of the functions and duties of the U.S. Attorney to someone else" — Bondi's designations, Blanche's DOJ. And per the same dictated quo-warranto frame (tool 22): under whose authority can these de facto officers bring venire contra factum proprium against anyone for anything — the voided installs are themselves the answer. [V; RI — dictated]

25. *Norton v. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance*, 542 U.S. 553 **(2004)** — courts may compel agency action unlawfully withheld, and an agency has no discretion to disregard its statutory duties — the ultra vires principle; the voiding principle applied to appointments is argument on settled doctrine.
Violations in the record: Talwani (D. Mass.) — the Feb. 20, 2026 ruling that IEEPA does not authorize the imposed tariffs, and the June 25, 2026 holding that the election-order sections are "legally void as they are ultra vires." And per the same dictated frame (tools 22, 24): the ultra vires principle applied against the void-at-conception changes of bad-faith de facto officers and their appointers. [V as reported; RI — dictated]

26. **28 U.S.C. § 2106 (appellate vacatur) and § 1651 (All Writs)** — the power to undo judgments rendered by judges who should not have heard the case.
Violations in the record: the vacatur requests of the Oct. 7, 2026 demand and of Section 6 — and the dictated application: the tool reaches all six GOP SCOTUS justices and every judge appointed by Nixon, GHW Bush, Reagan, GW Bush, and Trump, as so says the law, per the relator's dictated position — the power to undo the judgments of the judges who should not have heard the cases. [RI — dictated; V on the appointments record]

27. **18 U.S.C. § 401** — contempt of court; the Sirica and Boasberg tool.
Violations in the record: Boasberg — the Apr. 16, 2025 probable-cause contempt finding against the administration; Sullivan's 2026 contempt warnings in *Phang v. Blanche* (the June 25, 2026 preliminary injunction; the unredaction orders; the sworn-declaration demand — invoking his own Ted Stevens record). And the dictated application: Cannon, the Eleventh Circuit, SCOTUS, and anyone in contempt of the two federal judges ordering (in addition to Congress) the unredacted Epstein files — Sullivan and Kollar-Kotelly — with the files not being brought forward, is contempt of court. [V; RI — dictated on the application]

28. **Disbarment** — state-bar authority over every lawyer, and every judge is a lawyer; removal of the license ends the robe without impeachment.
Violations in the record: Giuliani (N.Y., July 2024; D.C. followed) — Trump's lawyer; Eastman

(the CA recommendation, May 2024) — the fake-elector theory's architect; and the Oct. 7, 2026 demand opens the same channel for the others. [V as reported]

29. **Ethics in Government Act** — Judicial Conference referral of a justice's disclosure violations to DOJ [V, per Fix the Court].
Violations in the record: Thomas's disclosure record (the 2023 admission) — and no referral made: the omission is the exhibit, and the Oct. 7, 2026 demand asks the Judicial Conference to act. And the dictated frame: the Judicial Conference knows the laws of disqualification and knows the events that trigger them — and no GOP judge has been removed for the treason-linked appointments; the failure to refer is itself the misprision frame of tool 6. [V on the record; RI — dictated on the omission]

30. **Elections** — Article I, § 2 and the Seventeenth Amendment; the Constitution's default remedy for disqualifying officers.
Violations in the record: Nov. 5, 2024 — the election this Introduction's thesis voids (the Section 4 chain); and the 2026 interference orders against it (Talwani, June 25, 2026; the nationwide injunction, Aug. 11, 2026). [V on the dates; RI on the thesis]

31. **Twenty-Fifth Amendment § 4** — the incapacity tool for the Presidency.
Violations in the record: the dictated frame: a President who almost exclusively operates outside of official capacity cannot meet his oath of office — and if he cannot meet it, he is incapacitated for § 4 purposes. The Cabinet's non-use despite the record this Introduction marshals remains the omission-exhibit. [RI — dictated; honest] And the named-officers layer (Oct. 28, 2026 addition): Hegseth and Rubio — the two sworn Cabinet officers of Section 2's named-officers block whose § 4 duty is measured against their own records (the Signal transmission; the Cannon pipeline and the foreign-policy-withholding basis) — each swore the § 3331 oath that Section 2's tools 5–7 carry, and each is named in Sullivan's 2026 regularity ruling; their non-use of § 4 against the record is the omission measured by their own oaths. [V on the anchors; RI — dictated on the application]

32. **Qui tam** — 31 U.S.C. § 3730: any person may bring; § 3730(h) forbids retaliation; the relator's reasonable inference and competent evidence ground investigation.
Violations in the record: against the relator: the obstruction of his 2013 qui tam (the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack; the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure) and the concealment of the 2024 deliveries. Against the channel: *Polansky* (June 16, 2023) and *Zafirov* (Sept. 30, 2024; upheld 11th Cir. Sept. 1, 2026) — the attacks on the device itself. And the dictated frame: where there is fraud against the oath of office, there is no agreement with the government to vest that person with authority to remain — void at conception as well — and thus their changes are fruits from a poisonous tree, as is any evidence in support of the contrary. [as recorded per the settled record; RI — dictated] And the Oct. 28, 2026 third-party layer [U — dictated, the relator's record]: Thompson third-party qui tam violations — happening at the Bohemian Club and in the French and Canadian leadership circles, including via Kissinger — the § 3730(h) third-party reach (retaliation through intermediaries rather than the employer alone) mapped onto the same reaches the bridge records: the Club audience (the brother channel above), the French channel (the Sarkozy/medals layer), the Canadian cluster (the XTM/QRails/2Shores fintech lane), with Kissinger the common board seat (Theranos above). And the Oct. 28, 2026 second deep-dive resolution: Thompson is the *Thompson v. North American Stainless, LP* doctrine — 562 U.S. 170 (2011) (Scalia, J., the fiancée fired for his fiancée's EEOC charge: "no textual basis for making an exception to it for third-party reprisals") — the record's own architecture carries it as "the Thompson third-party qui tam doctrine extending FCA retaliation protection to third parties harmed for the relator's sake" (the mutual-responsibility web's design goal three). So the

violations named are of the doctrine itself: third parties — family members and close associates — harmed for the relator's protected activity, at the Bohemian Club and the French and Canadian leadership circles. [V — the case and the doctrine; citation verified 562 U.S. 170 (2011), correcting the settled record's 391; U — dictated on where the violations happened; RI on the mapping; allegations, not adjudicated; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]

33. **Criminal process itself** — indictment and conviction trigger the statutory bars above; the ordinary machinery applies to extraordinary targets.
Violations in the record: in real time: Trump's N.Y. conviction (May 30, 2024, 34 counts); the federal indictments (June 8 and Aug. 1, 2023 — interrupted July 15, 2024, never adjudicated); the Comey (Sept. 25, 2025) and James (Oct. 24, 2025) indictments — dismissed Nov. 24, 2025 as the work of unlawfully installed prosecutors. And the but-for principle applies: but for those operating outside of official capacity — due process denied, perjury employed, rights deprived under color of law — could any conviction or criminal process have occurred? The relator's own record is the example: his entrapment, the perjury used against him, his wrongful prosecution, and the coerced/blackmailed/extorted plea deal using criminal threat thereafter — but for the deprivation of his rights, there would have been no criminal process. [V; RI — the but-for frame; U — dictated, the relator's record]

(c) "Whoever" statutes — criminal law applicable to any person, with no office, immunity, or robe exception carved out:

34. **18 U.S.C. §§ 3–4** — accessory after the fact; general misprision of felony.
Violations in the record: Cannon — maintaining the seals after the prosecution ended (Jan. 21, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026); Blanche's DOJ — the Aug. 24, 2026 protective notice of appeal against Sullivan's unredaction order — concealing, after the fact, the record of others. And the dictated chain: the GOP SCOTUS and Cannon — the chain since Nixon through to Cannon, Trump, Blanche, Quiñones, and the UK royals (Section 4's bridge). [V on the acts; RI — dictated on the characterization]

35. **18 U.S.C. § 371** — conspiracy to defraud the United States.
Violations in the record: the Georgia indictment (Aug. 14, 2023, as charged); and Boasberg's pretext findings against the DOJ (Mar. 11/13 and Apr. 3, 2026 — "mere pretexts," "no evidence whatsoever … other than displeasing the President"). And per the dictated class: everyone in the relator's 2002–2026 ongoing conspiracy who received government funds in any manner. [V; RI on the conspiracy characterization; RI — dictated on the class, U per the relator's record]

36. **18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968 (RICO)** — § 1962 binds "any person."
Violations in the record: the Georgia RICO count (Aug. 14, 2023, as charged); the retribution pattern (the Mar. 24, 2026 tally — seven charging episodes against twelve named enemies; the Fort Pierce steering, 2026); and the relator's § 3730(h)/RICO frame for his own record (Section 4). And per the dictated class: everyone in the relator's 2002–2026 ongoing conspiracy who received government funds in any manner. [V as reported; RI on the pattern; RI — dictated on the class, U per the relator's record]

37. **18 U.S.C. §§ 1503, 1505** — obstruction of judicial and administrative proceedings.
Violations in the record: Mueller Volume II (published Apr. 18, 2019 — the obstruction episodes; "does not exonerate"); the § 1512 counts of the Aug. 1, 2023 indictment (interrupted); Boasberg's Apr. 16, 2025 contempt finding. And per the dictated class: everyone in the relator's 2002–2026 ongoing conspiracy who received government funds in any manner. [V; RI on the continuation; RI — dictated on the class, U per the relator's record]

38. **18 U.S.C. §§ 1512–1513** — witness tampering; retaliation against witnesses and informants.

Violations in the record: the NAACP v. DHS allegations (Sept. 18, 2026 — intimidation of voters and witnesses); and the relator's own documented stalking-and-threats record (2023–2026, per the aircraft-intimidation-log protocol). And per the dictated class: everyone in the relator's 2002–2026 ongoing conspiracy who received government funds in any manner. [V — complaint; U per the protocol; RI — dictated on the class] And the government-side dated example (Oct. 28, 2026 addition): Maria Medetis Long — the career federal prosecutor removed in Apr. 2026 after telling U.S. Attorney Quiñones there was insufficient evidence to charge Brennan — retaliation, from inside the Department, against the person who carried the exculpatory witness posture to the grand jury (Section 2's Quiñones entry). [V as reported: Reuters]

39. **18 U.S.C. §§ 1621, 1623** — perjury and false declarations.
Violations in the record: the adjudicated sibling: the 34 falsified-business-records counts (convicted May 30, 2024); no perjury conviction appears in the record — and no slot is filled by paraphrase. [V; honest] And the dictated frame on the conviction itself: Trump's felonies — which involve falsified or fraudulent business records — leave him, and thus his regime, with "inherent credibility" issues as crimes of moral turpitude; and felonies in general separately disqualify any one from government employment and/or office. [RI — dictated; V on the conviction] And the live exposure layer (Oct. 28, 2026 addition): Sullivan's ten-day sworn-declaration orders in *Phang v. Blanche* — sworn declarations demanded of the officials whose EFTA certifications the § 7.11 record contradicts (Blanche's Jan. 30, 2026 "no files are being withheld… on that basis" against the NSCOU memo's three documents "identified that remain classified") — a § 1621 setting already in motion at the docket tier: sworn statement, materiality, falsity all staged, uncharged and honestly so noted. [V — the docket and press record; honest on the uncharged posture; RI on the staging]

40. **18 U.S.C. § 1001** — false statements to the government; binds "whoever."
Violations in the record: the Comey indictment (Sept. 25, 2025 — charged false statements; dismissed Nov. 24, 2025); the Nauta and De Oliveira § 1001 counts (July 27, 2023 superseding indictment). [V] And the dictated application: the oaths of office of Trump, the GOP in Congress, the GOP SCOTUS, the GOP judges, the GOP prosecutors, Aileen Cannon, and the others bound them as to their false statements to the government — as did any of their other statements used to RICO obstruct the broader grand conspiracy specified in the relator's online knowledge base (the deployed Sovereign Knowledge Corpus — the legal arguments, circumstances, and fact-sources held there). [RI — dictated] And the perjury statements made by the officers who unlawfully stopped the relator on Sept. 20, 2014 — statements their own MVARS video and body cameras refuted wholesale, across their patterned perjury [U — dictated, the relator's record; the stop one day before the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure, and the arresting agency implicated in his qui tam at least a week earlier, per the settled record] — voided their agreement by oath via the false statements made to the government: they swore to support, defend, and protect the Constitution, which included the relator's due process rights; the oath as the agreement is voided, and thus their changes to the government voided from conception — and thus his entrapment foiled, and his wrongful conviction void as a matter of law: not yet adjudicated, but a matter of provable fact. [RI — dictated on the voiding; U on the perjury record]

41. **18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343, 1346** — mail and wire fraud, including honest-services fraud; the inducement statute for Section 1.
Violations in the record: the hush-money concealment adjudicated May 30, 2024 — with Michael Cohen (the Aug. 21, 2018 plea, "Individual-1"). [V; RI on the mapping] And the

dictated application: if Trump, the GOP in Congress, SCOTUS, the GOP prosecutors, and/or their proxies ever used the internet in any manner that overtly furthered the conspiracy to defraud the government and/or to obstruct the relator in any manner, then their online communications became part of the RICO obstruction of the government fraud — or wire fraud to defraud the government — when *Pinkerton*, estoppel, tolling, and overt furtherance of the ongoing conspiracy are furthered in any manner. [RI — dictated]

42. **18 U.S.C. § 1951 (Hobbs Act)** — extortion under color of official right; **§ 1952** — interstate travel in aid of racketeering.
Violations in the record: the dictated record now supplies the dated extortion: extortion by the GOP's District Attorney in Sacramento County, and/or by UC Davis's Monica Steinhart — for evidence of crimes against patients at UC Davis while receiving government funds — the demand that the relator hand over his personal agenda and his evidence against them or else: crime threats, and theft of his earned wages — which is also the threat to subject him to human trafficking [U — dictated, the relator's record; the Steinhart extortion layer per the settled register (E-1014/E-1015): the falsification demand refused, the ripped personal calendar, the extorted return of his property and his last paycheck under the threatened false campus-police complaint; UC Davis's government-funds setting verified (record years $941M/$1B+)]. And at the DA's office, the relator was extorted to discredit himself with a no-contest plea or face prosecution for other charges, based on the perjury of the arresting agency — whom he had implicated in his qui tam at least a week earlier [U — dictated; the Sept. 20, 2014 stop, the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure, and the week-tell per the settled record]. And the dictated extension: the GOP SCOTUS furthered the elections-bribery conspiracy with *Citizens United* — whose principal, David Bossie, with the Trump-Russia-GOP-Epstein conspiracy's campaign manager (Bannon) — and Harlan Crow, who met with the relator's brother after he bribed Thomas (the dictated characterization; the gift record is Section 2's), but before Thomas and Cannon conspired to attack Special Counsel and qui tam authorities and/or seal the evidence of Harlan Crow meeting the relator's brother — and where now Cannon's sealing decision is to be heard by Thomas and the others who are functionally acting as judges in their own matter, subversively. [RI — dictated; V on *Citizens United* and the Bossie/Bannon record per the settled records; U on the Crow-brother meeting per the brother-channel record]

43. **18 U.S.C. §§ 600, 610** — election bribery and intimidation.
Violations in the record: NAACP v. DHS (Sept. 18, 2026) — armed agents at the polls, the "Unlawful Voter Initiative," the Minneapolis record — with DHS/ICE. [V — complaint allegations] And the dictated record of the intimidation: ample examples by the GOP SCOTUS, Trump, the GOP, and/or others — including the leak of Jack Smith's investigation methods against Cannon's unlawful order; the threats to deploy troops at the polls; the gerrymandering by SCOTUS; the threats of revocation of birthrights; the threats of deportation to third-party countries; the threats to attack mail-in votes; the threats to require proof of ID for voting; the threats of no future elections; the preemptive threats of elections interference before the elections and their results; the threats of taking voting machines and ballots; and/or other threats. Much of these threats are also extortive — where those facing them may vote for Trump and the GOP so as to not lose their birthrights and not be deported (the tool-42 cross-tie). [RI — dictated; V on the anchors as reported] And the BRD layer: Jack Smith and four other prosecutors testified evidence beyond a reasonable doubt for the elections-interference/intimidation crimes [as recorded]; the insurrection was also a type of election intimidation — as was Trump's call to possibly hang Mike Pence, and his threats to Pence to cede power to Trump unlawfully — BRD, per Smith et al. [as recorded; RI — dictated on the characterization]

44. **18 U.S.C. § 953** — Logan Act; unauthorized citizen diplomacy; binds "any citizen."
Violations in the record: Giuliani's 2019 Ukraine freelancing — with Lev Parnas and Igor Fruman — the conduct at the core of the first impeachment (Dec. 18, 2019); never charged as this offense due to RICO obstruction of justice joining Pinkerton. [V on the record; RI on the characterization]

45. **18 U.S.C. § 666** — bribery in programs receiving federal funds.
Violations in the record: the KKR/GMR hub — the ~$1.66B federal-contracts table and the retaliation record of Section 4. [RI — dictated; V on the contracts table]

46. **18 U.S.C. § 1071** — harboring a fugitive from justice.
Violations in the record: the dictated harbor layer (Oct. 28, 2026): the conspiracy itself is the harbor — each concealment of a co-conspirator's crime is itself an overt act of furtherance, and the thing harbored is the whole of what each member seeks to protect. The chain out of which all their crimes were born, per the relator's dictated record: the Roche/Kelly qui tam retaliation conspiracy — the Cal-OSHA established co-employers luring the relator into a lethal non-position within a lethal operations environment linked to the murder and endangerment of children trafficked into illegal medical experiments by government contractors defrauding the government — an ongoing fraud conspiracy comixing its financing with child sex trafficker Jeffrey Epstein; expanded by Edge Foundation billionaires and UCSF (the State of California) at East Bay Express, then through their network of government contracts, grants, and taxpayer funds comixed through Roche at Jackson Lab, Stanford, and UC Davis (where SACPD RICO obstructed and deprived rights) — serviced by Henry Kravis's KKR companies; obstructed in parallel with what began to unfold against Epstein in Florida, and now RICO obstructed for the 1,971 named Epstein associates. The relator was blowing the whistle on the trafficking and murder of children in illegal medical experiments by 2004, specifying the same in depositions obtained by legal fraud and coercion linked to EFTA's Wildensteins and Hoffmanns; the obstruction layer — Bush (who then met with the relator's brother), the GOP (named with Bush by 2014, prior to the entrapment), and Mueller (the first of three special counsels — whose office financed Henry Erlich's operations at CHORI and Roche, operating in a lethal manner: the drawbridge, both sides — the published record names it: "endangerment of children funded by Mueller at CHORI-Roche under Henry Erlich," the relator's own published statement, in-corpus); then the pivot to University of Phoenix, bought by Kravis's and Edge Foundation's Leon Black's Apollo (a consortium including the University of California's State Street), with Barr's Verizon (Barr met with the relator's brother; the relator digitized his evidence against the Epstein/GOP/Trump/Russia orbits 2013–2014 on his Verizon phone, then Barr became the President's Attorney General) and Leon Black's purchase of his communications, linked to Edge Group's Marissa Mayer; and the H&R Block/HSBC/Safra layer — each linked to Epstein by Safra and Black. By 2013–2014 the relator began the largest qui tam — taken from him a week after he implicated SACPD (Scott Jones, Daniel Dailey, Morrison England) — and the people he named conspired to engineer Trump into office; Mueller and Barr then RICO obstructed with the GOP and Trump post-disqualification (treason, sedition, insurrection, and/or elections fraud with Russia, which the relator had also named by his entrapment); Gates, Edge Foundation billionaires, Epstein, and others who could affect the relator's entrapment met with Epstein in person before and after it (the date Lev Parnas's lawyer threatened the regime with); the tech set (Microsoft, Google, Yahoo, Verizon) written into the complaint circa 2016; and the refused oligarch-proxy robotics-financing approach — after which Trump and the GOP were engineered into power and the proxies RICO obstructed ever since. Per Pinkerton, this answers why and what each conspirator seeks to harbor, RICO obstruct, and misprision: all of their crimes, each and together, which they overtly furthered — every concealment of any member's crime is the

harbor's act. [U — the relator's dictated record; RI per Pinkerton on the harbor theory; allegations, not adjudicated; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing] And the close of the layer, per the Oct. 28, 2026 dictation: except the law and the facts seem to prove they are not allowed to do this — to the relator, nor to the country. That is what they seek to conceal: all of the crimes each and all of them are responsible for, harming America, American troops, taxpayers, children, the relator, his family, and his businesses — the concealment's object is the whole of the harm, and the harbor holds every one of them. [U — dictated; RI — the synthesis on the verified substrates]

47. **18 U.S.C. § 1924** — unauthorized retention of classified material.
Violations in the record: the dated pair now exists (Oct. 28, 2026 additions). First, the § 7.11 record: CBS News's reporting of the FBI NSCOU March 2025 email and its attachment "Epstein - Classified Document Memo.docx" — three documents "identified that remain classified" in the Epstein files (the see-through FBI document; "Epstein Transparency Project - Classified Material"; two CDs with classified materials) — retention without authority, in an Act whose only lawful basis for withholding the Attorney General said was never used. Second, the Signal record: The Atlantic's publication of the detailed attack plans shared on an unsecured commercial channel — the Secretary of Defense's own transmission of national-defense material (tool 47's retention paired with tool 48's transmission; Section 2's Hegseth entry). Neither charged as § 1924 — the honest note: the record stands at the reported tier, and the tool no longer stands empty. [V as reported; honest on the uncharged posture; RI on the characterization]

48. **18 U.S.C. §§ 793–798** — the espionage-provision set; unauthorized retention and transmission of national-defense material.
Violations in the record: Trump — the Mar-a-Lago indictment (June 8, 2023, 31 § 793(e) counts; superseded July 27, 2023 with Nauta and De Oliveira), interrupted July 15, 2024, never adjudicated. [V]

49. **18 U.S.C. §§ 241–242; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985** — conspiracies against rights, color-of-law deprivation, and their civil counterparts.
Violations in the record: the retribution mapping (Comey, James, Bolton, Powell, and the others, Sept. 2025–Apr. 2026) as the color-of-law pattern; the relator's C1/C3/C5 record (Section 4); and the Fort Pierce probe's own color-of-law theory as its mirror image. [V on the records and findings; RI/U per the settled mapping]

50. **44 U.S.C. §§ 3106, 3314** — records custody and destruction penalties.
Violations in the record: the missing Janson Report (delivered Aug. 29, 2024; zero pages per the Oct. 10, 2026 sweep); the 2026 EFTA noncompliance (the missed Federal Register deadline; the unexplained redactions); the Wyden FD-302 disclosure (Sept. 30, 2026). [V; RI on the offense]

51. **52 U.S.C. § 20511** — federal election-record protections.
Violations in the record: the 2024–2026 election-records layer: the SAVE/Confirmed-Citizen-Lists directives held legally void (Talwani, June 25, 2026); NAACP v. DHS (Sept. 18, 2026). [V as reported; RI on the thesis]

The count. Fifty-one provisions and mechanisms — every one written to bind any person, including those who hold office. Not one contains an exception for the officeholder. That is the law answering Section 1's question of what "no one is above the law" means when it is more than a confirmation pledge.

There are many tools in the toolbox of law to remove liabilities from and void their changes to the government, and many apply to ANY person, officer, and government employee, and some of the many tools to remove any person are role-specific, but are not limited to a single tool.

The role-specific tools

The tools above bind any person. The tools that follow are role-specific — aimed at the office, its confirmation, and its license — the ones that remove the liability and void the changes of the officeholder himself.

Tool: the Judicial Council

28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 permits any person to file a misconduct or disability complaint against any federal judge. The councils cannot remove an Article III judge — and they do not need to: the removal belongs to the other tools, and the council supplies the record they use. The councils can publicly reprimand, order temporary non-receipt of cases, certify disability, refer findings to the Judicial Conference, and refer matters onward — to Congress for impeachment, and under the Ethics in Government Act, to the Department of Justice. The complaint channel is open to a private relator, and its findings become the exhibit record for the other tools. [RI]

Tool: impeachment by Congress

Article II, § 4 supplies the vehicle; Article I, § 3, cl. 7 makes the judgment extend to removal *plus* disqualification from any future federal office of honor, trust, or profit. The vehicle's reach is adjudicated, not hypothetical: in 2010 the Senate convicted and removed Judge G. Thomas Porteous Jr. on all four articles — one by a vote of 96–0 — and disqualified him from holding future federal office. Article IV of those articles alleged false statements to the Senate and the FBI *in connection with his nomination and confirmation* — removal resting partly on pre-office conduct, the adjudicated form of the confirmation-inducement count. The House manager's stated principle (Rep. Schiff): "if you committed serious misconduct and you're nominated for a high office, it's not enough to conceal that conduct from the Senate." [V as recorded]

Tool: loss of license from the bar

The licensing authority over every lawyer is a state supreme court or its bar tribunal — neither Congress, nor the Judicial Conference and its councils, nor any federal court. No federal permission is needed to open it, and it proceeds against the person as lawyer, not as officer. [V]

Judges. No Article III judge disbarred in office has ever continued to sit. The removal precedents — G. Thomas Porteous Jr. (removed 2010), Samuel Kent (resigned 2009 amid impeachment proceedings), Harry Claiborne (removed 1986), Alcee Hastings (removed 1989) — all lost their licenses only *after* removal or resignation, never before. Zero Article III judges in history have lacked a law license. [V as recorded]

Prosecutors. Mike Nifong, Durham County District Attorney (North Carolina), was disbarred in June 2007 for "dishonesty, fraud, deceit and misrepresentation" — withholding exculpatory DNA evidence in the Duke lacrosse case — the first prosecutor in North Carolina disbarred for trial conduct; on June 18, 2007 a superior-court judge ordered him removed from office immediately, and he served a day in jail for criminal contempt. [V] Ken Anderson, Williamson County District Attorney (Texas) for sixteen years and later a district-court judge, was found by a Court of Inquiry to have probable cause committed criminal contempt by concealing exculpatory evidence in the Michael Morton prosecution; he resigned from the bench in September 2013, pleaded to criminal contempt in November 2013, served a ten-day jail sentence, and permanently surrendered his law license. [V]

The license question. No court has ever adjudicated whether a disbarred Article III judge may continue

in office — and no reported decision has ever held that an unlicensed person may sit, so the unbroken practice is not merely uniform but *uncontradicted*, and the exception bears the burden. The practice-argument carries the constitutional-gloss pedigree: a "long-continued practice, known to and acquiesced in by Congress" raises a presumption of consent (*United States v. Midwest Oil Co.*, 236 U.S. 459 (1915)), and a "systematic, unbroken" practice "long pursued to the knowledge of the Congress and never before questioned" may be treated as a gloss on the constitutional text (Frankfurter, J., concurring, *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer*, 343 U.S. 579, 610–11 (1952)) — a method applied in *Dames & Moore v. Regan*, 453 U.S. 654 (1981). [V]

Congress's own baseline confirms the custom: the only two federal judicial offices created by statute both carry express license requirements — magistrate judges, 28 U.S.C. § 631(b)(1); bankruptcy judges, 28 U.S.C. § 152. Where constitutions speak, they speak for the requirement: California makes ten years of State Bar membership or judicial service a condition of judicial office (Cal. Const. art. VI, § 15). [V]

The strongest form: the uncontradicted practice raises the gloss presumption that a law license is a condition of the Article III office — rebuttable, but unrebutted. A suspended or disbarred Justice still sitting therefore presents (a) a § 455 question in every proceeding, (b) a §§ 351–364 misconduct-complaint exhibit, (c) a Good Behaviour Clause evidence exhibit for the impeachment article, and (d) ineligibility for any future office requiring a law license. [RI]

Lower courts hold judges to account, but not exclusively, and the people may ask for justice in lower courts

The premise that judges sit beyond the reach of the law is disproven by the judges' own words and record, but also by the laws of disqualification, applicable to whomever, applicable to any and every person. If these laws of disqualifications had exceptions, they would so plainly state.

Justice Kagan — the justices' colleague on the checking system [V]. Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference, Portland, Aug. 3, 2023: "It just can't be that the court is the only institution that somehow is not subject to checks and balances from anybody else. We're not imperial and we too are a part of a checking and balancing system in various ways", akin to Congressman Jamie Raskin corroborating that impeachment by Congress is only one tool in the toolbox to remove liabilities to the government. The self-executing laws of disqualification, for which there are at least 51 tools, are some other ways.

"Can Congress do various things to regulate the Supreme Court? I think the answer is yes." Ninth Circuit conference, Sacramento, July 25, 2024. And so Congress has corroborated that impeachment is not the only tool to remove liabilities from public office, and so has the Supreme Court justice corroborated Congress here. Various tools exist beyond impeachment is corroborated by a majority of the branches of government.

And Kagan specified, "I think it would be quite bad … for us to do it to each other. In other words, for the same judges who are sitting around the table trying to decide cases, to be the people who are saying, 'Oh, no, you broke that rule or you didn't break that rule.'" And to do so, would be judges acting as their own judges for their own legal matters, violating the first law in every democracy, that no person shall be their own judge for their own legal matters, because no one is above the law in a rule of law system. And anyone who advocates from official office that they shall be their own judge for their own legal matters does so outside of official capacity, and outside the rule of law, which demands that others must hear their legal matters.

And at NYU School of Law, Dec. 9, 2024, on her panel of lower-court judges: "I think that there are plenty of judges around this country who could do a task like that in a very fair-minded and serious

way." And so here, the Supreme Court justice corroborated that Congress is not the only branch of government who may hold the judiciary accountable. Each is a tool in the toolbox.

Fix the Court's contemporaneous read: lower-court judges already play exactly this role under the Ethics in Government Act — the Judicial Conference, not the high court, holds the power to refer a justice who violates the disclosure law to the Justice Department. [V] Each is a tool in the toolbox.

The historical record — every item a district judge -- and where none waited for permission from the Supreme Court [V].

1. **1952 — Youngstown.** Judge David A. Pine (D.D.C.) enjoined President Truman's seizure of the steel mills. Affirmed 6–3, *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer*, 343 U.S. 579 (1952) — whose framework (Jackson's concurrence) remains the controlling measure of presidential power.
2. **1957–58 — Little Rock.** Judge Ronald N. Davies (D.N.D., by designation, E.D. Ark.) ordered the Little Rock Nine enrolled notwithstanding Gov. Faubus's obstruction. *Cooper v. Aaron*, 358 U.S. 1 (1958), held unanimously that state officials are bound by federal-court decrees — no state power can nullify them.
3. **1971 — Pentagon Papers.** Judge Murray I. Gurfein (S.D.N.Y.) denied the government's prior restraint: "The security of the Nation is not at the ramparts alone. Security also lies in the value of our free institutions." Affirmed, *New York Times Co. v. United States*, 403 U.S. 713 (1971).
4. **1973–74 — Watergate.** Chief Judge John J. Sirica (D.D.C.) ordered production of the White House tapes. Affirmed 8–0, *United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683 (1974) — executive privilege yields to due process in criminal proceedings.
5. **1997–99 — Clinton.** Judge Susan Webber Wright (E.D. Ark.) denied the sitting President's immunity; affirmed unanimously, *Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681 (1997). In April 1999 she held a sitting President in civil contempt of court for willful violation of her orders — the first sitting President so held.
6. **2025 — Boasberg.** Judge James Boasberg (D.D.C., chief judge) issued the March 15, 2025 order halting Alien Enemies Act deportations; on April 16, 2025 he found probable cause to hold the administration in criminal contempt: "The Constitution does not tolerate willful disobedience of judicial orders — especially by officials of a coordinate branch who have sworn an oath to uphold it." And: "The Government's actions on that day demonstrate a willful disregard for its Order, sufficient for the Court to conclude that probable cause exists to find the Government in criminal contempt." He ordered the government to purge the contempt or identify the officials who defied the order, for referral for prosecution.
7. **2025 — Xinis.** Judge Paula Xinis (D. Md.) in *Abrego Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-951 (Apr. 4, 2025), ordered the government to "facilitate and effectuate" the return of a man removed by conceded error: "They had no legal authority to arrest him, no justification to detain him, and no grounds to send him to El Salvador, let alone deliver him into one of the most dangerous prisons in the Western Hemisphere." And: "Having confessed grievous error, the defendants now argue that this Court lacks the power to hear this case." The Supreme Court unanimously affirmed the "facilitate" core April 10, 2025; Abrego Garcia was returned June 6, 2025, and Xinis granted his habeas petition December 11, 2025, ordering release.

The checking Kagan describes is not a theory awaiting invention. District judges have been doing it to Presidents since 1952 — and to justices, the same doctrine applies, through §§ 351–364, § 455, the EIGA referral, the state licensing channel, and the fifty-one tools. No exception is carved out for the

person doing the checking to the judges themselves.

Voiding the changes — from conception

And so some tools disqualify the person. A separate doctrine voids what the disqualified person did — so that neither the officer nor the office's product survives.

Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886): "An unconstitutional act is not a law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; it affords no protection; it creates no office; it is, in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." [V]

The *Norton* facts are the doctrine's demonstration: a county board of commissioners appointed under an unconstitutional act had "no lawful existence"; its members were "usurpers of the functions and powers" of the lawful officers; their subscriptions to railroad stock and the bonds they issued in payment were void; the county warrants they signed were invalid. [V] The change was not later rescinded — it never existed in law. Void from conception is the frame for the acts of any officer holding office without authority: the remedy is not rescission but declaration, because there is nothing to rescind.

The changes, voided, rescinded, mooted. Each license loss carries its voided changes. Nifong's prosecutions: all charges were dropped on April 11, 2007, and the defendants were declared "innocent" — North Carolina's attorney general called the case a "tragic rush to accuse." [V] Anderson's conviction: Michael Morton's 1987 murder conviction was overturned; he was exonerated in 2011 after nearly twenty-five years in prison, and the case produced the Michael Morton Act, Texas's open-file discovery reform. [V] And the doctrine that governs them all is *Norton*: an act taken without authority — like the act of an unqualified or disqualified officeholder — never existed in law. [V]

The removal record in real time — the Trump appointments removed from office. The removal tool is no antique either: the system has been removing liabilities from the executive in real time, without exception for rank. As reported: Mike Waltz, removed from the National Security Advisor post May 1, 2025 (Signalgate, with deputy Alex Wong; nominated to the U.N. the same day); Noem, fired Mar. 5, 2026; Bondi, fired Apr. 2, 2026 — the Attorney General himself; Chavez-DeRemer, out late Apr. 2026 amid misconduct scandals; Kent, resigned Mar. 17, 2026; Makary (FDA), removed May 2026; Monarez (CDC), fired 2026; Gabbard (DNI), resigned effective June 30, 2026; Bovino, removed from the CBP role Jan. 2026 after the two U.S.-citizen deaths in Minneapolis; Zacharia, fired five days into the NASA role (Oct. 2026); Guilfoyle, asked to step down (Oct. 2026); Leavitt, resigned (Aug. 2026). [V as reported]

The a fortiori point writes itself: a government that removes its own Cabinet officers, its own intelligence chief, and its own Attorney General — the very department head now defendant in *Phang* — without trial, without ceremony, and without exception, has no exception left to offer the robe. Removal is not a courtesy the President extends; it is a liability-maintenance duty the system performs on the President's own appointees — and with stronger reason (a fortiori) on the justices whose vesting is the deeper trust and the deeper oath. [RI — the a fortiori synthesis]

And the one example where the changes to the government were voided — Kari Lake at USAGM. The voiding doctrine has its own demonstration in real time: on Mar. 7, 2026, Judge Royce Lamberth (D.D.C.) held on summary judgment that Kari Lake had been unlawfully acting as CEO of the U.S. Agency for Global Media from July 31 to Nov. 19, 2025 — installed in violation of the Appointments Clause and the FVRA — and that her acts in the role were void, including the Aug. 29, 2025 reduction-in-force of hundreds of employees; on Mar. 18, 2026, the court VACATED and SET ASIDE the "statutory minimum" dismantling of the agency, and 1,042 employees on administrative

leave were ordered back by Mar. 23, 2026. [V — the ruling record]

That is *Norton* and § 3348(d) operating in real time: an officer serving without authority, her changes to the government void from conception — not rescinded, but declared never to have existed — and the government restored as far as restoration reaches.

The companions show the doctrine spreading through Trump's own appointments: the Comey, James, and Bolton indictments dismissed Nov. 24, 2025 because the interim U.S. attorney who empaneled them (Halligan) was unlawfully appointed under 28 U.S.C. § 546 — prosecutions void ab initio, the government appealing (4th Cir. No. 25-4674), the same defect again blocking the subpoenas Aug. 21, 2026; and the Ninth Circuit's unanimous Chattah ruling (Aug. 17, 2026, Judge Miller writing — a Trump appointee), affirming that Sigal Chattah unlawfully led the Nevada U.S. attorney's office — installed as interim U.S. attorney effective Apr. 1, 2025 (the 120-day term), and Bondi's late-July 2025 first-assistant designation could not save it: "Nor can the Attorney General create a de facto Acting U.S. Attorney by delegating all of the functions and duties of the U.S. Attorney to someone else."

The FVRA tool operating in this Introduction's own venue. The doctrine does not shrink as it climbs: what voided Lake's RIF and the unlawful prosecutors' indictments voids, on this thesis, the seals, the dismissals, and the immunity ruling — the changes to the government made by hands without authority. [V on each ruling; RI on the extension]

Section 2 — The Commitments and the Performance Gap: The Law They Swore to Follow, Measured Against their Own Record

Where you are: the agreements first — what fraud does to them, then the oaths themselves, each with the record of its breaking — then the justice-by-justice pledges in the order the seats were filled, the doctrines that make the pledges binding, and the network the pledges were serving.

Before the oaths — what fraud does to an agreement

The oaths, claims, and agreements that follow are contracts before they are anything else, and the law of agreements answers a simple question first: what does fraud do to one? An agreement — verbal or written, express or implied — is enforceable only if it was honestly made, because consent induced by deception is not consent. Fraud in the inducement (a party deceived into entering the agreement) and fraud in the execution (a party deceived about what was signed) make the agreement voidable at the deceived party's option: the deception unravels the bargain, because a government — like any party — cannot have agreed to terms it was lied to about. And a false claim is the same doctrine aimed at the government's own channels: under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729, a person who knowingly presents a false or fraudulent claim — a false certification, a false representation, a false record — to obtain federal funds, employment, office, or business is liable for it; the certifications made to obtain and keep the business of the government are claims, and a false one poisons the agreement it procured. [RI — the framework; V on the statutes and doctrine cited below]

A priori and a fortiori — the precursor agreement. A priori, it is the United States Constitution and the rule of law that the justices, the other judges, the President, the other officers, the members of Congress, the government employees, and/or the government contractors agree to as a precursor to being vested with authority by the public's trust — as a precursor to being vested with that authority, to receiving taxpayer funds, and/or to receiving any other thing of value. The same forms a verbal and/or written, expressed and/or implied agreement with the government, one based on the truthfulness and good faith of these affirmations, statements made, agreed terms, commitments, declarations, swearings,

and parallels. A fortiori, what binds the employee and the vendor binds with stronger reason those who hold the highest vestings of the public's trust — those who administer the oaths of others have sworn their own. The agreement is the consideration for the authority: no truthfulness, no agreement; no agreement, no vesting. [RI — the framework; V on the pledges it rests on]

The breach: fraud against the government. But if such a person has pretended to act in good-faith official capacity, while in reality acting in bad faith, badly, and unlawfully under color of law, in order to deceive and induce the government into vesting authority and the public's trust into them, and then violates the rule of law and the Constitution under the guise of official capacity — then the same is fraud against the government, outside of official capacity, and outside of immunity. Any vested authority and public trust obtained under the guise of official capacity is a fruit of a fraudulent agreement, and thus cannot be enforced, because it is outside of official capacity. The doctrine is the Court's own: "There is no question of the general doctrine that fraud vitiates the most solemn contracts, documents, and even judgments," and "Fraud vitiates every thing, and a judgment equally with a contract" (*United States v. Throckmorton*, 98 U.S. 61, 65 (1878)). [V] Defrauding the government under color of law therefore becomes another tool in the toolbox — a contractual removal channel, where fraud defeats the agreement to vest authority, and the authority fails with it. [RI]

The tie to vesting — why this comes before the oaths. No individual is vested with authority, immunity, or any other thing of benefit except by one of these agreements: the oath at entry, the claim or certification made to obtain the office or the business, the sworn statement filed to keep them. The vesting is the consideration flowing from the agreement — authority, immunity, salary, office, benefit — exchanged for truthfulness and good faith. Where the agreement was induced by fraud, the vesting fails with it: authority obtained by a fraudulent agreement is unenforceable; immunity, which attaches only to good-faith conduct within official capacity, does not attach to the bad-faith conduct that was concealed to obtain it; and the things of benefit — the office, the salary, the funds — are fruits of the fraudulent agreement, recoverable and voidable, void from conception where the structure of the appointment was itself void (*Norton*; 5 U.S.C. § 3348(d) — Section 1's tools 23–24). That is why the oaths come second and the fraud doctrine first: the oaths that follow are the agreements, and this is what their breach does to them. [RI — the synthesis; V on Norton, § 3348(d), and § 3729]

The oaths, claims, and agreements — and the record of each one broken

Where you are: six oaths and one contractor channel — for each, the oath or binding instrument first, then the record of how it was violated around the relator's matter, Trump, Epstein, the impeachments, and the related investigations, prosecutions, and lawsuits.

The President. Article II, § 1, cl. 8 — the constitutional oath, taken by every President before entering office: "I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States." [V — constitutional text]

The oath violated — the record's examples (President Trump). The Jan. 6, 2021 conduct the Colorado Supreme Court found to be insurrection (Dec. 19, 2023), left undisturbed by *Trump v. Anderson* — and the incitement that produced it ("fight like hell," the "Hang Mike Pence" chants of the mob he incited). The invitation to the enemy to interfere with the elections — "Russia, if you're listening" (July 27, 2016) — which they did, per John Durham's June 21, 2023 testimony. The two impeachments (Dec. 18, 2019; Jan. 13, 2021) for the conduct the oath forbids; the Georgia indictment (Aug. 14, 2023); the Mar-a-Lago records indictments (June 8, 2023, superseded July 27, 2023) — the records offenses of Section 1's tool 10; the N.Y. conviction (May 30, 2024, 34 counts).

The forbidden conditions of office held anyway: the foreign-patronage stream since 2017, the Qatar-

gifted Boeing 747-8 (May 2025), the Kushner companies' $2–3 billion from the UAE and/or the Saudis.

And surrounding the relator's matter: the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack on his qui tam, the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure of the draft, the retribution record aimed at the whistleblowers — the opposite of preserving, protecting, and defending the Constitution, and each in furtherance of the broader conspiracy Section 4 maps. [V on each anchor; RI on the oath-violation characterization; U — dictated, the relator's record]
Congress. Article VI, cl. 3: the Senators and Representatives, the members of the state legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, "shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution" — and no religious test shall ever be required. [V — constitutional text]

The oath violated — the record's examples (Congress). The 147 GOP members who objected on Jan. 6–7, 2021 to the count that the same conduct interrupted. The two Senate acquittals (Feb. 5, 2020, 52–48; Feb. 13, 2021, 57–43) that withheld the disqualification half of the impeachment judgment — the judgment Article I, § 3, cl. 7 (Section 1's tool 2) says "shall" extend. No member expelled for the Jan. 6 conduct by either chamber; and the investigations into Trump–Epstein–Russia not impeached and instead obstructed — the same misprision frame Section 1's tool 6 carries: to support the Constitution is the oath's own text, and the record shows the support withheld where the conspiracy needed it withheld. [V on the votes and the record; RI on the frame]
Every federal judge. 28 U.S.C. § 453 — the judicial oath/affirmation: to administer justice without respect to persons, to do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and to faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office under the Constitution and laws of the United States. This oath binds every type of federal judge: Supreme Court justices, courts-of-appeals judges, district judges, and the statutory judgeships — magistrate judges (28 U.S.C. § 631(b)(1)) and bankruptcy judges (28 U.S.C. § 152), the two offices Congress created by statute, both of which carry express license requirements. [V]

The oath violated — the record's examples (the GOP SCOTUS). The retroactive immunity of *Trump v. United States* (July 1, 2024) — invented for the President who appointed three of the majority, after the relator's disqualification of the Court (the priority sequence, Section 6). The emergency-docket asymmetry under the Chief Justice's stewardship (Obama 3, Biden 19, Trump 41+41). The recusal refusals — Alito's May 29, 2024 letter in the Jan. 6 cases; Thomas in the Trump matters — where 28 U.S.C. § 455 (Section 1's tool 21) commands "shall disqualify himself."

The undisclosed-gift record: Thomas–Crow (1991–2023; the 2023 admission), Alito–Singer (the 2008 Alaska trip), Gorsuch–Duffy (the Apr. 16, 2017 ranch contract, buyer undisclosed), Roberts–Price (the 2023 complaint). The attacks on the channels that would reach the record: the qui tam strikes (*Polansky*, June 16, 2023; *Zafirov*) and the Special Counsel-appointment questions; and the sealing aftermath left to stand — the Volume II seals (Jan. 21, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026) that buried the evidence closest to the delivered record.

Each post-oath; each in matters benefiting the appointers and the network Section 4 maps; each sworn to a pledge — no one above the law, stare decisis — the justice-by-justice record below measures them against. [V on each anchor; RI on the oath-violation characterization]
State judges. Every state judge takes an oath under the state constitution to support the United States Constitution (Article VI, cl. 3 binds state executive and judicial officers expressly) plus the state's own constitutional oath. [V — constitutional text]

The oath violated — the record's examples (state judges), honest on the record. No state judge's adjudicated violation of this oath appears in the settled record as yet — the honest note, per the conventions, and the frame stands ready the way Section 1's tool 17 does. The dictated frame: the relator's matter has a state spoke (the Sacramento Police Department channel of the settled records),

and the state-court judges who would hear matters engineered by the same network Section 4 maps are each sworn by this oath to support this Constitution — bound where the state and federal spokes of the same conspiracy meet, and removable by the state's own judicial-conduct machinery where the oath is broken. [RI — dictated, honest on the record]

Every officer and government employee. 5 U.S.C. § 3331 — the federal employment oath: to support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to bear true faith and allegiance to the same; to take the obligation freely, without mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and to well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office. The oath attaches at entry to every office and to federal employment — the claim and the agreement each officeholder makes.

The oath violated — the record's examples (officers and employees). Blanche's DOJ: the EFTA noncompliance Sullivan enjoined (*Phang v. Blanche*, June 25, 2026), the Aug. 24, 2026 protective notice of appeal against the unredaction order, the "that date is out of my control" record. The custodians behind the missing Janson Report — delivered Aug. 29, 2024 to USAO Seattle, absent from the Oct. 10, 2026 full-corpus sweep — and the Wyden-disclosed FD-302s (Sept. 30, 2026) the DOJ itself withheld. The unlawfully installed interim U.S. attorneys whose installs the courts voided (the Halligan chain, Nov. 24, 2025 and Aug. 21, 2026). The armed-agents-at-polls and voter-roll-extortion allegations of *NAACP v. DHS* (filed Sept. 18, 2026). And against the relator: the complex threats and stalking record — § 3730(h) retaliation per the settled record, engaged in or concealed rather than borne true faith and allegiance. [V on the anchors and complaint allegations; U — dictated, the relator's record; RI on the characterization]

The named officers' oaths — taken and broken (the Oct. 28, 2026 additions)

President Donald J. Trump. The constitutional oath taken twice: Jan. 20, 2017 and Jan. 20, 2025 (Art. II, § 1, cl. 8, administered by the Chief Justice). The violations are this Section's own record: Jan. 6 and its Colorado finding, the "Russia, if you're listening" invitation, two impeachments, the Georgia and records indictments, the New York conviction — each post-oath. Per Pinkerton, the ongoing conspiracy's crimes after each oath date attach to him as the Conspiracy record (Section 3) marshals them. [V — the oath dates and record; RI per Pinkerton]

Attorney General Todd Blanche. Sworn in Monday, Aug. 10, 2026, in the Oval Office — the oath administered by Justice Clarence Thomas with the President present (the government's own EFTA press-clipping record, EFTA01655577, now dated) — confirmed 50–49 two days earlier, succeeding an Attorney General fired by the President in April 2026. The sequencing point: every violation in his record POST-dates the oath — the EFTA certifications, Sullivan's Sept. 24, 2026 orders, the § 7.11 classified-material contradiction (Oct. 28, 2026) — so no pre-oath-conduct defense exists; estoppel attaches at the swearing: he swore "well and faithfully discharge" with the record known. His record while acting AG, before the oath: the $1.776B "anti-weaponization fund," the IRS deal promising no investigation of the President's and his family's tax returns (a thing of value — Section 1's tool 11), and 200+ departures of prosecutors and agents who worked Trump-related investigations. And the conflict the House Democrats' report centers: the President's personal defense lawyer, then the Department's head. [V as reported; RI on the estoppel frame; cross-ref 7.7.5, 7.11]

Secretary of Defense Pete Hegseth. Sworn in Jan. 25, 2025 (confirmed 51–50, the Vice President's tiebreak). The violations per the public record: the Signal record — The Atlantic's publication of the detailed attack plans shared on an unsecured commercial channel (Section 1's tools 47–48: unauthorized retention and transmission of national-defense material); the Milley record — the death suggestion and the detail-stripping/demotion review; Esper's "A Sacred Oath" account (the Insurrection Act design); the June 2025 Raskin letter. And the relator's dictated record [U]: the U.S.-military

inverted-L divebomb of Oct. 28, 2026 over his home — the Posse Comitatus layer for the department Hegseth heads — and the record it lands on is already measured: the carried aircraft log's metrics (the divebombs at 200, 400, and 500 feet across three cities and two counties; the uniformed pilots based out of McClellan Park, "pulling up at the last second") and the two-sample intent analysis — the 2026 Falcon 30 attacks tested against the 2023–2024 set under the null hypothesis of no intent, the same statistical-intent method the whole-KB analysis carries (the exhibit below). Judge Sullivan's 2026 ruling names him: the government "under the auspices of Todd Blanche, Donald Trump, Marco Rubio, Peter Hegseth, and/or others" has lost presumption, deference, and/or regularity — the oath-breaking measured by a court. And the Twenty-Fifth Amendment § 4 non-use (tool 31): the sworn Cabinet officer whose duty to act on the record was not used. [V as reported; U on the divebomb; RI on the applications] And the published record now supplies the admission layer (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive): the July 21, 2026 terror-log piece records DOD "say[ing] the illegal part out loud" — the published characterization that the department's low-flying military aircraft were flown intentionally, with a specified intent to continue — the admission the statistical-intent exhibit's null hypothesis was built to test, now published as the relator's own exhibit. [U — the published UPR characterization of the public statement; the divebomb record the relator's log; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]

Secretary of State Marco Rubio. Sworn in Jan. 21, 2025, as the 72nd Secretary of State (administered by the Vice President). His record: the June 2019 Cannon pipeline — his office's outreach to Aileen Cannon "to consider her for a judicial vacancy" began the pick whose consequences are the July 15, 2024 dismissal, the seals, and the Fort Pierce steering (Section 4's chain; 7.6) — the pick as the obstruction's first overt act. The EFTA layer no one has drawn: the Act's only lawful withholding basis is national defense or FOREIGN POLICY — and the foreign-policy basis runs through Rubio's department; if the Attorney General's denials are false, the justifications would have to live in the DOS, whose Federal Register duty is his. And Sullivan's ruling names him with the others. [V on the 2019 record and the ruling; RI on the foreign-policy-basis application; cross-ref 7.11]

Judge Aileen Cannon. The judicial oath of 28 U.S.C. § 453 taken on commission: confirmed 56–21 on Nov. 12, 2020, commissioned Nov. 13, 2020 — nine days after the election her docket would help adjudicate was lost. The violations are tool 21's and 7.6's record: the July 15, 2024 dismissal of her own appointer's prosecution; the seals (Jan. 21, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026); and now the Fort Pierce one-judge machinery — the "grand conspiracy" probe of the President's enemies running through her division alone, with Brennan's vindictive-prosecution and preservation suits fighting the steering to the "favored Trump judge." The oath — "do equal right to the poor and to the rich… faithfully and impartially" — measured against a docket that dismisses the appointer and convenes his enemies' grand juries. [V on the dates and record; RI on the application]

U.S. Attorney Jason Reding Quiñones. The 5 U.S.C. § 3331 oath taken Aug. 13, 2025 (confirmed 52–44 on Aug. 2, 2025 — the first confirmed U.S. Attorney of the second term), head of the S.D. Fla. office he once, per the Daily Beast record, "flunked out" of as a line prosecutor — the patronage-pick theme in its purest form. The violations per the public record: the Brennan probe pressed with no evidence — Maria Medetis Long removed Apr. 2026 after telling him there was insufficient evidence to charge (tool 38's government-side dated example); predecessor diGenova's Sept. 10, 2026 resignation ("indictments without evidence is an ethical problem"); the planned Raúl Castro indictment (El País); the press record of "instilling fear" as the enemy probes ramped. And the duties the Oct. 7, 2026 demand put him on notice of: cease the evidence-less process, the § 2071 litigation hold, the § 4 duty to report any direction to charge without evidence. [V as reported; RI on the application] And the recovered-record layer (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive) supplies the succession's own record: after diGenova's Sept. 10, 2026 exit — the Mail-confirmed detail that Blanche's denial of diGenova's request for a SCIF (a secured room for classified review) contributed to the exit, and that Acting Deputy AG

Trent McCotter traveled to Florida after the departure — the U.S. Attorney takes over, "but no grand jury has been summoned"; the research record's own verified count: zero prosecutions after dozens of subpoenas over ~18 months. [V as reported — the succession record per the published research record and newsletters] And the published record adds the Brady characterization: prosecuting the investigators "without access to that Brady evidence ... That Brady violation is not incidental; it is by design." [U — the published UPR record, the characterization the relator's; the underlying Brady duty [V] on the law]

The doctrine that binds all six — in for one, in for all. Per Pinkerton (tool 36; Section 3), each conspirator is liable for the ongoing conspiracy's crimes in furtherance of which his own acts were done — and each oath dates the entry: after the oath, every further act is both an oath breach (Art. II; § 453; 5 U.S.C. § 3331) and a Pinkerton overt act (§ 1962's "any person"). Estoppel and tolling attach at each attack and each concealment — the clocks never run while the conspiracy keeps operating (the relator's 24-year record, Sections 3–4) — and the second deep dive confirms the record's own architecture already carries this as its design: ongoing-conspiracy, estoppel, and tolling equivalents everywhere, so the single conspiracy never ends and no clock runs anywhere; mutual and several liability across every member; and the *Thompson* third-party *qui tam* doctrine extending FCA retaliation protection to third parties harmed for the relator's sake (the mutual-responsibility web's three design goals, in-corpus) — with the comparative tolling grid behind it: U.S. fraudulent concealment, equitable tolling, and continuing violations (*Younger*/*Irwin*/§ 338(d)); UK deliberate concealment (Limitation Act 1980 s. 32) (the but-for causation brief's grid). And the harbor and misprision layers: each officer who knows of the others' crimes and conceals them — the § 1071 harbor (tool 46) and the §§ 2382/4 misprision (tools 6/34) — converts silence into membership. [RI — the application on the V substrates]

Every government contractor. No constitutional oath attaches to a contractor. The contractor's binding instruments are the contract itself and the certifications and representations made to obtain and perform it; those certifications are claims, and a false claim to get or keep federal business invokes the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729. The agreement binds by signature what the oath binds by office.

The false-claims record — the examples (government contractors). The KKR hub: KKR owns GMR (REACH Air, CALSTAR), and the settled contracts research carries the ~$1.66B federal-contracts table (FY2016–2026) — contracts certified, represented, and claimed to obtain and keep federal business. The C1/C3/C5 platform spokes and the Palantir/KKR/Cal OES layer per the settled records. And the rule this Section opened with: every certification and representation made to obtain or perform a federal contract is a claim — where knowingly false, the False Claims Act attaches, the contract fails with the fraud that induced it, and the things of benefit obtained by it are recoverable. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing; each contractor's claim stands or falls on its own record, tiered per the conventions. [V on the contracts record; RI — the § 3729 frame]

The commitments and the performance gaps, justice by justice — the seats in the order they were filled

Where you are: the pledge each justice made to obtain the seat, and the conduct after — Thomas 1991, Ginsburg/Breyer 1993–94, Roberts 2005, Alito 2006, Sotomayor 2009, Kagan 2010, Gorsuch 2017, Kavanaugh 2018, Barrett 2020, Jackson 2022 — the seats in the order they were filled, so the gap between pledge and performance reads as one chronology.

Every member of the Court's immunity-era majority came to office by telling the Senate, on the record, that no one is above the law — including the President, and including the Supreme Court. [V]

The oaths and the commitments supply the measuring stick — sworn to obtain the vesting of authority — and this Section measures. The performance gap is not hypocrisy alone; it is the evidentiary record of acts taken outside official capacity while pretending to be within it — the acts that feed the fifty-one tools of Section 1, and that this Section's doctrines convert from breach into removal: a fraudulently obtained vesting is unenforceable, and a void-from-conception act is nothing to rescind.

The measure is the one each officer supplied himself: no one is above the law, and the settled law stays settled. Where the officer's acts contradicted his sworn commitments under color of his office, the contradiction is the exhibit — the pledge made to obtain the office, against the performance that defied it, specified under every commitment below.

Section 3 states the conspiracy law that makes each conspirator liable for all; Section 4 marshals the engineering that produced the officers and the obstruction that protects them; Section 5 marshals the deaths without limitations their acts were designed to purchase; Section 6 states the venue and the people — where the case belongs, to whom the record was delivered, and what the relator requests. [RI — the frame; V on the pledge record]

The dictated frame for the exhibits that follow: for each of the GOP justices — Thomas, Alito, Roberts, Kavanaugh, Gorsuch, Barrett — the very bad behavior, bad faith, RICO obstructive (against Epstein victims), out-of-official-capacity conduct, and the allegation records — the public bribery allegations, the drug-then-gang-rape allegations, the upside-down-flag allegations, the self-dealing allegations — plus the lack of independence and separation of powers by those meeting minds, who are by default not separated powers, constantly meeting with Trump, and one another, and those trying to capture the system by minority rule of man — all outside of official capacity, but linked to their pretending, and resulting in their void-from-conception RICO obstructive and self-dealing behavior. [RI — the dictated frame; V on each anchor below] Two honesty rules govern the exhibits: inclusion of a name or a record is not a finding of wrongdoing, and every allegation is recorded at its public status — alleged, denied, or admitted — never as adjudicated fact. The pledge each justice swore is this Section's measuring stick; the exhibits are the measured gaps.

Clarence Thomas (1991). The refusal record — the one justice whose position is the inverse: he has never committed to following demonstrably erroneous precedent; as characterized in the legal literature (Maggs, *Justice Thomas and Stare Decisis*, Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y Per Curiam, Fall 2021), unlike his judicial colleagues he would "never follow demonstrably erroneous precedent," the position taken in his *Gamble* concurrence, 139 S. Ct. 1960, 1984 (2019). [V as recorded]

The performance gap — the inverse pledge, matched by the pattern: two decades of undisclosed luxury gifts from the billionaire Harlan Crow — the international cruises, the private-jet flights, the private club, the $19,000 Bible, the Russia yacht, and the helicopter ride to the Yusupov Palace in St. Petersburg — plus the 1991 loan from Harry Welters that was partially forgiven and never disclosed. [V]

The Senate Judiciary Committee demanded the full gift list [V]; Thomas later admitted he should have disclosed the gifts [V]. The out-of-capacity conduct: administering the oaths of office to second-term Cabinet officials — the Attorney General sworn in by Thomas in the Oval Office with the President participating, a first for such a swearing-in [V — the press record, carried in the EFTA corpus itself] — while the President publicly urged Thomas to stay on the bench (Dec. 9, 2025) [V].

The RICO-obstruction exhibit is his own writing: the *Polansky* dissent's "substantial arguments" that the qui tam device is inconsistent with Article II, and the *Trump v. United States* concurrence questioning the Special Counsel's appointment — the attacks on the two channels that reach the disqualifying conduct (Section 4). [V on the writings; RI on the obstruction characterization]

The recusal and resignation demands that followed are advocacy, not adjudication. [V on their existence] Honest note: the EFTA corpus returns zero pages for "Harlan Crow" and "Ginni Thomas" — the gift-and-obstruction record, not any Epstein tie, is the exhibit, based on only about 25%-50% of the EFTA files being released, and so the other 50-75% needs to be released to rule out all Epstein ties. [V — the corpus sweep] The justice who declined the bind has acted as one unbound. [V on each anchor; RI on the parsing].

John Roberts (2005). "Senator, I believe that no one is above the law under our system, and that includes the president. The president is fully bound by the law, the Constitution and statutes." And to stare decisis — his Sept. 13, 2005 answer to Sen. Specter: "applying the precedents of the court faithfully in accord with the principles of stare decisis." [V]

The performance gap — his own commitment violated by his own record: the Kendal Price whistleblower complaint — that Jane Sullivan Roberts earned over $10 million in legal-recruiting commissions from firms with business before the Court, including a firm that argued before her husband — an allegation in a formal complaint, never adjudicated [V — complaint as reported]; the emergency-docket asymmetry under his stewardship — the Trump administration's emergency-application success rate (41 grants in the first term, 41 in the second) against Obama's 3 and Biden's 19 [V per the settled register]; the retroactive-immunity majority he authored (*Trump v. United States*, July 1, 2024) [V]; the *Trump v. Barbara* 6–3, with the Kavanaugh statutory-only concurrence narrowing what the ruling reached [V]; and the same secretly recorded dinner conversation — the Chief Justice, recorded, joking at the society dinner [V as reported]. The pledge made to obtain the office, against the stewardship that favored one litigant above the others. [V on each anchor; RI on the parsing]

Samuel Alito (2006). "No person in this country is above the law, and that includes the president and it includes the Supreme Court." A categorical law pledge and a precedent pledge. [V]

The performance gap — his own commitment violated by his own record: the upside-down flag flown at his Alexandria home in the days after January 6, 2021, and the Appeal to Heaven flag at his New Jersey vacation home — reported June 2024; Alito attributed the first to his wife and refused to recuse from the January 6 cases, in his letter to Sen. Durbin (May 29, 2024) [V as reported]; the billionaire tie: the 2008 Alaska fishing trip on the private jet of the hedge-fund billionaire Paul Singer — whose fund later had business before the Court — unreported for years [V per the settled register]; the leak allegation: the November 2022 allegation that the *Hobby Lobby* outcome was disclosed in advance to donors dining with the justice — publicly denied, never adjudicated [V — allegation as reported]; the secretly recorded dinner conversation (June 2024), joking with the Chief Justice about returning the country "to godliness" at the Supreme Court Historical Society event [V as reported]; and the September 2026 recusal demand in the Suncor argument [V on its existence; advocacy tier]. The justice who affirmed the law binds the Court, refusing the recusal that law would require of him. [V as reported; RI on the parsing]

Sonia Sotomayor (2009). Committed that no one is above the law; transcript-verified, even-handed answers to Sens. Kohl and Hatch, including the "All precedents … settled law" line — given for *Gonzales v. Carhart* as well. [V]

The performance gap — measured against her own commitment: none in this record contra the affirmation — pledge and conduct aligned. [V on the pledge; honest — no counter-pattern in this record]

Elena Kagan (2010). The confirmation record, verified from the hearing transcript (p. 125, or p. 135 by PDF pagination, the Grassley exchange). Asked whether she believed the decisions settled law personally — "not as a Supreme Court justice… as an individual" — she answered: "I do think that those decisions are settled law and are entitled to all the weight that any precedent of the Supreme

Court has." Asked directly, "Will you follow stare decisis and uphold Heller and McDonald?", she answered: "I will follow stare decisis with respect to Heller and McDonald, as I would with any case." [V — print-screen verified] The commitment is even-handed — given for precedents across the spectrum, in the same form as Sotomayor's for *Gonzales v. Carhart* — and confirmatory, made to obtain office. Five years later, as a justice, she wrote for the Court in *Kimble* (2015) that stare decisis is "a foundation stone of the rule of law." [V] Pledge at confirmation, description on the bench.

The performance gap — measured against her own commitments: none contra the affirmations — pledge and conduct aligned: the Grassley-exchange stare decisis pledge; *Kimble*'s "foundation stone of the rule of law"; and the checking-system statements — "We're not imperial and we too are a part of a checking and balancing system in various ways" (Portland, Aug. 3, 2023); "Can Congress do various things to regulate the Supreme Court? I think the answer is yes" (Sacramento, July 25, 2024); "plenty of judges around this country could do a task like that in a very fair-minded and serious way" (NYU, Dec. 9, 2024) — and that alignment is why Section 6 addresses the emergency read to her. [V on each anchor; RI on the significance]

Neil Gorsuch (2017). "No [hum]man is above the law." [V]

The performance gap — his own commitment violated by his own record: the sale of his 40-acre Colorado ranch to Brian Duffy, the CEO of Greenberg Traurig — the contract signed April 16, 2017, six days after he joined the Court; $1.825 million for the property; Gorsuch's 20% stake reported at $250,000–$500,000; the buyer's identity disclosed nowhere on the filing, reported only by press investigation. [V as reported] The transaction was lawful; the exhibit is the disclosure gap — the thing of value from the head of a firm with business before the Court, unreported on the form that exists to report it, measured against the affirmation that no man is above the law's own reporting requirements. [RI on the characterization]

Brett Kavanaugh (2018). "No one is above the law" — agreeing that presidents are not "immune from either being sued or being charged with a crime because they are president." His 2003 email recorded the overruling power: "the Court can always overrule its precedent." The commitment and the power stated together. [V]

The performance gap — the sharpest pair in the record: the July 1, 2024 join of the immunity majority in *Trump v. United States* — the justice who affirmed a president may be charged, voting that a president may not be prosecuted for official acts [V]; the *Trump v. Barbara* statutory-only concurrence — the vote that kept the ruling narrower than the President sought [V]; and the Julie Swetnick declaration (Sept. 2018) — the drug-then-gang-rape allegations: that Kavanaugh and Mark Judge participated in efforts to spike women's drinks at parties and were present at gang rapes — allegations Kavanaugh testified in a self-serving manner were a "farce", so that he could join SCOTUS, that the Senate probed alongside additional misconduct allegations, and that were never adjudicated; the confirmation proceeded. [V — allegation as reported, with the denial and status honestly noted] The affirmation made to obtain the office, against the vote that undid it. [V on each anchor; RI on the parsing]

Amy Coney Barrett (2020). "No one is above the law," adding that "the Supreme Court can't control whether or not the president obeys" the law. To stare decisis: "obey all the rules of stare decisis," and the fuller answer: "follow the law of stare decisis … I'll follow the law." [V]

The performance gap — her own commitments measured against her record: the rushed confirmation eight days before the election it would help adjudicate — the appointment whose provenance is the Section 4 chain [V on the schedule; RI on the chain effect]; the $2 million book deal — lawful, and called "bad optics" for a sitting justice by the ethics commentary; the letter from more than 300 writers urging the publisher to reconsider; the People of Praise recusal calls in *303 Creative*

[V as reported; the recusal calls are advocacy tier]; and the *Polansky* concurrence agreeing with the dissent's Article II assessment of the qui tam device — the votes weakening the channels that would reach the disqualifying conduct. [V on each anchor; RI on the parsing]

Ketanji Brown Jackson (2022). From the confirmation hearing (S. Hrg. 117-422, Mar. 2022): "I would be upholding the principles of stare decisis," and her commitment that the Court's settled precedents are the settled law of the land — verified in her hearing record. Honest note: no "no one is above the law" formulation appears in that record. [V]

The performance gap — measured against her own commitments: none in this record contra the affirmations — pledge and conduct aligned. [V on the pledge; honest — no counter-pattern in this record]

The parsing's own result: the three justices whose records show pledge and conduct aligned (Sotomayor, Kagan, Jackson) prove the standard was the norm, and the six whose records show the gaps prove the performance gap is evidence — each gap an exhibit for the tools that bind them, measured by the standard each supplied himself. [RI]

The doctrines: stare decisis, a priori, a fortiori

Where you are: the three doctrines that turn the pledges into obligations — what has been decided stays decided; the oath binds by logic alone; and the stronger reason binds the highest officers hardest.

Stare decisis. To stand by things decided (*stare decisis et non quieta movere* — to stand by decisions and not disturb settled matters). The doctrine that what has been decided stays decided: decided questions are not relitigated, settled rules are not disturbed for light cause, and the factors the Court itself recites — antiquity, uniformity of practice, workability, reliance — measure when precedent stands. It is, in the Court's own words, "a foundation stone of the rule of law" (*Kimble*, 2015). [V] Stare decisis is not a courtesy the justices extend to others; it is a constraint they administer on themselves — the declared law binds the declarers.

A priori. From what is prior. Reasoning from established principles, definitions, oaths, and admissions to necessary conclusions — without needing any new proof. The oaths and commitments in this Section are the prior facts; the legal consequences that follow from them follow a priori, as a matter of logic rather than of any further evidence: one who swears to support the Constitution and commits that no one — including the swearer — is above the law, has bound himself to that law, and no additional showing is needed to establish the bond.

A fortiori. From the stronger reason. If a rule binds the lesser case, it binds the greater case with stronger reason. If every magistrate, every prosecutor, every council, every employee — every officer below — is bound to follow the law, and can be removed, disqualified, disbarred, prosecuted, and have their acts voided for failing to, then a fortiori the justices who swore the same oaths and made the same declarations are bound, and removable, and disqualifiable, and their acts voidable. The maxim closes the loop that Section 1 opened: there is no exception, because the apex of the system is bound by the strongest form of the same rule that binds its base.

The record almost every justice swore to. Almost every justice of the Supreme Court affirmed and/or committed, on the record at confirmation, that no one is above the law — no judge, no Justice, no President, no one — and to stare decisis. The justice-by-justice record above is the substrate, and the exceptions prove the rule: those who never made the commitment stand out only because the commitment was the norm. [V on the pledge record]

The but-for balance — the engineered fraud. To balance, the but-for principle also applies: would

such a liability have defrauded the government outside of official capacity, but for the conduct of de facto officers behaving badly and in bad faith, engineering another into that position of fraud under the guise of official capacity? In that case, the person under the guise of official capacity who engineered the person outside of official capacity into fraud is actually the person engineering the fraud. The distinction is between official capacity and private acts: if someone who is not supposed to be in an official capacity is, and that person engineered someone who has both official capacity and private conduct into fraud for their private conduct, this does not defeat the engineered person's official capacity — their private conduct has been engineered into a fraudulent position by those disqualified from doing so. The engineering disqualifies the engineer; it does not launder the disqualified engineer's acts through the engineered person's office. [RI]

These three doctrines are the machinery Sections 3 through 6 apply: stare decisis supplies the settled law; a priori supplies the inference from oath and commitment to obligation; a fortiori supplies the conclusion that the binders are bound — and where the binding was fraudulently obtained, the fraud supplies the removal: a fruit of a fraudulent agreement, unenforceable, void from conception.

The GOP six in the Epstein files — the corpus record

The GOP six in the Epstein files — the corpus record. The EFTA corpus v2 full-text sweep (Oct. 22, 2026) returns phrase floors for the six themselves: "Clarence Thomas" 43 pages, "Brett Kavanaugh" 99, "Samuel Alito" 31, "Neil Gorsuch" 23, "Amy Coney Barrett" 101, "John Roberts" 53 — and hard negatives: "Ginni Thomas" 0, "Harlan Crow" 0. The sampled contexts are contemporaneous news coverage bundled into the production — media-monitoring documents: Thomas swearing in the Attorney General beside the President; the justices' arguments, confirmations, and probes in the press clippings of the day. [V — the sweep and samples] The honest characterization: co-occurrence in press clippings is not a personal tie; the corpus does not tie the justices to Epstein. The RICO-obstruction tie is the one Section 4 marshals — the attacks on the channels (qui tam, Special Counsel) that would reach the network — and the void-from-conception frame is the relator's dictated position on those verified substrates. [RI]

The Federalist Society financing chain

All six GOP SCOTUS judges are linked to the Federalist Society, who helped the GOP select these judicial appointed, and where the Federalist Society was financed by (1) Edge Foundation's Epstein's and EFTA's Putin's/Russia's 2016's, (2) Edge Foundation's Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals' SCL's Cambridge Analytica's (who Jeffrey Epstein's Steve Bannon operated as Jeffrey Epstein's Trump's Campaign Manager in tantum with Citizen United's David Bossie, coordinating with the GRU's and FSB's and Trump Tower's Paul Manafort) Mercer family, (3) Edge Foundation's Jeffrey Epstein's Sergey Brin's and Jeffrey Epstein's Larry Page's Google linked to Epstein through Edge Foundation and USVI AG Epstein money laundering investigation, which also implicated Jeffrey Epstein's Elon Musk, who was business partners with Jeffrey Epstein's Peter Thiel, who was also business partners with Jeffrey Epstein (4) Jeffrey Epstein's Koch family, and (5) Jeffrey Epstein's Rockefeller family's Chevron.

Jeffrey Epstein's Trump's Trump Tower's Paul Manafort and NXIVM's Roger Stone were business partner's, and Jeffrey Epstein's Trump was one of their first clients, and similarly, Edge Foundation's Jeffrey Epstein's "associates" in his black book included an overlap between the "associates" of Keith Rainer's child sex trafficking ring NXIVM, including Edge Foundation's Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals' Richard Branson, the Mack family, the Bronfman family, and the EU/Yugoslav royals.

The two largest families named in Epstein's black books are the UK/EU 'royals' and the Trump family,

and where Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals' SCL's Cambridge Analytica conspired with Jeffrey Epstein's Putin's Russia and Jeffrey Epstein's and Edge Foundation's Mark Zuckerberg's Facebook and (later Jeffrey Epstein's Elon Musk's and Jeffrey Epstein's, Trump's, Jared Kushner's, and 9/11's Saudis') Twitter, to "engineer" Jeffrey Epstein's Trump (per Putin's and Trump's Felix Sater's and Michael Cohen's 11/03/2015 emails, and per Trump's Special Counsel John Durham's testimony 06/21/2023), GOP, and Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals' Brexit candidates, putting Jeffrey Epstein's Trump and Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals as the authorities of their own post-2016 elections in the US/UK, but also making them the authorities of FIVE EYES intelligence gathering and sharing complex, which includes Jeffrey Epstein's Valar, Jeffrey Epstein's Carbyne, Jeffrey Epstein's and Edge Foundation's Peter Thiel's Palantir, Jeffrey Epstein's and Edge Foundation's Bill Gates' Microsoft, Jeffrey Epstein's, Edge Foundation's, Marissa Mayer's, and Leon Black's Yahoo, Jeffrey Epstein's Page's and Brin's Google, Jeffrey Epstein's Reid Hoffman's LinkedIn, and/or others linked to Jeffrey Epstein.

The meeting minds — separation collapsed by default

The meeting minds — separation collapsed by default. The dictated frame: the lack of independence and separation of powers by those meeting minds — by default not separated powers, constantly meeting with Trump, and one another, and those trying to capture the system by minority rule of man, all outside of official capacity, but linked to their pretending, and resulting in their void-from-conception RICO obstructive and self-dealing behavior. [RI — dictated; V on each anchor]

The anchors: the billionaire ecosystem around the justices (Crow for Thomas; Singer for Alito; the Leonard Leo network that placed them; the Duffy purchase for Gorsuch); the contacts with the President (Thomas administering second-term oaths in the Oval Office; Trump urging Thomas and Alito to stay on the bench, Dec. 9, 2025); the emergency-docket asymmetry under the Chief Justice's stewardship (Obama 3, Biden 19, Trump 41+41); the same recorded dinner where two of them joked with the secret recorder about "godliness"; and the recusal refusals where the benefactors' business was before the Court.

None of this is official-capacity conduct: the oath, the argument, and the judgment are official; the gift, the meeting, and the benefit are private — and private minds meeting across the separation the Constitution drew is the counterfeit-capacity doctrine's Exhibit A (Section 3). Once the meeting of minds is shown, each answers for the furtherance per *Pinkerton* (Section 3); and what the meetings engineer is Section 4's subject: minority rule of man, kept in power, obstructed in perpetuity. [RI on the synthesis; V on the doctrine]

The sum: liabilities to be removed, changes to be voided — for cause

The sum: liabilities to be removed, changes to be voided — for cause. When the officer is a de facto officer appointed or hired by a disqualified person — each acting in bad faith, acting badly, with corrupt intent — then the law is clear, as are the voiding laws: these de facto officers are liabilities that must be removed, and all of their changes to the government voided, for cause, to protect the government from corrupt intent resulting in changes to the government. The disqualification is not awaiting adjudication to come into being: the self-executing laws (Section 1) have already applied it — the way the Constitution has already disqualified the four-year-old from the Presidency without any court's say-so — so the removal tools and the voiding doctrine are the enforcement of what the law did at conception. Removal for cause; voiding at conception; the government protected from the corrupt intent that produced the changes. [RI — the application; V on the self-execution scholarship and the qualifications text]

Section 3 — The Ongoing Conspiracy: Each Liable for All

Where you are: the conspiracy law itself — agreement, Pinkerton liability, the counterfeit-capacity doctrine — the rule that makes each member of the network answerable for the whole wheel.

The tools of Section 1 reach more than the individual actor: they reach the web he acts within. Criminal conspiracy (18 U.S.C. § 371) and civil RICO conspiracy (18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) make the agreement itself the offense — the meeting of minds to commit unlawful acts and/or omissions, in order to get away with the same, and/or to help others get away with the same. Once the meeting of minds is shown, the law abandons the one-actor-at-a-time frame, and each member answers for all of it:

1. **Pinkerton liability.** Each conspirator answers for the substantive crimes his confederates commit in the course of and in furtherance of the conspiracy — no separate charge required. (*Pinkerton v. United States*, 328 U.S. 640 (1946).) [V]
2. **The hub and the spokes.** Where the spokes meet in one hub and share one object, the wheel is one conspiracy, and each spoke answers for the wheel — the converse caution being that spokes sharing nothing but the hub are separate conspiracies. (*Blumenthal v. United States*, 332 U.S. 539 (1947); *Kotteakos v. United States*, 328 U.S. 750 (1946).) [V]
3. **Each and for all — joint and several.** Among joint tortfeasors, the whole harm is recoverable in full from any one of them; under RICO the recovery is trebled, and the conspirators answer together for the entire harm of the enterprise — for each and for all. [V on the doctrine]
4. **Vicarious and supervisorial liability.** The officer who directs, tolerates, or fails to prevent the unlawful acts of those under his authority answers for them — the supervisor who lets the conspiracy run joins the conspiracy. [V on the doctrine]
5. **Overt furtherance.** The overt act of one conspirator, done in furtherance of the meeting of minds, is the act of all — and a RICO conspiracy requires no overt act at all. (*Salinas v. United States*, 522 U.S. 52 (1997).) [V]
6. **Accessories become conspirators.** The accessory after the fact (18 U.S.C. § 3) who helps the principal avoid prosecution or punishment, and then overtly further the meeting of minds to keep getting away with it, crosses from accessory to conspirator — and the latecomer who joins an ongoing conspiracy adopts the acts done before he joined. [V on the doctrine]
7. **Omissions are acts.** The meeting of minds may agree to do or to omit: the failure to perform a duty, accepted into the plan as the way to get away with unlawful acts, is conspiracy conduct on the same footing as the acts themselves. [V on the doctrine]

The perpetuity consequence — estoppel and tolling must attach. A conspiracy to commit ongoing disqualifying crimes, and to obstruct justice so that no conspirator answers for them, is continuous by design: each new overt act is a new act of the same agreement; obstruction of justice is itself a racketeering predicate (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1) names §§ 1503, 1510–1513); and the limitations clock cannot run in favor of wrongdoers whose own concealment prevents the discovery that starts it. Fraudulent concealment tolls the statute against the concealed wrong, and the wrongdoer is estopped from pleading the limitation his own fraud kept alive. For a conspiracy in perpetuity, the remedy is available in perpetuity: estoppel and tolling attach, for each and for all, until the conspiracy ends. [RI — the application; V on the concealment-tolling doctrine]

Outside official capacity — the two selves. Violating the rule of law and the Constitution while pretending to act in official capacity is outside of official capacity, and thus outside of immunity — because official capacity is bounded by the oath to support, protect, defend, and uphold the

Constitution, and an act against the Constitution is an act against the authority the office itself is made of. The doctrine requires the differentiation: an officer has two selves. The **official-capacity self** is the person exercising the authority vested by the public's trust, within the limits of the Constitution and the rule of law — the only self to which immunity, deference, or protection attaches. The **private-capacity self** is everyone else: John Roberts outside the court is at the 7-Eleven, a private citizen with no robe on — if Roberts walks into a 7-Eleven and shoots Trump, foraging for junk food, in the face, no one argues judicial immunity, because the private self never had it. Private conduct neither carries the office's protection with it nor borrows it. [V on the doctrines recited; RI on the application]

The third category is the counterfeit: **the officer wearing the robe while violating the Constitution and the rule of law is pretending to be in official capacity.** That act is outside official capacity, and therefore outside immunity — and it is equally not private capacity: it is not the 7-Eleven; it is done in the courtroom, under color of the office, in the pretended official self. It is the de facto zone — the act of a de facto officer behaving badly and in bad faith, void from conception (*Norton*), removable and voidable like every other act done without authority.

Regularity, presumption, deference — and the oath that conditions them. Regularity is not a birthright of the executive; it is the habit of the oath kept. The presumption of regularity — that official action was taken regularly and in accordance with law — is a presumption of evidence, not of immunity (*United States v. Chemical Foundation, Inc.*, 272 U.S. 1, 14–15 (1926)), and it attaches to acts within official capacity because it assumes the official acted as his oath and the law require. Deference follows the same condition: the courts defer to the lawful exercise of vested authority, not to the officer's unauthorized substitute for it. And the oath is the agreement that supplies the credit both draw on — the officer swore to support and defend the Constitution (Section 2), and the presumption that he acts accordingly is extended on the faith of that agreement.

The counterfeit-capacity doctrine therefore meets the presumption head-on: the presumption attaches to the official-capacity self only, and the de facto officer acting ultra vires, in bad faith, against the Constitution he swore to, cannot draw on it to launder what the oath no longer covers. No adherence, no agreement; no agreement, no presumption — and no deference. Forfeiture, not discretion: the officer who wants the presumption back knows where it is kept. [RI — the framework; V on Chemical Foundation and the oath text]

Judge Sullivan strips the executive of its regularity — the recent demonstration. The doctrine just stated has a live demonstration. In *Phang v. Blanche* (D.D.C., filed Apr. 2026) — journalist Katie Phang's suit to enforce the Epstein Files Transparency Act against Attorney General Todd Blanche (sued in his official capacity) — Senior Judge Emmet Sullivan has been stripping the executive's regularity in real time: the June 25, 2026 preliminary injunction ordering disclosure; the unredaction orders, unredacted copies delivered to his chambers; the Aug. 13, 2026 public hearing; the October 2026 orders demanding sworn declarations on a ten-day clock; and the contempt warnings invoking his own Ted Stevens record — where the same DOJ's concealment became the judge's lifetime exhibit of what the presumption is worth.

The DOJ invoked the presumption of regularity; Sullivan demanded proof, not representation — the presumption is the evidence's assumption, not the government's shield, and it fails exactly where the executive's explanation fails ("that date is out of my control," the DOJ lawyer said of a deadline; the Aug. 24, 2026 protective notice of appeal followed). The bench corroborates: Judge Friedman (Aug. 2025) — Trump "may have forfeited the right to such a presumption of regularity"; the tally of roughly ninety cases since Jan. 2025 reporting serious defects in the government's explanations, and thirty-five more in immigration habeas (The Hill, Oct. 2026); the commentary that the presumption is "on life support" — Sullivan's orders "another nail in its coffin" (Vance).

A fortiori, the same stripping reaches the de facto officers of this Introduction: if the executive's regularity can be stripped from a sitting Attorney General by an ordinary district judge on an ordinary record, the robe buys none of it back — the justices pretending official capacity draw on the same forfeited credit, and the § 455/*Caperton*, §§ 351–364, and Good Behaviour tools ask of them the same question Sullivan asked of the DOJ: where is the proof of regularity? Honest note: Sullivan sits in the District of Columbia, not the Ninth Circuit — the demonstration is doctrinal, not venue-anchored, and Section 6's venue argument is unaffected. [V on the Phang docket as reported; RI on the a fortiori application]

The Roberts illustration of the counterfeit: [RI — the application; V on each anchor] if Roberts — or anyone — argues that Trump can be returned to power when the Constitution plainly specifies that Trump is disqualified once found by the Colorado Supreme Court to have engaged in insurrection (a finding left undisturbed in *Trump v. Anderson*, Congress-only enforcement), corroborated by Jack Smith's et al.'s beyond-a-reasonable-doubt record, then Roberts is pretending to be in official capacity while acting well outside of it: he swore to support, protect, defend, and uphold the Constitution, and the Constitution he swore to comes with disqualifications in plain text for that and/or other misconduct. Per *Pinkerton*, Roberts joins Trump in the insurrection, disqualifying Roberts a different way.

And the same act is not within Roberts' private capacity either — Roberts is in court here, not at the 7-Eleven. The seat adds the final layer: Roberts is appointed as a de facto officer born out of the void-from-conception SCOTUS elections fraud — itself outside of official capacity — born out of the disqualifications of Nixon, GHW Bush, and Reagan, who had disqualified themselves from being able to appoint the SCOTUS that then engaged in elections fraud. A de facto officer behaving badly and in bad faith, pretending to be within official capacity and not a de facto officer: removable and voidable. The chain's marshaling is Section 4's subject.

Section 4 — The Engineering: De Facto Officers, Nixon to Trump

Where you are: the chronology of the manufacture — Nixon/South Vietnam 1968, Iran-Contra, the 2000 appointments, 9/11, Trump/Russia, Epstein's network, the relator's bridge into it — one engineering, read in the order it happened.

The engineering is the manufacture of de facto officers: authority obtained through disqualified hands and void acts, then kept by obstructing the channels that would reveal it. The chain to marshal, link by link: [RI — the chain argument; V on each anchor]

1. **Nixon** — the Watergate obstruction-of-justice record: the House Judiciary Committee's impeachment articles (July 1974), the resignation (Aug. 9, 1974), the pardon (Sept. 8, 1974) — the self-disqualification that voided his line of appointments. [V on the record; RI on the disqualification effect]
2. **Reagan and GHW Bush** — the Iran-Contra obstruction and false-statement record, closed by the Christmas 1992 pardons — the self-disqualifications that voided theirs. [V on the record; RI on the disqualification effect]
3. **The appointments those hands made** — Rehnquist (Nixon); O'Connor, Scalia, and Kennedy (Reagan); Souter and Thomas (GHW Bush). [V]
4. **The elections ruling** — *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98 (2000): every one of the five majority votes was cast by a justice appointed by Nixon, Reagan, or GHW Bush — the elections-fraud charge in the chain's thesis. [V on the provenance and the votes; RI on the fraud characterization]

5. **The appointments the ruling seated** — GW Bush's Roberts (2005) and Alito (2006): seats born of the void — de facto officers from conception. [V on the appointments; RI on the de facto effect]
6. **The obstruction of the reveal** — the attacks on the two channels that would expose the chain: qui tam and the Special Counsel (below). [RI]

A seat born of a void does not become lawful by longevity (*Norton*: the unauthorized act creates no office); it remains what it was at conception — de facto, removable, and voidable.

The picks and their consequences — the obstruction measured in appointments (the Oct. 28, 2026 synthesis). The appointment record splits exactly as the voiding doctrine predicts. On the voided side [V as reported]: Lake's USAGM CEOship voided (Lamberth, Mar. 7, 2026 — unlawfully acting July 31–Nov. 19, 2025); the Halligan interim installs voided twice (Nov. 24, 2025; Aug. 21, 2026 — the retribution prosecutions fell with them); Chattah held "de facto Acting U.S. Attorney" by the Ninth Circuit (Aug. 17, 2026). On the operating side [RI on the characterization]: the picks the voiding has not yet reached — Quiñones, the prosecutor who reportedly flunked out of the office he now leads, promoted to protect the appointer and pressing the evidence-less Brennan probe through a one-judge division; Hegseth, the television host promoted to the department whose aircraft the relator's log records over his home (the Posse Comitatus layer of Section 2's named-officers block); Cannon, the June 2019 Rubio-pipeline pick whose July 15, 2024 dismissal, seals, and Fort Pierce steering are the consequences Section 4 maps. The synthesis: the picks themselves are the obstruction — each appointment a voidable act that places the obstruction where it operates, and the consequence-measure is the difference between the voided and the operating sides of the same record. [V on each anchor as reported; RI — dictated on the synthesis; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing] And the Oct. 28, 2026 dictation's connective: what the relator brought to DOJ and DOS is now RICO obstructed by Blanche, Rubio, and Trump — in conspiracy with Cannon, whom they respectively and/or collectively picked to do the same — the picks read not as personnel choices but as obstruction assignments: each pick placed where the delivered record would have to be buried, and the voiding record measures each against its purpose. [U — dictated; RI on the application]

The bridge backward — the relator's precursor record, WW2 to 2014. The relator's background story is the bridge to all that is going on: nearly all the people harming him, his brother, his father, and his wife connect back to Epstein, back to his 2014 precursor qui tam and its obstruction — which started to elucidate all of this by 2014 — which was seized from him to cover it all up, and his matter also involved their government fraud and the murder of kids. [RI — the dictated bridge; each anchor tiered below] The chain, extended backward and forward through the relator's own documented record:

1. **Prescott Bush and Union Banking Corp. (1942).** The government seized UBC under the Trading with the Enemy Act on Oct. 20, 1942; the files record a director holding Thyssen shares; no criminal charges were ever brought. [V on the seizure record; the Bush-family bridge to the chain's appointers is the relator's]
2. **Edward VIII (1937).** The Duke of Windsor's Germany tour and meeting with Hitler, and the Marburg Files' record of it. [V as recorded] The dictated characterization — treason — is the relator's. [RI]
3. **The Wildenstein family.** The Nazi-era art-management record of the family that would become part of the Epstein orbit's art machine. [V as recorded] The dictated characterization — managing Hitler's loot — is the relator's. [RI]
4. **The relator's 2012 blog.** By 2012 the relator had posted on his Google blog the dubious royal claims of the UK royals. [U — dictated, the relator's record]

5. **January 2013 forward — the documentation.** By January 2013 the relator already had many of the Epstein orbiters documented as part of this ongoing conspiracy reaching back to the WW2 legs above. [U — dictated, the relator's record; the orbiters' corpus floors already registered per the settled record]
6. **The Russia implication.** The relator had implicated Russia — for the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack and the Russians breaking into his home — before Trump ever descended the escalator. [U — dictated, the relator's record; the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack is recorded in the settled record]
7. **2007 — Trump joins at KCRA (Sacramento).** Trump personally joined the conspiracy in 2007 at KCRA — Epstein's Hearst's KCRA, the employer-media blacklisting channel of the settled record's 2007 line. [U — dictated, the relator's record; KCRA's corpus floor (8 pages) and Trump's 18,247-page floor already registered]
8. **The precursor qui tam — obstructed and seized.** The precursor qui tam that started to elucidate all of this by 2014 — the 2013 qui tam (the $40B+ conspiracy claim, the largest pro se filing), the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack, the 2014 break-in (the Russians breaking into his home, per the dictated record), and the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure of the qui tam draft (the 13-item target list, including Epstein's crime syndicate, the Trump Organization, the GOP, Russia, the oligarchs, and the Sacramento Police) — obstructed and seized from him to cover it all up; the matter involved their government fraud and the murder of kids. [as recorded per the relator's settled record]
9. **The entrapment, 2014–2016.** The relator was entrapped between 2014 and 2016 — the entrapment window of the settled record (the 2014 entrappers of the seed-era facts; the entrapment schemes of the but-for layers). [U — dictated; as recorded per the settled record]
10. **June 16, 2015 — Trump returns.** By June 16, 2015 — the golden-escalator day at Trump Tower that launched the campaign [V on the launch date] — Trump returned, after the relator's investigation was seized (Sept. 21, 2014), "knowing," per the dictated record, of the witch-hunt he linked to Russia: the relator had implicated Russia (the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack; the Russians breaking into his home), and Trump's own later public statement — that all his problems were linked to a "witch-hunt" [V — the statement, March 2023] — is the dictated record's link back to the Sept. 21, 2014 RICO-obstructed qui tam. [U — dictated; RI on the linkage]

The orbit's reaches — the family, 2014–2016 and after. [RI — the dictated bridge; each anchor at its tier; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing] The reaches the relator dictated into the record:

- **The Fomitchev approach — Alfa Bank, Useppa Island (2014–2016).** George Fomitchev — an "advisor"/manager at Alfa Bank: his professional profile records the Analysis Department Head (Corporate Banking) role at Alfa-Bank and the Florida base of his later Russian-American startup — sought to finance the relator from Useppa Island in Florida, at a party where he wanted to introduce the relator to his orbit and to an unspecified "her"; the offer was refused. [U — dictated, the relator's record; V-web on the identity and the Alfa-Bank role; honest corpus negative: "Fomitchev" = 0 pages]
- **Post-2016 — Paul Alain Martin.** After the 2016 elections, another Russian-oligarch proxy, per the dictated record: Paul Alain Martin, of Epstein's Harvard University — who also sought to influence the relator's father. [U — dictated, as recorded in the settled record; honest corpus negatives: "Paul Alain Martin" = 0, "Alain Martin" = 0; disambiguation note: distinct from the register's UPR "Paul Martin" error item]
- **The father channel — the medals and the royals.** EFTA's Sarkozy, Charles III, and Elizabeth

II, and another French President raining national medals down on the father circa 2002–2024 — the medals layer of the settled record (with Guy Wildenstein and Gates) — each sought to influence the relator's father, as EFTA's Wildenstein with the father had in the 2004 chain line before them. [U — dictated, as recorded; honest tier note: the Sarkozy record is channel-only — no direct Epstein–Sarkozy correspondence, the settled negative stands; corpus floors "Prince Charles" 118, "Queen Elizabeth" 167] And the Oct. 28, 2026 origins sequencing [U — dictated, the relator's record]: the UK royals were some of the first of Epstein's network the relator engaged in heavy research into — after Roche et al., but before he went to law school — the origins of the ongoing conspiracy he would elucidate blindly as Epstein's, simply following the facts and the law: he did not know whose network it was when he mapped it; the facts and the law led there on their own. [RI on the significance — the blind-elucidation point is the relator's independence made evidentiary]

- **The brother channel — the Bohemian Club.** During the same period, Epstein's Bill Barr of the EFTA record (the never-adjudicated NTOC-tip characterization stays at its tier), Clarence Thomas's briber Harlan Crow (the dictated characterization; the gift record is Section 2's), Dianne Feinstein (the Bohemian-Club audience record of the settled register), Trump's HR McMaster (the orbit's own message: "He saw Trump, Bannon, Cohn, McMaster, Mulvaney today" — EFTA01612948), Jeb Bush and GW Bush (whom the relator had implicated by 2014), and Christie (per the settled record's roster) sought to influence the relator's brother at the Bohemian Club — with Epstein's UK royals' Stephen Harper of Canada — the 2016 payment record, EFTA01299565 — the bridge between the father and the brother. [U — dictated, as recorded; each anchor at its tier]

The honest bridge note: the settled historical-financier research rejected unified-conspiracy readings of the WW2 financiers' record; the synthesis above is the relator's dictated position carried on the verified substrates. The Sarkozy channel is channel-only (no direct correspondence — the settled negative stands); the corpus returns zero pages for "Fomitchev" and "Paul Alain Martin" — the reaches are the relator's record, and the negatives are recorded, not counter-argued. And the bridge lands where Section 6 asks the case to be heard: Sacramento — KCRA's market — is Ninth Circuit territory; the relator's story began where this Introduction now asks to be read. [V on the circuit assignment; RI on the significance]

The obstruction of the reveal — Thomas and Cannon. [RI on the attribution and the motive; V on the rulings] Justice Clarence Thomas, dissenting solo in *United States ex rel. Polansky v. Executive Health Resources, Inc.* (2023) — with Kavanaugh concurring, joined by Barrett, in agreement with the dissent's Article II assessment — wrote that there are "substantial arguments" that the qui tam device is inconsistent with Article II; concurring in *Trump v. United States* (2024), he questioned the Special Counsel's appointment under the Appointments Clause.

Judge Aileen Cannon dismissed the Trump classified-documents prosecution on July 15, 2024 on Special Counsel-authority grounds — the channel that had reached the documents, the obstruction counts, and the concealment record. These are the two channels — the private relator and the Special Counsel — that operate against disqualifying conduct, and the attacks on them are, on this thesis, acts of de facto officers behaving badly and in bad faith: they know the channels will reveal their own de facto status, so they act outside of official capacity, pretending to be within official capacity and not de facto officers, in order to RICO obstruct the disqualification conspiracies of Trump — including what Jack Smith et al. established beyond a reasonable doubt.

Per *Pinkerton*, the joinder disqualifies the joiners. (Honest attribution note: the qui tam constitutional strikes — *Zafirov* (M.D. Fla. 2024, reasserted May 2025) — are Judge Kathryn Kimball Mizelle's, not

Cannon's; Cannon's attack is the Special Counsel-authority ruling. [V on the attribution])

The disqualifying conduct, enemy by enemy. [RI on the disqualification thesis; each anchor tiered]

Nixon and South Vietnam (1968) — the original elections fraud. The enemy was North Vietnam, at war with the United States; the channel was the allied South Vietnamese government; and the 1968 election was affected by private cheating with the war's parties: the Chennault affair — Nixon's campaign, through Anna Chennault, urging South Vietnam to boycott the Paris peace talks so the war would not end before Election Day. Johnson called it what the law calls it — "treason" — as recorded on the LBJ tapes, with the FBI monitoring the Chennault channel. [V as recorded; RI on Nixon's direction per the historical record] The talks collapsed, Nixon won, the war continued. Treason, insurrection, sedition, and elections fraud — the disqualifying conduct — by a private campaign, no official capacity anywhere, never investigated and never adjudicated: the RICO obstruction was that the record was buried in the very Bureau Nixon then took over. The disqualified elections fraudster of 1968 became the appointer of the chain.

Iran-Contra (1985–92). The enemy was named by the government's own list: Iran — on the state list of terrorism sponsors since 1984 — was armed with American weapons, and the war the Boland Amendment forbade was fought in Nicaragua through the Contras. The conduct disqualified those involved: arms to the enemy, the illegal war, the obstruction and the false statements. And none of it was ever adjudicated, because of the RICO obstruction: the grants of use-immunity that vacated the convictions of North and Poindexter, and the Christmas 1992 pardons by the President who was himself in the record. [V on the record; RI on the disqualification effect] All outside of official capacity.

9/11 (2001) — the cover-up of the chain. Where for the Bush chain it was 9/11 that covered up the treason, insurrection, sedition, and elections fraud — the pivot of national attention to "enemies" that only the GOP could sniff out — 9/11 itself was RICO obstructed: the bin Laden family was flown out of America on a chartered flight (the September 19, 2001 Ryan International charter, eight days after the attacks, per the passenger manifest released in 2004, the family assembled during the days the nation's other flights were grounded) — aid, comfort, and adhering to the family the same administration laid the blame on. [V on the flight record; S on the contested assembly timing; RI on the aid-and-comfort characterization] And the Epstein orbit bridges the former GOP RICO obstruction at this exact point: Henry Kissinger — of the Epstein orbit per the settled cross-reference record — was named to chair the 9/11 Commission (Nov. 27, 2002) and resigned weeks later rather than disclose the client list. [V on the appointment and resignation; S on the orbit tie per the settled record]

The bridge documented — the Epstein–Kissinger–Russia orbit. [V on the records cited; RI on the synthesis] The Kissinger–Epstein tie is not inference; it is an entry and a corpus: Kissinger is in Epstein's black book (p. 31 — two phone numbers, two addresses), and the EFTA corpus returns 534 documents matching "Kissinger": Epstein's September 2011 "Priveleged & Confidential" calendars listing Kissinger; the 2013 scheduling alerts ("Kissinger is free starting evening of Sept. 23rd"); Epstein's own breakfast question ("Does Ehud want Kissinger China guy at breakfast on the 24th?"); the Kissinger China NewCo corporate-structure document held in Epstein's files; and, from the phone extractions, six weeks before the 2016 election: "tell woody that kissinger is open to take sec of state … no question he has authority in the foreign sphere" (iMessage, Sept. 24, 2016) — the orbit discussing the next administration's Cabinet while engineering the election itself. [as recorded in the EFTA corpus] The Russia leg per the settled research record — the FBI/DOJ files supporting that Epstein was Putin's wealth manager [as recorded in the settled record] — completes the bridge: Kissinger–Epstein–Putin, the China NewCo document showing the same Kissinger working both poles. [RI]

The Theranos leg — the orbit reached the relator's wife. Kissinger was a director of Theranos — recruited to the "all-star board" in the summer of 2011 (Shultz the recruiter; the board of Perry, Nunn,

Frist, Mattis, Kovacevich, Bechtel, and Kissinger). [V] And Kissinger's Theranos tried to recruit the relator's wife — twice — in the same months Epstein met with Kissinger: the September 2011 "Priveleged & Confidential" calendars and the September 2013 scheduling alerts are the meeting months of the record. [U — dictated, the relator's record; V on the board seat and the meeting months] Honest corpus note: "Theranos" returns 30 pages of the production — press coverage, including the orbit's own message about it (the Bannon iMessage "Theranos crazy, this woman pure evil," EFTA01615732) — co-occurrence in clippings, not a tie; the two recruitment attempts are the relator's record, and the months are the corpus's. And the Oct. 28, 2026 extension [U — dictated, the relator's record; conditional until verified]: the two attempts were a year apart, each corresponding to Kissinger-Epstein meetings — and the relator is now verifying that Epstein-Trump-Kissinger-DeVos-Fortress were indeed connected to both attempts on his wife; the layers the verification runs through: Theranos linked to Epstein's Fortress (the Briger corpus layer — Fortress/Briger↔Dubin↔Epstein, per the settled record, inclusion ≠ wrongdoing), Theranos linked to Betsy DeVos (the Alfa Bank/Trump Tower server back channel — the DeVos reaches above), and Theranos linked to Stanford (Holmes the Stanford dropout) — and Elizabeth Holmes has recently communicated to Trump et al. "we're winning" — now carried at the public-record tier per the second deep dive (the settled record: her pending clemency request and "we are winning" statement are public-record matters; the family's connection stays at its own tier), and the published record supplies the family layer: Theranos "met with the family of our Editor and also retaliated against our Editor's family" (UPR News, the published article that names Kissinger's 9/11-Commission layer in the same breath) — and the family declined. Which is also linked to the cross-border entrapment scheme via Taxes for Expats, EAM, XTM, AnyDay/EveryDay, and the UK royals — the Dubin-Fortress-Browns reach above. [V — the clemency request's existence and the published UPR statement; U — dictated on the linkage and the family record; RI on the characterization; each anchor at its tier; allegations, not adjudicated; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing] And the recovered-record layer (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive) supplies the published twice-count and its timing: the published EFTA-timelines record — "whose company Theranos twice came after our Editor's wife ... within the period of both attempts by Theranos to make our Editor's wife responsible for the fraud of Elizabeth Holmes, Kissinger met with Epstein both those times" — the dictated twice-record now anchored to the published both-times statement, the same record that names the Kissinger 9/11-Commission layer in the same breath. [V — the published statements; the characterization the relator's; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]

The 9/11 exercises — the treason element bolstered by the government's own record. [V on the exercises per the public record; RI on the significance] On the morning of 9/11, the government was running its own simulations of the attack's shape: NORAD's *Vigilant Guardian* was in progress — with one scenario a "traditional" simulated hijacking; *Operation Northern Vigilance* had fighters deployed against a Russian bomber exercise ("one part simulation, one part real world"); *Global Guardian* was testing nuclear command and control; and the NRO was running a drill simulating a small aircraft crashing into an agency tower — canceled "as soon as the real world events began." None of it was ever adjudicated in any accountability proceeding; the record stands as the treason element's documented backdrop.

The Edge layer — the network underneath, and its reaches. And none of it without Epstein's Edge Foundation members, affiliates, and network: if none of them or their companies existed, there would be no Cambridge Analytica, no Facebook, no Twitter/X, no Palantir, no Valar, no Microsoft, no Google, no Tesla, no Starlink — and so there would be no Trump 2016–2026. [RI — the thesis; V on the Edge memberships and founding lines per the settled cross-reference record]

The participants — the rosters the corpus holds. [V — the EFTA corpus rosters per the settled record; presence ≠ wrongdoing] The Edge convening circuit ran through Epstein himself: the June 23,

2009 Edge Master Class — George Church's synthetic-genomics course, first day taught at Elon Musk's SpaceX facility — Epstein on the same list as Bezos, Brin, Gates, Page, Zuckerberg, Myhrvold, Omidyar, Skoll, Safra, and Nick Pritzker (EFTA00772248);

The Edge Dinner, Vancouver, March 17, 2014 — Paul Allen, Jeff Bezos, Sergey Brin, Epstein, Tony Fadell, Bill Gates, Salar Kamangar, Dean Kamen, Marissa Mayer, Yuri Milner, Elon Musk, Nathan Myhrvold, Pierre Omidyar, Tim O'Reilly, Larry Page, Lucy Southworth, Jean Pigozzi, Nick Pritzker, Jacqui Safra, Ricardo Salinas Pliego, Charles Simonyi, Jeff Skoll, Evan Williams, Anne Wojcicki (EFTA01934300, EFTA01933064); the June 2015 Edge Master Class "AT Google, Sci Foo" — Demis Hassabis, Nick Bostrom, Stuart Russell, Max Tegmark, Jaan Tallinn, Richard Thaler, Stephen Wolfram, Nicolas Berggruen, Peter Gabriel, Ian McEwan, Leon Panetta, Saul Perlmutter, George Smoot, Freeman Dyson, Daniel Dennett, Steven Pinker, with Peter Lee (Microsoft Research, ex-DARPA), Dave Morin (Facebook Platform co-inventor), Salar Kamangar, and John Markoff (EFTA02496272 — the Brockman→Epstein guest-list coordination email itself); the second brockman@edge.org list adding Zuckerberg, Jimmy Wales, Sean Parker, Ari Emanuel, Stewart Brand, Bill Joy, George Dyson, David Brooks, Anne Treisman (EFTA02032023);

And the August 2015 Edge-conference itinerary running through Thiel, Hoffman, "Devish," and Brockman (per the settled cross-reference record). The event logistics were being run past Epstein — the 2015 companion datum to the class taught at SpaceX.

The financiers. [V on the corpus anchors] Epstein himself — in Brockman's own words to him, September 2018: "I told him you funded people like Seth, Nowak, Lee, and were a regular backer of EDGE" (EFTA01600824) — the Edge Foundation's financier of record; and the same orbit's money: the Gates/BGC3-Nikolic channel of the settled record; the Epstein foundation's $100,000 to Ben Goertzel (Humanity Plus/OpenCog) and $20,000 to the Worldwide Transhumanist Association (EFTA00018441), and the $30,000 Southern Trust invoice to Novamente LLC for the "DNA Analysis Project" machine-learning work (EFTA01191405, Feb. 4, 2015); Reid Hoffman's joint bitcoin position with Epstein (EFTA00631402) and the Blockstream allocation bumped $50k→$500k (EFTA01917472); Joi Ito's management of the Epstein Kyara LLC chain into the MIT Media Lab; and the crypto layer — ~$18.5M into Coinbase and Blockstream, all bank wires, zero on-chain.

How it affected the relator's life. [RI — the application; U on the dictated reaches; V on the anchors] The network underneath is not abstract to this relator — its reaches into his life are documented: the 2004 origin chain (the Roche/Kelly year) already ran through the Hoffmann Edge lists of the settled record's year-lines; the December 2012 Edge Foundation meeting — "the problems they faced together," per the dictated record [U — PVR, estimated date]; the weeks before and after the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure — the personal meetings with Thiel, Gates, Burns, Ruemmler, and Black [U — dictated; anchored by the Sept. 13–14, 2014 Thiel–Burns lunches, EFTA02098460] — the orbit convening around the seizure of the qui tam draft that named them; and the companies the circuit built became the instruments of the harm — the platforms of the interference, blacklist, defamation, and stalking spokes of the settled records (the C1/C3/C5 chains), the Palantir/KKR/Cal-OES layer of the aircraft-stalking record, and the Cambridge Analytica/Bannon/Manafort/Milner election layer of 2016 (the settled year-line floors) that produced the Trump 2016–2026 presidency — the engine of the harms this Introduction marshals.

The financiers of the erosion — the campaign-finance bridge (the Oct. 28, 2026 second deep dive). The legal vehicles were not eroded by ideas alone; they were financed, and the corpus carries the bridges: *Citizens United* (2010) built the unlimited-money vehicle, and its president, David Bossie, became Trump's 2016 deputy campaign manager — with the EFTA-verified Epstein→Ribis→Bossie Aug. 2017 iMessage chain as the direct network bridge (tool 43's dictated CU layer, now verified at the document tier); Henry Kravis — the GMR-hub financier of the stalking record (Section 4's KKR layer)

— gave $1M to Trump's 2017 inaugural committee (FEC-reported), the campaign-finance bridge to the administration that appointed the 2017–2020 judicial class (Section 2's GOP six); and the California statute-erasure finding — the state-side erosions of the vehicles for the relator's rights, their financiers linked back to the same network per the standing instruction: the financiers of the changes in the laws. [V on Bossie, the Ribis chain [V/D], the Kravis gift, and the statute-erasure finding; RI on the linkage; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing] And the recovered-record layer (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive) supplies the chain's document precision: the Aug. 1, 2017 iMessage — Epstein to Nicholas Ribis: "i suggest you reach out to bossie. and set a meeting." (EFTA01039338, EFTA02642131, EFTA02642174) — and "Bossie, David" in Epstein's own press/media contact list (EFTA00660497), the network's media-universe inventory naming the *Citizens United* president. [V/D — the recovered corpus tail, the quotes verbatim; purpose at its own tier] Honest note, carried per the negatives rule: the EFTA production itself contains no Henry Kravis documents — the corpus's "Kravis" hits are the Raymond F. Kravis Center grand-jury subpoena (EFTA00186953) and minor references ("Henry Kravis" = 5; "Kravis" = 15); the Kravis layer therefore stands on the FEC-reported inaugural gift [V-web] and the dictated GMR-hub record [U — dictated], not on EFTA documents — the negative recorded, never counter-argued.

The statistics of intent — the quantified layer (the Oct. 28, 2026 second deep dive). The intent this Introduction asks the law to infer, the record has already measured: the whole-KB statistical-intent analysis — the random-harm probability model across all specified geographies, the one-party repeated-harm and multi-party tests, the null-hypothesis verdict, the bell-curve timing analysis, and the verified population corrections — and its aircraft application: the two-sample intent analysis, the 2026 Falcon 30 attacks tested against the 2023–2024 set under the null hypothesis of no intent (the exhibit the Hegseth entry cross-references). The pattern the model measures is this Introduction's own thesis: that what the law would otherwise call accident becomes intent when the same parties, the same geographies, and the same harms repeat on a schedule no coincidence fits — the quantified substrate under Pinkerton's inference and the § 3730(h) stalking record (tool 38). [V — the settled computations and the two-sample analysis, in-corpus; RI — dictated on the application; the attacks themselves the relator's log record [U]] And the published record now carries the method's own metrics (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive, the recovered layer): the Oct. 11, 2026 published complaint's statistical character — "repeated same-address convergences by the same vehicles, callsigns, and agencies, measured against independent null baselines — shows deviations that are non-random and highly improbable" — with its vehicle-level facts: the Placer County Sheriff's AS-350 "Falcon 30" (N911WL, publicly registered to Placer County, Auburn-based — the same facility CHP's air unit uses) passing at approximately 800–900 feet; the Sacramento Police and Sacramento County Sheriff aircraft passing at approximately 700–900 feet, with circling and repeat passes; convergence on a single fixed residential address by aircraft of four separate agencies — "under any random model it would require independent operators coinciden[tally]" doing the same thing; and the published jet metric — 381 mph at a 1,700-foot super-low pass, the yellow speed graph proving acceleration toward the target. [U — the published complaint and terror-log records, the relator's; V on the public-registration facts; the null-baseline method the settled computations' own]

The engineering was networked and resourced, not improvised: one orbit touching the black book, the EFTA corpus, the 9/11 Commission chair, the 2016 Cabinet talk — and the relator's own family.

The RICO-obstruction chain — the retaliation spoke, CHORI to Sacramento to Florida. [RI — the dictated chain; each anchor tiered below] The reaches above were not passive co-occurrences; per the relator's dictated record they operated as one ongoing RICO obstruction. First, Epstein's Benioff's taking over CHORI after the Russians attacked his investigation about CHORI: the UCSF–Benioff takeover of Children's Hospital Oakland's research institute is verified fact — the affiliation effective

January 1, 2014; the April 2014 $100M Benioff gift and the renaming to UCSF Benioff Children's Hospitals Oakland; UCSF taking over the CHORI building's operations in 2020; the full workforce integration July 1, 2025 [V] — and the relator's account, that the takeover followed his raising of a child's death at CHORI and that the Russians attacked his investigation (the October 20, 2013 cyberattack; the Russians breaking into his home), is his dictated record [U — dictated; the cyberattack per the settled record]; honest note: the affiliation's January 1, 2014 effective date precedes or parallels the 2014 submission, and the full takeover postdates the August 29, 2024 delivery — the causation sequence is queued against his CHORI-complaint dates. And the Oct. 28, 2026 attribution layer [U — dictated, the relator's record]: the buyers were not a passive hospital affiliation — UCSF and the Epstein network itself, the network linked to Roche through the Edge Foundation and the East Bay Express article, are who bought CHORI and replaced the staff — promoting those involved with the murder of kids at CHORI-Roche-UCSF into leadership positions — the takeover as the concealment of the very record it now controls: the institution that holds the evidence of the children's deaths staffed, at the top, by the people involved. [RI on the application; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing; the promotion record open to verification against the settled workforce-integration chronology] And the promotion record's existence question is now resolved at the published tier (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive — the recovered-record layer): the published quo warranto article (UPR News, Oct. 27, 2026) carries the replacement-and-dispersal record itself — "UCSF replacing staffers at CHORI in what amounts to RICO obstruction of the child's death coupled to Roche shuttering a 'dark site' in Alameda, CA, on Atlantic Avenue," following the relator's 2002–2004 CAL OSHA and FDA whistleblowing, "dispersing employees with knowledge of the death at that site, which included UCSF's Shannon Strochein-Stevenson and CHORI's/UCSF's Charlotte Hsieh, working together under the auspices of Karina Orle, Steve Herman, and Roche's/CHORI's Henry Erlich" — the replacement and dispersal record published with the personnel named. [U — the published UPR record, the replacement characterization the relator's; V on the affiliation dates already carried; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing; the identity-and-timing verification against the workforce chronology continues]

Then Epstein's and the Edge Foundation's Maya Hoffmann's Roche — Maja Hoffmann, the Roche heiress of the settled identification, an Edge participant on the corpus's own guest list (EFTA02496272) [D — the source document], and the counterparty of the 2003 "AGENCY #1765-PAB ROCHE" channel [V — corpus], the same Roche of the relator's 2002–2004 whistleblower record and of the December 2012 Google/Roche/Microsoft documents sent to Epstein (EFTA01143305, EFTA01143418) [V].

Then Epstein's and Leon Black's Edge Group's Microsoft–Yahoo — the second nexus outside the EFTA files: the Edge Foundation financed by Epstein and Leon Black per the DOJ EFTA files themselves [V — the settled finding]; "Boris from Microsoft" = Boris Nikolic, the Gates advisor [D]; and Yahoo's chain running through Barr — Verizon's general counsel at the 2017 Verizon acquisition of Yahoo — then to Leon Black's Apollo Global, which bought Verizon Media/Yahoo in 2021 [V — the corporate record; the Barr-and-Black concentration is the relator's dictated nexus, RI] — with Google beside it.

And when the relator's whistleblower record reached these entities, the Google-and-East-Bay-Express coverup: the July 21, 2004 East Bay Express article [V — as published], deployed per the dictated record by defamation and preemptive defamation to drive him out of the area — to Sacramento [U — the dictated framing; the article is the C3 defamation conspiracy's origin-era furtherer per the settled record] — the city where Trump joined the conspiracy at KCRA (2007) and where the Ninth Circuit's conference would one day sit.

Then the hub: those sharing government contracting funds with Epstein's Roche then furthered the qui tam retaliation, defamation, and preemptive defamation — in a hub around Epstein's, the Edge Foundation's and Barr's Leon Black's, Stanford's, Kaiser's, and UC Davis's Henry Kravis's KKR [RI —

the dictated hub; V on the government-contracting record: KKR owns GMR (REACH Air, CALSTAR), the ~$1.66B federal contracts table of the settled research; the stalking-and-death-threats record is the relator's [U — dictated, governed by the aircraft-stalking protocol of the settled log]] — ongoing retaliation, defamation, discrimination, and harassment, to intimidate, to RICO obstruct: the C1/C3/C5 spokes of the settled record.

Then the grand-conspiracy entrapment public threats, then the Browns Socialhouse entrapment threats [U — dictated, as recorded per the settled case layers].

And all of it linked to Florida: Cannon's and Thomas's and Fomitchev's and the Russian oligarchs' and Trump's and Epstein's Florida — the judge who sealed the files (Fort Pierce, S.D. Fla.); the Circuit Justice assigned to the Eleventh Circuit; the Alfa-Bank advisor's web-verified Florida base near Useppa Island [RI — the dictated linkage; V on each geographic anchor].

And to the UK royals through Gary Hoffman, Barclays, XTM, EAM, QRails, 2Shores, Taxes for Expats, Fortress, and the others [U — the dictated channel; V on the settled substrates: Gary Hoffman CBE, ex-Barclays — the Hoffman→Barclays→Staley lane is Epstein-relevant, as Staley ran the JPMorgan account and rose to Barclays's CEO; the XTM/QRails/2Shores Canadian fintech cluster and EAM (EFM Asset Management, QRails' Series-A investor) per the settled entity record; honest notes preserved: no Epstein tie found for the fintech cluster itself, and Taxes for Expats an honest corpus negative (no tie found) — negatives recorded, never counter-argued; Fortress a real corpus layer (Briger↔Dubin↔Epstein, the 315-page floor, 80% Epstein co-occurrence) — inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]. The channel is the relator's dictated record on those substrates; the negatives are recorded, not counter-argued.

The ninth-pass bridge — the boards, the employers, and the Mount Sinai reporting (Oct. 26, 2026). [RI — the dictated bridge; each anchor tiered; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing] The reporting of October 2026 reached the board this record has named: Glenn Dubin has stepped down from the board of Mount Sinai hospital — the hospital says the Dubins' terms "ended this past spring" and "they did not seek re-election," and has not said whether the departures were connected to its Epstein-ties review — and Leon Black served on that same board [V as reported]. Rep. Thomas Massie named Dubin and Black on the House floor last month among fourteen "Epstein co-conspirators" who ought to face investigation and possible prosecution [V as reported — allegation tier, never adjudicated]. Mount Sinai features prominently in the DOJ Epstein files themselves — including the reported episode of a Mount Sinai doctor treating a young Russian woman inside Epstein's Upper East Side townhouse — and the Black Family Stem Cell Institute and the Debra and Leon Black Professor of Pediatrics chair stand at the Icahn School [V as reported]. Honest note: the reporting is sourced to "sources close to the institution," and the connection between the departures and the Epstein review is not established by the reporting — the dictated linkage stays [RI].

The dictated chain the reporting lands on. [U — dictated, the relator's record; honest disambiguation preserved] Leon Black — under Epstein's control and influence, per the dictated record — bought the relator's employer and his communications via Apollo; the relator was then run out of the University of Phoenix after whistleblowing Title IX violations; and his other former employer, Betsy DeVos of Amway, then attempted to dismantle the University of Phoenix. (Honest note: the settled corporate record is the 2017 sale of the University of Phoenix to the Vistria/Najafi consortium, with Leon Black the co-founder of Apollo Global Management who resigned in 2021 amid the Epstein-ties revelations — the dictated line is the relator's position on that chain, recorded, not counter-argued.)

The DeVos reaches. [U — dictated] The DeVos family is part of the Children's Hospital Association via the Helen DeVos Children's Hospital — the same network CHORI was linked to. Amway had an Alfa-Bank connection; and Alfa-Bank's Fomitchev — the Useppa Island approach already marshaled above

— attempted to have a Russian oligarch "finance" the relator before the 2016 elections; Alfa-Bank links to Trump Tower and to the DeVos family's Spectrum Health. And the DeVos family and Kissinger were owners and influencers of Theranos — Kissinger's board seat already marshaled above — which tried to recruit the relator's wife twice, a year apart, each attempt in the same months Epstein met with Kissinger [U — dictated; V on the September 2011 and September 2013 meeting months per the corpus].

The Wildenstein reaches. [U — dictated] Trump had Jocelyn Wildenstein as a tenant at Trump World at the United Nations plaza; Guy Wildenstein — of the Epstein orbit, the father's medals layer, and the Nazi-era art record above — and Leon Black would have known each other at the Museum of Modern Art, where Black was chairman from 2018 to 2021 [V on the chairmanship]; and Guy Wildenstein's de facto business partner was Maja Hoffmann — the Roche heiress of the Edge layer above, who owned and influenced Roche, the relator's former employer.

The Dailey reach. [U — dictated; the settled substrates cited] Daniel Dailey — the officer of the Rio Vista record, affiliated with UC Davis Children's Hospital — was the officer the relator first approached, representing the federal judge he was trying to bring his qui tam to, Morrison England; the relator was entrapped instead by Dailey's friends, former coworkers, and allies — who then stalked and threatened him with death for a decade, along with Epstein's Henry Kravis's KKR's CALSTAR and REACH Air, and Epstein's Kaiser Air; Kravis was a financier and friend of Black. KKR's companies served UC Davis, Stanford, and Kaiser, who shared funds with Roche, as did Epstein — who also shared funds with Mount Sinai, where Dubin and Black were on the board.

The Dubin–Fortress–Browns reach. [U — dictated; V on the Fortress corpus layer] Glenn Dubin is linked to the cross-border entrapment scheme via Fortress — the Briger↔Dubin↔Epstein corpus layer of the settled record, inclusion ≠ wrongdoing — and a CPA from that firm became the CEO of Taxes for Expats, who joined the cross-border entrapment scheme, along with the EAM/XTM/AnyDay/EveryDay entities linked to Browns Socialhouse. Browns was operated at a franchise owned by the tribe Charles III visited with; Charles III was linked to Browns' financial firms via Gary Hoffman of Epstein's and/or Trump's Barclays; and Charles III was separately implied as a brother sharing a sex-trafficking victim with Prince Andrew — who, per the dictated wording, "did yucky things to a victim" — an allegation never adjudicated, carried at its tier. And his government has also refused the relator justice, as has the Trump government.

The register line that closes the bridge: the two largest named families in the Epstein black book are the UK/EU royals and the Trump family, per the relator's record — and Kravis and Black are also found in the black book [V on the black-book presence; RI on the "largest families" reading]. And the Edge Foundation — financed by Epstein and Leon Black per the DOJ EFTA files themselves [V — the settled finding, marshaled above] — is the layer whose billionaires, per the dictated record, conspired to harm the relator and the US government, which he reported to the government 2014–2024 [U — dictated; RI on the conspiracy characterization]. Every spoke of this Section's wheel — the boards, the employers, the financiers, the royals — returns to the same hub: the orbit the relator reported to the government, and the retaliation the reporting brought.

Trump–Russia (2015–present), per Durham–Schiff and Mueller. The method was named in the conspirator's own email — Cohen/Sater, November 3, 2015: "Buddy our boy can become President of the USA and we can engineer it. I will get all of Putins team to buy in on this." [V as published] Engineering, by name. The enemy channel was Russia (the settled Durham record: the June 21, 2023 "Yes" to the question of GOP cheating with the enemy [as recorded]); the obstruction was specified by Mueller himself — Volume II's obstruction episodes, and the report's own words that it "does not exonerate" [V as published]; and the treason, sedition, elections fraud, and their RICO obstruction were never adjudicated — dismissed, delayed, pardoned, and protected by the de facto SCOTUS.

The pardons, clemency, and known obstructions. All the pardons and clemency those disqualified from office employed — Ford's pardon of Nixon (1974); the Christmas 1992 Iran-Contra six; Trump's Stone, Manafort, Flynn, and the rest [V] — with the obstructions specified by Mueller [V as published] — leave little doubt that the Cohen/Sater 11/03/2015 emails of "engineering" the GOP/Trump were actually part of one ongoing conspiracy reaching back to Nixon and the South Vietnamese, then furthered by Iran-Contra, then the resulting SCOTUS of 2000, followed by the distraction of 9/11 and the pivot of national attention to "enemies" that only the GOP could sniff out, then Trump and the Russians, followed by the pardons, the clemency, the RICO obstruction, and the COVID mismanagement grift and distraction — followed by the disqualifying treason, insurrection, elections fraud, espionage, stolen documents, destroyed documents, concealed documents, and BRD. [RI — the synthesis; V on each anchor]

The obstructers of the adjudication. Anyone who tried to RICO obstruct — including the de facto SCOTUS and Aileen Cannon pretending official capacity — by not following the constitutional requirements to disqualify (Anderson: the Court leaving § 3 to a Congress that would not act), the GOP not following the constitutional requirements to impeach (two impeachments, two acquittals), Cannon sealing the evidence of all of it irregularly, and the attacks on qui tam and Special Counsel authority — joined themselves to the ongoing conspiracy to defraud the government, civilly and criminally, all these ways, by "engineering" themselves and Trump into power — all outside of official capacity, and outside of immunity: BRD × 5, CO, the whistleblower's determination post-law-school exposure via the 24-year academic research project, corroborated by more than one AI system. No lone wolf is crying wolf here. This is the law, and no one is above the same. [RI — the synthesis; anchors as recorded per Section 1 and the settled record]

One shared ongoing conspiracy suffices — and the record supplies many. Only one shared ongoing conspiracy is required to remove and void them all — Section 3's holding: the agreement shown, then each liable for all — and this record supplies many more than one ongoing disqualifying conspiracy spoke: Nixon/South Vietnam, Iran-Contra, the 2000 SCOTUS, 9/11, Trump/Russia — separate spokes meeting in the one hub: engineering into power, obstructing the adjudication, concealing in perpetuity. The wheel is one wheel; each spoke answers for it. [RI]

Section 5 — The Deaths Without Limitations: Bribery, Retroactive Immunity, and the Clocks That Never Ran

Where you are: how liability was meant to die without a trial — and why the perpetuity doctrine keeps every clock stopped by concealment stopped.

The end state the engineering was built to purchase: liability killed without a trial. The three channels to marshal: **bribery laundered as campaign finance** — *Citizens United v. FEC*, 558 U.S. 310 (2010), the doors opened to unlimited corporate and dark-money election expenditure, the off-the-books channel through which the quid meets the quo; **retroactive immunity** — immunity granted after the fact to shut down reckoning for completed wrongdoing (the pardon pattern of the chain: Nixon in 1974, the Iran-Contra six at Christmas 1992); **death by limitations clock** — wrongdoers pleading the passage of time their own concealment purchased. Section 3's perpetuity doctrine answers all three: a clock stopped by concealment stays stopped — estoppel and tolling attach until the conspiracy ends; and immunity granted after the fact cannot validate what never existed in law (*Norton*: the void act confers nothing — no right, no office, no shield). For the ongoing conspiracies in perpetuity, there are no limitations-period deaths: the remedy runs until the conspiracy ends. [RI — the frame; V on Citizens United, the pardon record, and Norton]

Section 6 — The Venue: The Ninth Circuit, Not the Circuit of the De Facto

Where you are: where the record was delivered (Seattle, Aug. 2024), where it went missing, why the Eleventh Circuit cannot judge its own cause, and the request — the emergency read by Justice Kagan, and the injunction.

The thesis of this Section, on behalf of the relator: the Eleventh Circuit and its SCOTUS are the improper venue and the improper people to hear this case, and the same belongs in the Ninth Circuit — where the relator first delivered what this Introduction builds upon, on August 29, 2024, now missing from the Epstein files, and directly linked to Jack Smith's files. And the request that follows from it: an emergency read by Justice Kagan in the Ninth Circuit, and an injunction against the Trump administration, SCOTUS, and Congressional acts furthering the conspiracy — their former acts and changes void from conception — because they are still posturing to attack the rule of law to harm the relator, to RICO obstruct for them, to keep them in power, and so all of that needs to be stopped. [RI — the dictated thesis; V on each anchor below]

The delivery record — the Ninth Circuit, August 2024

August 26, 2024. Department of State, Rewards for Justice delivery — Receipt No. 663225, "RE: RFJ Info," received by DRS SA Kent Anderson. [V]

August 29, 2024. The relator's trip to Western Washington — the USAO Seattle acceptance and delivery, one date. On the 29th, the United States Attorney's Office, W.D. Wash. (Seattle), agreed in writing, in camera, to accept the Janson Report — and agreed to conduit it to those investigating Trump, including Special Counsel Jack Smith — and the same trip was the delivery: Receipt No. 663273, "The Janson Report," "FOR special Counsel Jack Smith," signed Murray Blake: approximately one million pages in 3,000 folders, approximately 10,000 pages of IRAC analysis, with jurats and affidavits. The acceptance-agreement and the delivery are the same date — the 29th, per the relator's dictated correction of the record (the earlier "Aug. 24" acceptance date was a UPR misattribution, now corrected); the State Department receipt (the 26th) remains distinct and receipt-anchored. [per the written record and the receipts — the acceptance-agreement date per the relator's binding dictated correction; V on both receipts; honest note: UPR 3303346's "08/24/2024" acceptance date is corrected, not repeated]

The Western District of Washington sits in the Ninth Circuit. The delivery was made in the Ninth Circuit's territory, to the Ninth Circuit's own United States Attorney, marked for the Special Counsel — the record of this Introduction's subject matter was delivered where this Introduction now asks to be read. [V on the district's circuit assignment; RI on the venue significance]

The Report missing from the Epstein files — the absence documented

What Sections 1 through 5 build on was delivered; it is no longer in the corpus. The full-corpus full-text sweep of the DOJ EFTA Epstein production (Oct. 10, 2026; corpus v2 — 1,425,565 documents, 2,923,763 pages) returns 45 page-hits for "Janson," and every one of them is C.G. Janson, the neurogenetics researcher — zero pages of the Report. The absence is documented, and absence is not non-existence: the delivery receipts, the written in-camera acceptance, and the sworn penalty-of-perjury statements published on the record (Sept. 11, 2026) establish what was delivered, and the corpus establishes what is missing. A government record, accepted in writing by a United States Attorney's Office, does not evaporate: its destruction, loss, or concealment is itself within the records

offenses of Section 1's inventory (18 U.S.C. § 2071 — tool 10; 44 U.S.C. §§ 3106, 3314 — tool 50). [V on the sweep, the receipts, and the sworn statements; RI on the offense characterization]

The direct link to Jack Smith's files

The Report was delivered "FOR special Counsel Jack Smith." The settled research record supplies the bridge: Jack Smith, Windom, Duree, Gaston, and Hulser — five for five, sworn — established the beyond-a-reasonable-doubt record [as recorded]; Cannon sealed Volume II, the evidence closest to that record, first by the emergency injunction of Jan. 21, 2025, then by the permanent injunction of Feb. 23, 2026 (with the 218-day undue-delay finding); and the channeling contention — the same files, per the published record — is the relator's reasonable inference on the published substrates. [RI on the synthesis; V/as recorded on the anchors] Smith's own Senate testimony (Sept. 29, 2026) confirms what the July 15, 2024 dismissal was: without prejudice — the case was never adjudicated; it was interrupted.

Cannon's acts — void from conception

The dictated thesis: Aileen Cannon's appointment and seal, her kicking Jack Smith off the case, and the attacks on qui tam authority were void from conception. The anchors: an act without authority "creates no office" and is "as inoperative as though it had never been passed" (*Norton*, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886)); an action taken by one not validly serving "shall have no force or effect" and "may not be ratified" (5 U.S.C. § 3348(d)); the unprecedented Appointments-Clause dismissal of July 15, 2024; the Volume II seal regime that buried the record delivered for that prosecution; and the attacks on the two channels — the Special Counsel and the private relator — that Section 4 already marshaled. (The attribution note carries over: the qui tam constitutional strikes — *Zafirov* (M.D. Fla. 2024, reasserted May 2025) — are Judge Kathryn Kimball Mizelle's; Cannon's attacks are the Special Counsel-authority dismissal and the seal regime. [V on the attribution]) Honest note, per the settled record: the strongest forms of the voiding argument against Cannon are the conduct-based forms — § 455/*Caperton* disqualification, the §§ 351–364 complaint channel, and vacatur under § 2106/§ 1651 — with the dictated appointment thesis resting on the same void-from-conception doctrine those forms enforce. [RI — the dictated thesis; V on each anchor]

The improper venue and the improper people — the Eleventh Circuit and its SCOTUS

Nemo iudex in causa sua: no one may be judge in his own cause. The Eleventh Circuit's record in this matter is the convergence Section 3's counterfeit-capacity doctrine describes: one person, one courthouse, Fort Pierce — the judge who dismissed the prosecution, the custodian who sealed its evidence, and the beneficiary of both rulings, the same judge. And the circuit's assigned Circuit Justice — per the Supreme Court's own assignments order (effective Sept. 28, 2022) — is Clarence Thomas, himself a subject of Section 4's record: the Eleventh Circuit's SCOTUS is the improper SCOTUS because the justice assigned to it is among the people this Introduction's tools reach. On November 2, 2026, at 2 p.m. in Atlanta, the Eleventh Circuit will hear the Volume II unsealing argument — the circuit asked to adjudicate the seal its own district judge imposed, on the files delivered for the prosecution its judge dismissed, under the assignments of the justice whose own record the sealed files would reach. The subjects of the brief cannot be its adjudicators: that is the definition of the disqualified judge. [V on the assignments, the schedule, and the record; RI on the dictated venue thesis]

The sequence tell — the disqualifications came first. The dictated sequence, and its dates: the relator

came to these disqualification conclusions before he went to the DOJ and the DOS — before the August 2024 deliveries (the 26th and the 29th). [U — the dictated sequence; V on the delivery dates] Cannon sealed his files in Jack Smith's files after that — the emergency seal of January 21, 2025, the permanent injunction of February 23, 2026. [V] And retroactive immunity for the President of the United States came only after the relator disqualified SCOTUS — *Trump v. United States*, decided July 1, 2024. [V — the ruling; the priority sequence — the relator's disqualification of the Court predating the ruling — is his dictated record, U] The significance is the priority: the disqualifications did not follow the litigation — the seal and the immunity followed the disqualifications. Clarence Thomas and Aileen Cannon have no business hearing this matter in Florida: the conclusions that disqualify them were reached before the record was ever delivered, and their subsequent acts — the seal on the delivered files, the immunity ruling for the engineered presidency (Sections 4–5) — are the aftermath, not the cause. [RI — the dictated thesis; V on each date]

Why the Ninth Circuit

The delivery was made there (W.D. Wash., Seattle — the Ninth Circuit's territory); the absent record was last known to be there; and the Ninth Circuit's own conference record is the checking system this Introduction asks to operate: Justice Kagan at the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference in Portland (Aug. 3, 2023) — "We're not imperial and we too are a part of a checking and balancing system in various ways"; at the Ninth Circuit conference in Sacramento (July 25, 2024) — the first justice to call publicly for an enforcement mechanism for the Court's ethics code; and at NYU (Dec. 9, 2024) — "plenty of judges around this country could do a task like that in a very fair-minded and serious way." The commitment record converges on the same justice: the Grassley-exchange stare decisis pledge (p. 125, or p. 135 by PDF pagination) and *Kimble*'s "foundation stone of the rule of law." The justice who made the pledge, described the checking system, and is assigned to the circuit where the record was delivered is the justice the relator asks to read it. [V on each anchor] And the relator's own bridge (Section 4) began in Sacramento — Ninth Circuit territory: the story this Introduction tells started where it now asks to be read. [V on the circuit assignment; RI on the significance]

The Request — the emergency read, and the injunction

The emergency read. The relator requests an emergency read by Justice Kagan in the Ninth Circuit. The Supreme Court's own assignments order (effective Sept. 28, 2022) assigns her precisely there: "For the Ninth Circuit — Elena Kagan, Associate Justice (Alaska, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Northern Mariana Islands, Washington)." [V] The practice confirms the channel: emergency applications from the Ninth Circuit are addressed to her by name as Circuit Justice (October 2026: "TO THE HONORABLE ELENA KAGAN, CIRCUIT JUSTICE FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT"), and she has acted on them alone or referred them to the full Court (the April 2025 denial; the May 2025 referral). [V] The request is addressed to the justice the Court's own order designates.

The injunction. The relator requests an injunction against the Trump administration, SCOTUS, and Congressional acts furthering the conspiracy — their former acts and changes void from conception, per *Norton* and § 3348(d): the injunction does not undo what never existed in law; it stops the ongoing use of it. The form is available and in use: a federal district judge (D.D.C.) preliminarily enjoined the administration's EFTA conduct in June 2026 (*Phang v. Blanche*, June 25, 2026). [V] Section 3's perpetuity doctrine supplies the duration — estoppel and tolling attached until the conspiracy ends — and Section 5 supplies why no limitations clock bars it. [RI — the request; V on each anchor]

The emergency basis — the posture continues

And yet they are still posturing to attack the rule of law to harm the relator, to RICO obstruct for them, to keep them in power — the retribution record already logged, the Nov. 2, 2026 argument already calendared, the delivered record already missing — and so all of that needs to be stopped. The emergency is not anticipation; it is the continuation of the same ongoing conspiracy Section 3 describes, now aimed at the person who delivered the record of it: harm to the relator to obstruct for them, to keep them in power. The request of this Section is the toolbox's application to the posture itself — read, enjoin, stop. [RI — the dictated frame; V on the schedule and record anchors]

The posture's tell — the secret is out. [RI — the dictated frame; each anchor tiered] The UK royals have fled California: the Montecito household relocated to the United Kingdom with their children in August 2026, after six years — abandoning the "daddy hates me" both-side-ism propaganda, per the dictated record. [V on the relocation] The prosecutors are fleeing in drives: the diGenova resignation, the Mecklenburg forced-retirement letter, the six Minnesota resignations, the late-September 2026 Olsen resignation. [as recorded per the settled record] Trump's closest insiders and loyalists are abandoning pursuit of the DARVO prosecutions. [RI — dictated] And Congress has contacted the relator: the Senate Finance Committee's Oct. 13, 2026 acknowledgment, from the committee of the senator whose own files the settled record already holds. [V] The waters are chummed — and the Eleventh Circuit and Cannon still want to be the judges for their own legal conspiracy, the one they need to conceal and keep obstructing for, to keep the lid on all of this. [RI — the dictated frame; the concealment thesis is Section 4's]

Section 7 — The Release Orders: Every Branch Has Demanded the Files Forward

Merged into the Reader's Edition Oct. 27, 2026 from the relator's standalone release-orders research record ("Epstein Files Release Orders — Judicial Language and Dates"). Where this section's material overlaps the delivery record, the Cannon record, or the Phang litigation already carried in Sections 1, 5, and 6, those sections remain the anchors and are cross-referenced rather than repeated; everything else from the release-orders record is carried here in full — the precise judicial language, the dates, and the conditional analyses — with its tiers preserved. Quotes are as reported in press coverage of the underlying opinions. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; allegations remain allegations; the conditional theses of subsections 7.6–7.8 remain conditional.

The demand for release is not one branch's position — it is the position of every branch of the federal government. The **legislative branch** (Congress) passed the Act in near-unanimous votes; the **executive branch** signed it into law and — through the DOJ's own court filings — repeatedly petitioned to unseal the materials; and the **judicial branch**, through judges appointed by presidents of both parties, has time and again ruled that the law "unambiguously applies," that "public disclosure of such materials is the rule," and that any remaining materials "should become part of the public record." When all three branches of the federal government have demanded that these files be released — and the only resistance has come from executive-branch delay, over-redaction, and refusal that a federal judge has already found likely unlawful — the conclusion is inescapable: **these files must be released.** Any continued withholding is not a position any branch of government has defended on the merits; it is defiance of a congressional mandate carrying executive assent, enforced by the courts, and it should be treated as such. [V on the orders and votes; RI on the characterization]

7.1 The Act and the four judges — what must come forward, what may not, and who ordered it

The legislative trigger: the Epstein Files Transparency Act (EFTA), H.R. 4405 — passed by Congress in near-unanimous votes in November 2025 and signed by President Trump; the Act requires the Attorney General to publish, "in a searchable and downloadable format, all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative materials in DOJ's possession that relate to the investigation and prosecution of Jeffrey Epstein," by December 19, 2025. The Act creates a narrow exception to normal grand jury secrecy rules and limits certain FOIA exemptions that would ordinarily permit withholding. It contains no express enforcement mechanism or penalties for delay — which is precisely why enforcement migrated into the courts, giving the judiciary the decisive pro-release role against an executive branch that repeatedly delayed, over-redacted, or refused. [V on the Act's text and dates]

Under the Act and the rulings enforcing it, **what must come forward** is the full universe of "all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative materials in DOJ's possession" relating to the investigation and prosecution of Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell, published in a searchable and downloadable format by December 19, 2025 — a mandate the courts applied to force out the Maxwell criminal-case grand jury materials ("public disclosure of such materials is the rule," Engelmayer, Dec. 9, 2025), the remaining sealed materials from the *Giuffre v. Maxwell* case ("any remaining materials in the Government's possession should become part of the public record," Preska, Aug. 11, 2026), and the specific withheld items Sullivan ordered unredacted or justified by July 2, 2026 (eight emails involving Epstein, two documents naming potential co-conspirators, the draft 2009 Southern District of Florida indictment, foreign-language records, the FBI interview notes behind four FD-302s, and a full public log of every redaction made), while Kollar-Kotelly ordered expedited FOIA processing of the investigative records mentioning Trump or Mar-a-Lago. **What may not come forward**, by the Act's own limits and every judge's rulings, is: classified records (excluded from the Act's coverage); any information identifying victims — victim-protecting redactions are required across all releases, and sexualized imagery of victims is withheld entirely (Engelmayer's order built a "mechanism to protect victims from the inadvertent release of materials ... that would identify them or otherwise invade their privacy"; Preska released materials "subject to any victim-protecting redactions authorized by the Act"); and material DOJ contends is duplicate, privileged, or otherwise statutorily exempt — the category DOJ invoked to withhold roughly half of the estimated six million pages, the very withholding Sullivan's injunction found likely unlawful and Kollar-Kotelly's expedition order put at the front of the queue for testing. Grand jury secrecy, the traditional shield for such records, was expressly overridden by the Act's narrow exception, but only within these same victim-protection limits. [V as reported]

Judge Paul A. Engelmayer (S.D.N.Y. — the *U.S. v. Maxwell* criminal case) [appointed by President Obama, 2011]:

- **August 2025 — the initial denial.** Engelmayer rejected DOJ's first unsealing request (Judge Richard M. Berman denied the parallel Epstein-case request on timing grounds), writing that the materials "do not identify any person other than Epstein and Maxwell as having had sexual contact with a minor"; "do not discuss or identify any client of Epstein's or Maxwell's"; "do not reveal any heretofore unknown means or methods of Epstein's or Maxwell's crimes"; "do not reveal new venues at which their crimes occurred. They do not reveal new sources of their wealth. They do not explore the circumstances of Epstein's death. They do not reveal the path of the Government's investigation." He also accused the government of misleading the public about what the records contained and of exaggerating the likely revelations. [V as reported]

- **December 9, 2025 — the release order.** After the Act was signed, he granted DOJ's renewed motion: "**The Act unambiguously applies**" — not just to the grand jury transcripts and evidence, but to "voluminous discovery" including "all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative materials" in DOJ's possession; and "**In the case of the Maxwell and Epstein grand juries, under the Act, public disclosure of such materials is the rule, subject to the limited exceptions set out in the Act. The Act thus requires the Attorney General to make public the Maxwell grand jury materials, subject to the withholdings and redactions that the Act permits.**" On privacy, he took great care to "**put in place a mechanism to protect victims from the inadvertent release of materials within the discovery in this case that would identify them or otherwise invade their privacy**," and he was critical of DOJ for not consulting victims properly during the process. Coverage noted his blunt framing of expectations: "There's no 'there' there." [V as reported]
- **2026 follow-on rulings.** The Khanna/Massie intervention (early 2026): Reps. Ro Khanna (D-CA) and Thomas Massie (R-KY) sought to intervene to force faster compliance and a court-appointed monitor; Engelmayer denied — "The Court has no authority to grant the relief sought in this posture" — calling their questions "undeniably important and timely" but holding the court cannot compel action through Maxwell's case. The Maxwell habeas petition (2026): rejected her pro se petition as "all meritless and all or almost all are frivolous," "based on speculation, distortions, and/or outright falsehoods," and "falls very far from the mark"; on the Transparency Act materials she cited, they "are largely irrelevant to the charges against Maxwell and do not support her claims of error" — "far from exculpating her, it incriminates her or reinforces the correctness of the legal rulings Maxwell challenges." [V as reported]

Judge Loretta Preska (S.D.N.Y. — *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, No. 15-CV-07433) [appointed by President George H.W. Bush, 1992]:

- **August 11, 2026 — the unsealing order.** Granting DOJ's motion to disclose material covered by the 2016 protective order in the settled Virginia Giuffre defamation case, she found "a compelling need" and extraordinary circumstances to modify the earlier secrecy orders and permit release of any additional documents not already public through Maxwell's criminal trial, prior court-ordered unsealings, or DOJ's releases: "**The court finds that all of Maxwell's objections are meritless and that, in light of the Act, any remaining materials in the Government's possession should become part of the public record, subject to any victim-protecting redactions authorized by the Act.**" She dismissed Maxwell's opposition as "a veritable kitchen sink of objections," accusing her of "hurl[ing] a smorgasbord of procedural, statutory, and constitutional arguments at the court in the hope that one might stick," and held that if the documents remained secret, "the public will be left with an incomplete and potentially misleading picture of Maxwell and Epstein's criminal activities, which would risk undermining the public trust Congress sought to promote" in passing the Act. She ruled the Act supersedes prior secrecy orders, grand jury protections, and Maxwell's privacy objections; victim identities must be redacted under the Act. [V as reported]

Judge Emmet G. Sullivan (D.D.C. — *Phang v. Blanche*) [appointed by President Clinton, 1999] — the *Phang* litigation's regularity record is carried at Section 6; the order's own language and dates are carried here:

- **June 25, 2026 — the 48-page opinion and preliminary injunction.** Journalist/attorney Katie Phang sued Acting Attorney General Todd Blanche over improper redactions. Sullivan found Phang had standing — she "identified half a dozen stories she is currently unable to report" — and that FOIA "does not provide an adequate remedy"; noted DOJ's own earlier position that the

Transparency Act "directed a much broader and less redacted release of the files than would have been made under the FOIA" — using the executive's own words against its later withholding position; found DOJ likely broke the law by withholding key records; found that Blanche "conceded Ms. Phang's merits arguments" by missing a 1 p.m. response deadline; and denied DOJ's request for a seven-day pause pending a possible appeal. [V as reported]

- **Ordered by July 2, 2026 — unredact or justify:** (1) at least eight emails involving Epstein discussing a "torture video" and sexual activity with young women, including minors (senders/recipients blacked out); (2) two documents identifying potential co-conspirators; (3) a draft 2009 indictment from the Southern District of Florida; (4) foreign-language records that may fall under the Act; (5) the FBI interview notes underlying four FD-302 reports summarizing unverified allegations against President Trump; and (6) a full public redaction log of every redaction made across the released files, as required by law. [V as reported]
- **July 2, 2026 — the DOJ refusal.** DOJ told Sullivan it had already met its obligations, declined to release the additional material, called the judge's approach "perverse," and vowed to appeal. DOJ claims to have released roughly 3.5 million pages (about half of the estimated 6 million collected), withholding what it calls duplicate, privileged, or irrelevant records — an open executive-versus-judicial standoff. [V as reported]

Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly (D.D.C. — *Democracy Defenders Fund v. DOJ*) [appointed by President Clinton, 1998]:

- **September 30, 2026 — summary judgment for expedited FOIA processing.** The Democracy Defenders Fund (DDF) sought expedited processing of FOIA requests; Kollar-Kotelly granted summary judgment, finding the requests involve "widespread and exceptional media interest" and "possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence" — the two conditions required under DOJ's own regulation, 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv), the court applying DOJ's own rule against DOJ: the department's refusal treated as a constructive denial of its own regulatory obligation. The July 22, 2025 request — granted expedition in full — sought records from the federal investigations of Epstein and Maxwell mentioning "Donald J. Trump," "Donald Trump," "President Trump," name variations, Mar-a-Lago, or any code name, pseudonym, or cryptonym used to refer to Trump or the Palm Beach estate. The July 28, 2025 requests — seeking internal communications about how senior DOJ and FBI officials handled the files — DOJ argued were too broad, covering virtually "any" DOJ official; she agreed in part and narrowed those portions to the specifically named senior officials, but found most of the requests qualified for expedition. She rejected DDF's alternative argument under FOIA's statutory "compelling need" provision, finding the organization had not shown its primary function is disseminating information. The important limitation: the ruling does not require immediate release of any records — it only moves the qualifying requests to the front of the FOIA processing queue. Norm Eisen (DDF): "BIG WIN for making the Epstein Files public... Orders DOJ to get busy giving us the docs. We will hold their feet to the fire!" [V as reported]

Related judicial actions in the same pro-release line. Judge Rodney Smith (S.D. Fla.) [appointed by President Trump] — in early December 2025 ordered release of transcripts from the abandoned 2005/2007 federal grand jury investigation of Epstein. Judge Richard M. Berman (S.D.N.Y.) [appointed by President Clinton] — alongside Engelmayer in August 2025, initially denied (on timing grounds) the Epstein-case unsealing request; the 2019 Epstein-case records request remained pending after the Act's passage. [V as reported]

The judicial-versus-executive pattern. Across all four dockets the pattern is consistent: **Congress mandated release (EFTA); the executive (DOJ/FBI) delayed, over-redacted, or refused; and the**

judiciary — judges appointed by presidents of both parties (Obama, G.H.W. Bush, Clinton) — repeatedly ordered the executive branch forward, each grounding the ruling in the Act's own text and in the executive branch's own prior admissions and regulations. [V on the pattern's anchors; RI on the framing]

The four tracks at a glance:

- *Criminal-case unsealing — Engelmayer:* modify the protective order to release grand jury materials; release proceeding with victim redactions.
- *Civil-case unsealing — Preska:* modify the 2016 protective order to release remaining Giuffre-case materials; ordered; redactions required.
- *Act-compliance/unredaction — Sullivan:* preliminary injunction to unredact or justify specific withheld materials; DOJ refused July 2, 2026; appealing.
- *FOIA expedition — Kollar-Kotelly:* summary judgment granting expedited processing only; no disclosure obligation yet.

7.2 The presumption-of-regularity record — the courts' finding, across both administrations

The "presumption of regularity" is the doctrine that courts accept the executive's factual representations at face value absent clear evidence to the contrary — the same presumption Section 6's *United States v. Chemical Foundation* anchor carries in the government's favor only while the government deserves it. Across both Trump administrations, federal judges — including judges appointed by presidents of both parties — have found that presumption forfeited, dislodged, or destroyed. Just Security's tracking project has counted **90 cases** since January 2025 in which courts identified "serious defects in the government's explanations and representations," plus at least 35 more in immigration habeas cases (Just Security, 4th edition; The Hill, Oct. 2026; ProPublica, July 31, 2026). [V as reported]

Second administration (2025–present):

- **Judge Paula Xinis (D. Md.)** [appointed by President Obama] — *Abrego Garcia* (July 2025): "You have taken the presumption of regularity and you've destroyed it." (ProPublica, July 2026)
- **Judge Paul Friedman (D.D.C.)** [appointed by President Clinton] — the August 2025 warning that the President "may have forfeited the right to such a presumption of regularity" (carried in Section 6); and in *Federal Education Association v. Trump* (Aug. 14, 2026 preliminary injunction) found EO 14251's collective-bargaining exclusions retaliatory and pretextual, rebutting the presumption. (Just Security; The Hill)
- **Judge Allison D. Burroughs (D. Mass.)** [appointed by President Obama] — June 2025: "the Court will not apply any presumption of regularity to conduct that is so unusual and therefore irregular on its face." (Just Security, 4th ed.)
- **Judge Colleen McMahon (S.D.N.Y.)** [appointed by President Clinton] — Dec. 18, 2025, on the incomplete NEH-grant-termination record: "Where the record omits materials that were before the agency decisionmakers, the presumption of regularity gives way." (Just Security, 4th ed.)
- **Judge Lauren King (W.D. Wash.)** [appointed by President Biden] — Feb. 9, 2026, immigration detention: "The 'presumption of regularity' is dislodged here by the numerous factual errors in Respondents' filings and by their conflicting representations to the Court." (Just

Security; ProPublica)

- **Judge James Donato (N.D. Cal.)** [appointed by President Obama] — *AFGE v. Trump* (2025): enjoined the collective-bargaining EO as retaliatory, finding the presumption of regularity "has no application." (Just Security, item 77)
- **Judge Michael R. Barrett (S.D. Ohio)** [appointed by President George W. Bush] — ordered an ICE detainee released after finding the presumption overcome because the government had no reasonable flight-risk argument. (ProPublica, July 2026)
- **Judge James Patrick Hanlon (S.D. Ind.)** [appointed by President Trump] — March 2026: ordered release of Salah Sarsour from ICE custody, throwing out DOJ's presumption-of-regularity defense of an arrest his own appointing party's DOJ invoked it for. (ProPublica, July 2026)
- **Judge Karen O'Hearn (D.N.J.)** — February 2026: "The presumption of regularity and integrity previously and routinely afforded to the Executive branch and the United States Attorney's Office has been undeniably eroded in this jurisdiction and across the country." (ProPublica, July 2026)
- **The Epstein-files judges themselves are part of this record:** Sullivan (June 25, 2026) found DOJ likely violated a federal disclosure law and that the Acting AG "conceded" the merits by silence; Engelmayer (Dec. 2025) found the government's earlier public characterizations of the materials misled the public; Kollar-Kotelly (Sept. 30, 2026) found "possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence." [V as reported]
- **Supreme Court:** in *Trump v. Cook* (2026), the Roberts majority rejected the Solicitor General's request to afford the President a baseline presumption of regularity, extending the anti-pretext principle of *Department of Commerce v. New York* to scrutiny of the President's own motives. (Just Security, 2026)

First administration (2017–2021):

- **Judge Jesse Furman (S.D.N.Y.)** [appointed by President Obama] — blocked the census citizenship question (Nov. 2018); the Supreme Court in *Department of Commerce v. New York* (June 27, 2019, Roberts) then found the administration's stated rationale "pretextual" — the founding anti-pretext precedent for the later presumption-of-regularity rulings.
- **Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson (D.D.C.)** [appointed by President Obama] — *House Judiciary v. McGahn* (Nov. 25, 2019): "presidents are not kings" — absolute deference to presidential claims of immunity from process was rejected.
- **Judge Amy Berman Jackson (D.D.C.)** [appointed by President Clinton] — the border-wall funding ruling (April 2019) rejecting the claim that the executive could redirect appropriations free of judicial review.
- **Judge Emmet G. Sullivan (D.D.C.)** [appointed by President Clinton] — the *Flynn* case (2020): refused to rubber-stamp DOJ's motion to dismiss its own prosecution, appointing an amicus to examine the dismissal — the same judge now enforcing EFTA against the same Department.

All entries [V as reported]; the extension to this Introduction's de facto thesis — the presumption lost by the de facto, retained by the good-faith relator and Special Counsel — is [RI — dictated] and is carried at 7.7.2.

7.3 The access suits — who sued for the files, and on what theories

- **Katie Phang v. Blanche (D.D.C., filed April 2026)** — *Phang* forced the June 25, 2026 Sullivan injunction (7.1). **Theory:** the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 701–706) — unlawfully withheld agency action (the Transparency Act's required disclosures) plus Article III standing grounded in concrete harm (a journalist unable to report); Sullivan held FOIA was *not* an adequate remedy, so the Act can be enforced directly. (CBS News, June 2026; The Hill, June 2026) [V as reported]
- **Democracy Defenders Fund v. DOJ (D.D.C., filed Aug. 21, 2025)** — **Theory:** FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) plus DOJ's own expedition regulation, 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv), treating non-processing as constructive denial; summary judgment for expedition granted Sept. 30, 2026 (Kollar-Kotelly) (7.1). (MeidasTouch, Sept. 30, 2026; Aegis Alliance, Oct. 2, 2026) [V as reported]
- **MSW Media lawsuit (D.D.C., 2026)** — a journalist's FOIA suit for the nine FBI training videos used to instruct reviewers to flag documents (reportedly including Trump-mentioning documents) for supervisory review; FBI withheld all nine, citing exemptions. (IBTimes UK, Oct. 2026) [V as reported]
- **State of New Mexico v. DOJ and Todd Blanche (D.D.C., filed Aug. 5, 2026)** — AG Raúl Torrez sued for complete unredacted access to the Zorro Ranch materials for the state's active criminal investigation (Zorro Ranch appears ~13,000 times in the files; the state says it received ~31 pages). **Theories:** APA arbitrary-and-unlawful withholding; federalism principles; Touhy regulations / 5 U.S.C. § 301 (federal-record requests in litigation); the complaint alleges the DOJ's actions "actively harmed victims and undermined the public interest." (Source NM/Guardian, Aug. 5, 2026; ABC7, Aug. 2026) [V as reported]
- **The 17-state attorneys-general coalition (letter dated Oct. 15, 2026, publicized Oct. 16 by Minnesota AG Keith Ellison)** — urging passage of the **Epstein Files Transparency Act II (EFTA II)**, which would create an express private right of action: state AGs, authorized state officials, victims, and members of Congress could sue the U.S. Attorney General in federal court for unlawfully withholding, delaying, or heavily redacting Epstein-related records; victims would be guaranteed access to unredacted records about themselves; and DOJ would be barred from invoking the deliberative-process privilege to evade disclosure. (Minnesota AG release, Oct. 16, 2026; IBTimes UK, Oct. 2026) [V as reported]
- **The original access-forcing suits against the network itself:** *Giuffre v. Maxwell* (S.D.N.Y., filed 2015, settled 2017) — defamation theory — created the sealed-document trove that the Miami Herald and media intervenors then unsealed through the **common-law and First Amendment right of public access** (producing the 2019–2023 unsealing waves); *Giuffre v. Prince Andrew* (2021, settled Feb. 2022); and the bank-discovery actions (*USVI/JP Morgan*, *Does v. JPMorgan*, 2023) — tort and statutory claims that forced network records into public litigation. **Theory:** civil discovery and public-access doctrine as file-release engines. [V on the docket record]

7.4 The Jack Smith layer — the Epstein files and the Smith files as one concealment problem

Per the relator's research record (the Trump Prosecutions Research project) and the public record:

- **Tucker Carlson's account (Vanity Fair, Chris Whipple profile, Sept. 16, 2026):** Carlson says

he urged Trump in summer 2025 to release everything, and that — "The order to cover it up came from Trump. I asked him why. And he gave me this long, very emotional explanation that this was all a plot against him, like Russiagate." The White House did not directly deny the conversation, saying Trump has been "totally exonerated on anything relating to Epstein," and pointed to his April 2026 Truth Social attack on Carlson. Carlson's account is a single-source private-conversation testimony, not independently corroborated. (Daily Mail, Sept. 16, 2026; The Independent, Sept. 2026) [V as reported; the corroboration caveat stands]

- **The Jack Smith files:** Cannon sealed Volume II of Smith's report by emergency injunction (Jan. 21, 2025) and then permanently (Feb. 23, 2026, after the 218-day delay and the Nov. 3, 2025 "undue delay" ruling); the Eleventh Circuit argument is calendared for Nov. 2, 2026 (Atlanta) — the seal record Section 6 carries. **The theories for challenging the sealing** (per the research record's defeat-doctrine inventory): First Amendment/common-law public access, FOIA preclusion of common-law privileges, ultra vires sealing authority, sua sponte unsealing, nullity, contempt, and legislative override. (The relator's Trump Prosecutions Research topic, cannon-orders harvest, Oct. 10, 2026) [S — the settled record]
- **The unity thesis:** the relator's research and evidence treat the withheld Epstein materials and the sealed Smith materials as one and the same concealment problem — one set of files, one cover-up order, one motive (the Russiagate comparison Carlson reported). This is the record owner's position, supported by the Carlson account and the synchronized timing of DOJ refusals (the July 2, 2026 Sullivan refusal; the Aug. 5, 2026 New Mexico stonewalling; the 17-state AG coalition's Oct. 15, 2026 letter); it is stated here as a thesis, not as an adjudicated fact. Inclusion of any person in these records is not itself wrongdoing. [RI — the thesis; V on the timing anchors]

7.5 Classification to conceal crime is unlawful and outside official capacity

- **The governing rule:** Executive Order 13526 § 1.7 (Dec. 29, 2009 — the still-operative classification framework) provides: "In no case shall information be classified in order to: (1) conceal violations of law, inefficiency, or administrative error; (2) prevent embarrassment to a person, organization, or agency; (3) restrain competition; or (4) prevent or delay the release of information that does not require protection in the interest of national security." Classification stamps procured for these forbidden purposes are outside the classification authority, ultra vires, and void as to that purpose. [V]
- **The authority's only lawful purpose:** classification exists to protect national security information within the § 1.4 categories. A classification applied to conceal crimes — especially crimes against the United States itself — inverts the authority: the shield meant to protect the nation is used against it. [V on the framework; RI — the application]
- **No shield for criminal concealment:** *United States v. Nixon* (1974) established that executive secrecy claims yield to the demands of the criminal process; the state-secrets privilege likewise cannot operate as a categorical bar to evidence of criminal conduct. [V]
- **Criminal exposure for the concealment itself:** 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (concealment/falsification of records in federal matters), § 2071 (concealment, removal, or mutilation of government records — tool 10 of the Section 1 toolbox), §§ 1503/1505 (obstruction), and § 1001 (false statements) — concealment of crimes by classification supplies its own independent offenses. [V]
- **The irreparable-harm principle:** to classify files in order to cover up crimes against the United States is to inflict the precise, irreparable national-security harm the classification

system was built to prevent. The public cannot be made whole after a cover-up; the evidence decays, witnesses scatter, and statutes run. A cover-up of crimes against the United States, effected through the government's own secrecy apparatus, is therefore not an act within official capacity at all — it is the misuse of official capacity, and with every branch of government having demanded these files' release (7.1), continued withholding rests on no authority any branch has defended on the merits. [RI — the dictated application; V on the doctrine]

7.6 The Cannon seal, the de facto officer thesis, and the void-at-conception argument (conditional)

Method note: This analysis was deep-dived against the live Sovereign Knowledge Corpus (Scalingo deployment, build 3, md5 6a2a2d0fa26009f6b815966c6407c462, deployed Oct. 26, 2026) via its Datasette full-text API — queries for "de facto officer," "void at conception," "nemo iudex," "Caperton," "quo warranto," "Cannon sealing," and "meeting of the minds." Primary support drawn from the corpus files disqualification-mechanisms.md, cannon-hypothetical-legal-analysis.md, inferior-officer-defense/02-quo-warranto-doctrine.md, constitutional-checklist-oath-analysis.md, cannon-jack-smith-orders.md, and legal-briefs-cannon-sealing-efta-compliance.md. Tier labels: [V] verified doctrine/authority; [S] summarized from the record; [U] evidence-held-elsewhere predicate, accepted for argument, not independently verified. This is a conditional legal thesis: every operative proposition below is framed as "if the sealed files prove X, then Y follows." What the sealed files actually contain remains unverified precisely because they are sealed — which is itself the point of the argument. Inclusion of any person in any record is not wrongdoing.

7.6.1 The predicate timeline.

- Jan. 21, 2025 [S]: Cannon's emergency injunction sealing Volume II of Jack Smith's report (11th Cir./S.D. Fla. docket, per the Trump Prosecutions Research record — Section 6 carries the seal record).
- Summer 2025 [V — Carlson's account]: the date Tucker Carlson specified for Trump's order — Carlson says he urged Trump in summer 2025 to release everything, and that "The order to cover it up came from Trump," with Trump explaining it as "a plot against him, like Russiagate" (Vanity Fair, Chris Whipple profile, published Sept. 16, 2026). The White House did not directly deny the conversation. Single-source private-conversation testimony, not independently corroborated.
- Nov. 3, 2025 [S]: Cannon's "undue delay" ruling in the sealing litigation, after a 218-day delay.
- Nov. 19–Dec. 19, 2025: EFTA signed; release deadline missed in part.
- Feb. 23, 2026 [S]: Cannon's permanent injunction sealing Volume II — issued AFTER the Carlson-reported Trump order, continuing the concealment.
- July 2, 2026: DOJ refuses Sullivan's unredaction order (7.1).
- Nov. 2, 2026: 11th Circuit argument on the seal (2 p.m., Atlanta).

The pairing: if Carlson's account is true, the executive's cover-up order (summer 2025) predates the permanent seal (Feb. 23, 2026), and the seal operationalized the executive's order in judicial form — the exact sequence the separation-of-powers argument below addresses.

7.6.2 The conditional argument against Cannon. If Cannon is sealing Epstein files within Jack Smith's files, and those files prove any of the following — (a) grave crimes against the national security of the United States; (b) massive government fraud; (c) the Brady evidence of the relator and

other Trump, Epstein, Russia, and/or insurrection investigators and prosecutors; (d) serious flaws or irregularities in Cannon's own appointment or office, or violations of her oath of office, of a kind that make her a de facto officer; and/or (e) material that was as a matter of law not allowed to be classified (EO 13526 § 1.7 — classification to conceal violations of law is prohibited, 7.5) but was classified anyway, with the seal covering up the unlawful classification — then each consequence follows:

1. **The conduct is outside official capacity. [V]** Sealing is a judicial act only when exercised within jurisdiction and lawful authority. A seal procured to conceal crime (EO 13526 § 1.7), to suppress Brady material, or to protect the sealer's own office is ultra vires — outside the authority the office confers. Under *Norton v. Shelby County*, 118 U.S. 425 (1886), acts of a usurper are "totally null and void"; under *Ryder v. United States*, 515 U.S. 177 (1995), a timely challenge defeats de facto protection; under *Lucia v. SEC* (2018) and *United States v. Arthrex* (2021), appointment defects void the officer's acts upon timely challenge. A sealing order issued outside lawful capacity is void at conception and unenforceable.
2. **The sealer is a de facto officer behaving badly and in bad faith. [V/doctrine; U/predicate]** The de facto officer doctrine protects the public's reliance, not the officer's title (*Norton*; *Collins v. Blantern*, 1767) — and proven disqualification defeats it. A judge who seals evidence of flaws in her own appointment is not adjudicating; she is self-dealing — bad faith on the face of the act.
3. **The conduct RICO-obstructs the underlying matters. [V/statutes; U/predicate]** Obstruction of a pending proceeding by concealment (18 U.S.C. § 1505), tampering and retaliation against federal witnesses (§§ 1512, 1513 — both RICO predicates), conspiracy (§ 371), and conducting the enterprise's affairs through a pattern of predicate offenses (18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)) with the agreement element of § 1962(d). Honest note from the record: § 1505 is not itself a RICO predicate; it rides the conspiracy count. A seal that blocks Congress's EFTA mandate, the Sullivan order, and the state investigations is obstruction across multiple proceedings at once.
4. **She is removable by the laws of disqualification. [V/inventory]** Impeachment and removal plus the separate disqualification vote (Art. I, §§ 2–3; Art. II, § 4); quo warranto (removal of a usurper; voiding of acts void ab initio); 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 (judicial disability/removal certification); 28 U.S.C. § 455 / *Caperton v. A.T. Massey* (mandatory recusal — probability of bias); the Good Behaviour Clause (Art. III, § 1) as the constitutional predicate; and 14th Amendment § 3 if the oath/insurrection nexus is proven (Baude & Paulsen, "The Sweep and Force of Section Three," 2024 U. Pa. L. Rev. — self-executing; *Trump v. Anderson* left federal enforcement open). All of these are tools of the Section 1 toolbox.
5. **Her changes to the office are void at conception and thus unenforceable. [V/doctrine]** The void-at-conception thesis of the research record: an act without lawful authority is null from its first moment — not voidable, void. The seal, the injunction, and every order issued to protect the concealment fail with the authority that issued them.

7.6.3 The separation-of-powers pairing — sealing at the order of the executive. If anyone can prove that Cannon sealed Epstein-linked files — files Trump took, inside Smith's sealing — at the order of the executive, then:

1. **She has separately violated the separation of powers. [V/doctrine]** The executive cannot command the judiciary; a judicial seal issued at the executive's request to execute the executive's cover-up order (the Carlson-reported summer 2025 order) is the judiciary lending its Article III power to an Article II concealment. The anti-commandeering logic runs both directions: no branch may conscript another into lawlessness.

2. **The proof supplies the meeting of the minds. [V/RICO element]** 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) requires agreement; an executive order followed by a conforming judicial seal is direct evidence of concert of action — agreement not to release evidence of flaws in Cannon's own appointment. The concealment motive is self-referential: she seals the files because the files impeach her title.
3. **That makes her a judge in her own legal affairs. [V/doctrine]** *Nemo iudex in causa sua* — no one shall be judge in their own case — a mainstay of the system (28 U.S.C. § 455(a), (b)(1), (b)(5)(iii): disqualification is mandatory where the judge's own interest or impartiality is in question). A judge whose appointment's validity is the subject of the sealed files is constitutionally barred from sealing them. Her refusal to recuse compounds the violation with a due process defect in every ruling that follows.

7.6.4 The extension to Clarence Thomas and the Eleventh Circuit. The same conditional applies with equal force to Justice Clarence Thomas, any Eleventh Circuit judge, or any other judge or justice: if the sealed Epstein-Smith files prove serious flaws or irregularities in the appointment or office of any of them, or violations of the oath of office, in a manner making them de facto officers — then the same analysis governs:

1. **Outside official capacity** — ultra vires acts are outside the office, not within it (*Norton*; *Ryder* on timely challenge).
2. **De facto officers behaving badly and in bad faith to RICO-obstruct the underlying matters** — §§ 1505/1512/1513/371/1962; the pattern is the repeated use of judicial power to keep the files sealed.
3. **All of them removable by the laws of disqualification** — impeachment and the disqualification vote for justices and judges alike; quo warranto; §§ 351–364; § 455/*Caperton*; the Good Behaviour Clause; 14th Amendment § 3.
4. **All of their changes to the government void at conception** — an appointment made by a defective appointing authority, or ratified by judges whose own title depends on the concealment, inherits the nullity (the void-at-conception GOP-judge-appointment thesis of the record, [U/evidence-held-elsewhere]).
5. **Outside the separation of branches** — a judiciary that meets minds with the executive to protect itself against exposure has ceased to be a separate branch for that purpose; the ouroboros is complete when the judges are the judges of their own de facto status, which is the *nemo iudex* violation in its most extreme form.

7.6.5 The pre-2016 disqualification layer. If the Epstein-Smith files reveal that Trump permanently and forever disqualified himself from public office BEFORE the 2016 elections — then:

1. **The nullity runs forward. [V/doctrine; U/predicate]** Under the self-executing reading of 14th Amendment § 3 (Baude & Paulsen; Luttig & Tribe), a pre-2016 disqualification means Trump was never lawfully President — a de facto (or void) presidency from its first moment; every appointment he made (including judicial nominations) draws the Appointments Clause analysis: an appointment by an officer without lawful authority is an appointment defect under *Lucia*/*Arthrex*/*Ryder*, voidable upon timely challenge.
2. **That would be the DOJ's intent in not releasing the files. [U/thesis]** The motive explains the behavior: release the files and the nullity of the administration, its pardons, its appointments, and its officers is exposed. The concealment protects not one man but the entire derivative structure of authority — including the judges whose commissions run through him (the void-at-

conception GOP-judge-appointment thesis, [U]).

3. **But in doing so, DOJ and the sealing judges become the judges of their own legal matters. [V/doctrine]** The files that would prove the disqualification are the same files that would void the sealers' own commissions. An officer or judge who withholds evidence of his own office's nullity is adjudicating his own case — *nemo iudex* — and the RICO obstruction is of their own status: the enterprise's purpose and the members' personal stakes are one. This is the ouroboros the record names: the judiciary sealing the evidence that would unseat it, at the order of an executive whose own title the evidence would void.

7.6.6 The reconciliation with the four release orders. If the Cannon seal and the executive's withholding order are the concealment, then the four orders documented in 7.1 are the judiciary's own internal answer: Sullivan, Kollar-Kotelly, Engelmayer, and Preska — judges in different circuits, appointed by different presidents — have each ordered the same files forward, and DOJ has refused, delayed, or over-redacted at every turn while citing where it can the very sealing apparatus 7.6 describes. The conflict-of-orders analysis in the corpus record is decisive on this point: Cannon's seal has **no legal effect** on files within another court's jurisdiction; as to those files it is a nullity, and DOJ citing her seal to justify non-compliance with Sullivan's or Engelmayer's orders would be contempt of *those* courts (Mazars logic: a congressional demand is beyond a single judge's power to override). Every branch has demanded release (the section opening, above); the only question this subsection adds is whether the last holdout order is itself void — issued outside capacity, in bad faith, by a judge in her own cause, to obstruct.

7.7 The Smith-Janson-Epstein seal, the collective de facto status concealment, and the legal corner (conditional)

Method note: This analysis extends 7.6 by the same conditional logic, again deep-dived against the live Sovereign Knowledge Corpus (Scalingo, build 3) — queries for "Pinkerton," "estoppel AND tolling," "retroactive immunity / Trump v. United States," "qui tam AND 3730," "special counsel AND private citizen," "aid and comfort / adhering to their enemies," "grand conspiracy," and "presumption of regularity." Corpus support: illegal-orders-brief.md and jack-smith-defense-brief.md (Pinkerton; duty-to-refuse), cannon-jack-smith-orders.md and filing-04-fra-demand-volume-ii.md (the private-citizen Smith ruling and Volume II), scotus-shield-lawfare-brief.md and the-nuclear-offense-arsenal.md (immunity voiding), deference-brief.md and the multi-jurisdiction deference set (whistleblower/qui tam presumption, deference, regularity), disqualification-mechanisms.md (treason/insurrection disqualification), the Browns limitation-tolling harvest and the BC-Canada limitation-tolling research (tolling). Tier labels as before: [V] verified authority; [S] summarized record; [U] evidence-held-elsewhere predicate, accepted for argument. Public-record news items below are dated and sourced; the factual predicates inside the sealed files remain sealed.

7.7.1 The conditional. If the files Cannon sealed are Smith-Janson-Epstein files, and they prove the de facto nature of Donald Trump — then they prove the collective intent of Cannon, DOJ, Eleventh Circuit judges, Clarence Thomas, the three SCOTUS justices Trump appointed, and/or others, to conceal their own de facto status in any manner, including by assaulting Special Counsel and whistleblower/qui tam authorities brought in good faith. From that single predicate, the same consequences follow as in 7.6, now collectively:

1. **Meeting of the minds. [V/RICO element]** The agreement is the shared stake: every participant's commission, appointment, or office runs through the appointing authority whose de facto status the files would expose. § 1962(d) requires only agreement plus an act in furtherance; the seal, the refusals, the filings "in lockstep" (7.7.5) are the overt acts.

2. **No separation of powers. [V/doctrine]** A judiciary, an executive, and appellate judges acting together to keep one set of files from every branch that has demanded them (the section opening) are not three branches for this purpose; they are one concealment operation wearing three robes.
3. **RICO obstruction of their own matters, as their own judges. [V/statutes; U/predicate]** The underlying matters obstructed include their own disqualification. *Nemo iudex* in its terminal form: the sealed files are the evidence against the sealers.
4. **Changes voidable at conception. [V/doctrine]** *Norton*/*Ryder*/*Lucia*/*Arthrex* — nullity runs from the first moment of the act, not from its later unmasking.

7.7.2 The Cannon template consumes its makers — and leaves Jack Smith standing. Cannon's own July 15, 2024 dismissal is the template: she held that all of "private citizen" Smith's acts were invalidated as a consequence of an unlawful appointment as special counsel (Law&Crime, Oct. 24, 2026). **The template is symmetrical, and per the record it applies to its makers:** if Cannon's own commission derives from a disqualified appointing authority, then her dismissal order is itself the act of a de facto judge — void at conception — and the appointment it purported to void stands. The voiding of the voider leaves Jack Smith as Special Counsel: his appointment, his investigation, and Volume II survive as the only authority in the chain that no disqualified hand can reach. The same symmetry governs the Feb. 23, 2026 order (blocking the AG "or her successor(s)" from releasing Volume II, drafts, or "any information or conclusions"): if void at conception, it never bound anyone.

And the presumption flips. Subsection 7.2 documents that the executive lost the presumption of regularity in more than 90 cases. The mirror image, per the corpus deference set (the whistleblower-deference research; deference-brief.md): the whistleblower and qui tam authorities brought in good faith **retain** the presumption, deference, and regularity the de facto officers lost — the good-faith relator under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729/3730, the § 3730(h)-protected whistleblower, and the Special Counsel are the parties still presumptively acting lawfully, precisely because they are the parties whose authority does not depend on the concealment. [RI — the dictated position; V on the 90-case record]

7.7.3 The retroactive immunity is voided with the rest — and Colorado's finding does the disqualifying.

Retroactive immunity made by SCOTUS. *Trump v. United States* (July 1, 2024) manufactured presidential immunity for "official acts" — a ruling by a Court including three justices appointed by the beneficiary, for the benefit of the disqualified appointer, applying it to conduct predating the ruling (Section 5 carries the ruling). Per the thesis: if the three Trump-appointed justices are de facto officers (their commissions void at conception), the immunity ruling is itself void at conception — retroactive immunity made by disqualified judges, **providing the same protection to those disqualified from appointing them.** GOP SCOTUS acting subversively as their own judges for their own matters — their commissions and their shield doctrine both depend on the concealment — is outside official capacity and outside their oaths, in bad faith, behaving badly. [RI — dictated; V on the ruling]

Colorado's insurrection finding. [V/public record] The Colorado Supreme Court (*Anderson v. Griswold*, Dec. 19, 2023) found by clear and convincing evidence that Trump engaged in insurrection under 14th Amendment § 3 — in plain text, disqualified. The U.S. Supreme Court (*Trump v. Anderson*, Mar. 4, 2024) reversed on state-enforcement grounds **without disturbing the insurrection finding** — the same finding Section 1's tool 1 carries. The thesis: the GOP justices refused to adhere to the letter of the law for a finding their own oath obligated them to apply; § 3's text ("shall have engaged in insurrection or rebellion... or given aid or comfort") is self-executing per Baude & Paulsen; by refusing, they did not merely err — **per *Pinkerton v. United States*, 328 U.S. 640 (1946), each member of a conspiracy is liable for the foreseeable acts of co-conspirators in furtherance of the enterprise** —

the refusal to apply the disqualification text gave aid and comfort to the concealment enterprise, adhering to its purpose. That disqualifies all of them in more than one way: oath violation, 14th Amendment § 3 exposure (the clause reaches "any office, civil or military" — judicial oaths included), and RICO-conspiracy membership per *Pinkerton*. [U/predicate over the conspiracy nexus; the Pinkerton doctrine itself is [V]]

7.7.4 The legal corner. Per the record built through the corpus, the concealment has boxed its makers in on every side:

1. **No GOP administration can bring this matter forward as private citizens.** Cannon's own ruling held that a defective appointment strips official status and reduces the actor to a "private citizen" whose acts are void. Applied symmetrically: a de facto administration cannot invoke private-citizen status it never lawfully held, and its filings are the acts of disqualified persons.
2. **No GOP judge can hear this matter as a de facto judge. [V/doctrine; U/predicate]** Under *Norton*/*Ryder*, a timely challenge to a de facto judge's authority strips the de facto protection. Under *Pinkerton*, tort-feasor liability, and the treason (18 U.S.C. § 2381) and insurrection (§ 2383) statutes, a de facto judge ruling to protect the concealment would give aid and comfort to — adhere to the enemies of — the United States, and would be acting as the judge of his own legal matter, outside official capacity. Every path to a friendly forum is itself another predicate act.
3. **The only exit is more RICO obstruction — lawfare and wrongful prosecutions** — and each such act furthers the broader disqualification-conspiracy spokes applicable to them all (the retribution-tracker record: the fired agents, the targeted prosecutors, the witnesses — each prosecution is a § 1513 retaliation predicate and § 1962(c) act in furtherance).
4. **With estoppel and tolling attached. [V/doctrines]** *Venire contra factum proprium* — no party may contradict its own prior conduct: having invoked appointment-defect nullity against Smith, the GOP judges and their DOJ are estopped from denying its application to themselves. And fraudulent-concealment tolling (the record's limitation-tolling harvest) runs from the moment of disclosure: the seal that delayed every challenge tolls every limitations period the concealment would otherwise have expired — the corner closes retroactively.

7.7.5 The public record already shows the corner (dated).

- **July 2024:** Cannon dismisses the Espionage Act indictment, holding "private citizen" Smith's acts invalid due to unlawful appointment. (Law&Crime, Oct. 24, 2026) [V as reported]
- **Sept. 24, 2026:** the fired Mar-a-Lago FBI agents — subpoenaed in AG Blanche's "grand conspiracy" probe — ask Cannon whether her gag order lets them testify at all; experts note the secrecy order now blocks the testimony Trump's own team wants. (CNN, Sept. 24, 2026; Alternet, Sept. 24, 2026) [V as reported]
- **Sept. 25, 2026:** the "trickbox of her own making" analysis (Feinberg): DOJ can push Cannon to overrule her own order — but then scores of FBI agents testify "in public about the various manifold ways Donald Trump broke the law in retaining classified information." (Raw Story, Sept. 25, 2026) [V as reported]
- **Oct. 1, 2026:** Cannon strikes the agents' motion, citing a "lack of conferral." (Raw Story/DNyuz, Oct. 1, 2026) [V as reported]
- **Oct. 8, 2026:** the agents ask again for "breathing room" to testify. (Law&Crime, Oct. 8, 2026) [V as reported]

- **Oct. 24, 2026:** Trump, Nauta, De Oliveira, and DOJ file "in lockstep" supporting the seal — the meeting-of-minds evidence in plain view; the agents tell Cannon her order creates "DOJ's own self-created problems" and that DOJ must "solve its own self-created problems," not the court and not the agents. (Law&Crime, Oct. 24, 2026) [V as reported; RI on the meeting-of-minds characterization]
- **Oct. 26, 2026:** House Democrats release a report alleging the DOJ and FBI covered up evidence of Trump's ties to Epstein (the White House rejects the claims). (Raw Story, Oct. 27, 2026) [V as reported — allegation tier]
- **Oct. 27, 2026:** the March 2025 FBI whistleblower complaint surfaces — Patel's rushed release could "destroy people's lives," firing threats as cover, victim names left unredacted (Marina Lacerda's name appeared unredacted 46 times in the January 2026 release; she now sleeps with a handgun). (Raw Story/PunchUp, Oct. 27, 2026) [V as reported]

7.7.6 The seal-broken record — Congress and the Executive have both already disclosed Smith's investigation. [V/dates; U/theses] The record of the seal's own life shows it broken by both other branches — and broken selectively, against everyone except the whistleblower and evidence side:

- **Congress (GOP) — the methods and targets disclosed [V].** House Judiciary Republicans released the "Arctic Frost" transcripts — testimony from five of Smith's own prosecutors (Cooney, Gaston, Hulser, Duree, Windom) and an OIG agent — laying out Smith's methods and targets: the Scott Perry phone-seizure warrant, the toll-records subpoenas for a dozen-plus GOP lawmakers, and the gag orders that concealed them (Fox News, Oct. 2026 reporting wave). On New Year's Eve 2024 they released Smith's own 250-page deposition transcript (House Judiciary release; United Voice). In March 2026, unearthed emails added new names to the over-400 Republicans Smith's office tracked (Fox News Digital; Legal Insurrection, March 2026). And at the Sept. 29, 2026 Senate hearing, the GOP's focus was Smith's methods — including his subpoenas for "financial information from Republican donors and organisations" (Al Jazeera, Sept. 29, 2026): the financiers of Trump's election campaigns (on the relator's record, the campaigns are the proven-illegal ones — the insurrection finding stands undisturbed, 7.7.3), disclosed into the public record by the GOP itself. On the thesis: Cannon's Feb. 23, 2026 order blocks release of Volume II, drafts, "or any information or conclusions" — and a GOP Congress publicly disclosing the special counsel's methods and targets has either broken the seal or shown the order selective in its reach [U/thesis]. Congress cannot both disclose and demand concealment; the order cannot bind the whistleblower side alone.
- **The Executive (DOJ) — the sealed Volume II transmitted to private hands, in the Lineberger prosecution [V].** The DOJ indicted Carmen Mercedes Lineberger — the former Managing Assistant U.S. Attorney of the Fort Pierce branch of S.D. Fla. (Cannon's own former office and courthouse) — in May 2026, for allegedly emailing herself Smith's confidential report (which she had received before Cannon ordered it sealed), disguised under "chocolate cake recipe"/"bundt cake recipe" filenames sent to personal accounts (Fox News; NBC News; IBTimes UK/Guardian, May 20, 2026). Then, in that same prosecution, the DOJ itself mistakenly transmitted the still-sealed second volume of Smith's report to her private defense counsel in discovery — more than one private person, a private organization, receiving the sealed matter from the Executive's own hand; the DOJ confirmed the files were copies of sealed Volume II and notified Cannon by filing (court filing publicized October 2026; Daily Boulder; New Republic; Mediaite). The Executive has thus disclosed the sealed matter outside the government twice over — by the conduct it prosecutes and by its own hand — while enforcing the seal against everyone else [V/dates; U/thesis on the violation characterization].

The 2/3-branches authority. [S — the relator's record] The relator's complex and compounded whistleblower authorities — the qui tam/FCA relator provisions (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729/3730, enacted by Congress), the EFTA release rights (enacted by Congress, signed by the Executive), the Rewards for Justice channel (Executive/State), and the DOJ submission channel (Executive) — were provided to him by the two political branches, Congress and the Executive. The judiciary provided none of them. A judicial order — itself void at conception on the 7.6–7.7 theses — therefore cannot lawfully be wielded to attack, gag, or extinguish the authorities two-thirds of the government conferred: that is judicial overreach in its plainest form, the one branch that never granted the powers purporting to erase them, against the separation of powers itself. And on the record above, the same two branches that conferred the authorities have themselves disclosed the sealed matter's contents, methods, and targets — so the seal no longer protects secrecy; it protects only selectivity: enforcement against the whistleblower and the evidence, and no one else. **A seal that two branches have broken, enforcing itself only against the authorities two branches granted, is not a seal; it is the obstruction wearing one.**

The synthesis: the seal now blocks the DOJ's own retribution prosecutions from obtaining the FBI testimony they need; the order written to bury Volume II has gagged the government's own witnesses; and every attempt to escape — overruling the order, prosecuting the witnesses, holding the seal — either exposes the files or adds another predicate act. The seal-broken record (7.7.6) closes the last exit: the concealment side cannot invoke a secrecy that Congress and the Executive have already breached — a secrecy now enforced against no one but the whistleblower and the evidence. **They have painted themselves into a legal corner they cannot escape but for more RICO obstruction by way of lawfare and wrongful prosecutions, which only furthers the broader disqualification-conspiracy spokes applicable to them all, with estoppel and tolling attached.**

7.8 The mooting section — why no order, ruling, or language can lawfully block the testimony or the release

Method note: Again deep-dived against the live Sovereign Knowledge Corpus (Scalingo, build 3) — queries for "prior restraint / Pentagon Papers," "3771 / Crime Victims / Marsy," "void AND contempt," "states rights / Tenth Amendment / federalism," and "Rewards for Justice / Janson Report." Corpus support: cannon-hypothetical-legal-analysis.md (the Rule 65(d) active-concert and prior-restraint analysis, quoted below), defense-records-release-cannon.md (Track A: the Janson Report is not Volume II, and Cannon's seal does not reach the release path), the APA/FOIA filing vehicles, case-studies-evidence-retaliation.md and evidence-admissibility-framework.md, reconciled-brief-new-mexico.md (the states' rights layer), and the Janson EFTA Records Release record (submission, acceptance, absence, and the § 2(c)(2) lever). Tier labels as before: [V] verified authority; [S] summarized record; [U] evidence-held-elsewhere predicate. Every proposition is conditional on the 7.6–7.7 theses being proven; where the doctrine is unconditional, it is labeled [V].

7.8.1 The prior-restraint bar [V]. Under *Near v. Minnesota*, 283 U.S. 697 (1931) and *New York Times v. United States*, 403 U.S. 713 (1971) — the Pentagon Papers case — prior restraints on publication are "the most serious and least tolerable infringement on First Amendment rights." A victim speaking about her own RICO-obstructed victimization is the core case: speech about one's own experience, one's own abuse, and one's own evidence cannot be gagged by an order that — even setting aside voidness — was never addressed to her. The corpus record's Rule 65(d) analysis: an injunction binds non-parties only if they act in "active concert or participation" with the enjoined party. Victims, journalists, and whistleblowers publishing information they independently obtained are acting *against* the concealment, not in concert with the concealer; they cannot be bound, and any attempt to enforce the seal against them would itself be an unconstitutional prior restraint. And publication made before an order can never be reached retroactively. **A victim's speech about her own sealed-forever victimization is**

therefore mooted from blocking: no lawful order can reach it.

7.8.2 The void-order principle — no contempt from a void order [V]. The collateral bar rule (*Walker v. City of Birmingham*, 388 U.S. 307 (1967)) requires obedience even to wrong orders until vacated — but its recognized exception is the jurisdictionally void order: under *United States v. United Mine Workers*, 330 U.S. 258 (1947), an order beyond the court's power supports no contempt and need not first be obeyed and appealed. A seal that is void at conception (7.6–7.7: issued outside official capacity, by a judge in her own cause, to obstruct, at the order of the executive) is not a wrong-but-valid order; it is a nullity. **A nullity cannot be enforced, cannot support contempt, and cannot be made permanent — permanence is an attribute of validity, and validity is what the voidness thesis denies.** Any ruling, language, or order attempting to re-seal, extend, or "clarify" the nullity only compounds the predicate acts (§ 1505/1512/1513) — each act of enforcement is itself further obstruction.

7.8.3 What was void at conception — the full inventory [conditional on 7.6–7.7; U-predicates, V-consequences]. Per the thesis, each of the following was void from its first moment, and therefore cannot now be — or ever have been — lawfully invoked to block anything:

1. **The seal itself** — outside official capacity, *nemo iudex*, obstruction purpose (7.6.1–7.6.6).
2. **Any case brought to stop Jack Smith from investigating or reporting** — a proceeding to obstruct the one Special Counsel authority left standing (7.7.2) is obstruction in judicial dress; null at filing.
3. **Any good-faith act by Smith** — his appointment, his investigation, Volume II: the only authority in the chain untouched by the disqualified hand (7.7.2); the voiding of the voider leaves them standing.
4. **Any whistleblower disclosure for the government** — protected disclosures (5 U.S.C. § 2302; WPEA) cannot be blocked by a null order; § 3730(h) protects the qui tam relator; the disclosed subjects — massive crimes and harm to the government and taxpayers — are the exact matters EO 13526 § 1.7 and 7.5 hold unsealable and unclassifiable.
5. **Any retaliated whistleblower prevented from disclosing** — § 1513 makes the prevention itself the crime; the voidness cannot shield the shielders.
6. **Any victim seeking justice for the same when regular channels failed** — when the regular channels were themselves the instruments of concealment (Sullivan's ignored injunction; the refused state requests; the missed EFTA deadline), the fallback channels — speech, civil suit, state process — are not lawlessness; they are the system's remaining lawful path, exercised outside and against conduct that was already outside official capacity. The crimes and harms — against the government, the taxpayers, the relator, his family, his businesses, and others — **may not be properly sealed, nor classified, nor obstructed, nor permanently**, for all the reasons specified in 7.5–7.7.

7.8.4 The Crime Victims' Rights overlay [V/statute]. 18 U.S.C. § 3771 (CVRA) guarantees crime victims the right to be reasonably protected from interference and the right to be heard; state victims' rights constitutional amendments (Marsy's Law, as in California) extend the same at the state level. A federal seal cannot constitutionally operate to silence a victim's statutory rights; where the seal and the CVRA collide, the seal yields — and where the seal is void, there is no collision at all.

7.8.5 The state layer — states' rights [V/doctrine; S/record]. A void federal order cannot bootstrap preemption: nullity cannot displace the Tenth Amendment. The New Mexico model (7.3) — a state AG suing in federal court for its own investigation — is only the first spoke; behind it stand state-court tort

suits by victims (the Giuffre model: civil discovery as the release engine), state criminal process, state victims' rights enforcement, and the 17-AG coalition's EFTA II standing push (7.3). No state court, state AG, or state grand jury is bound by, or required to defer to, a federal seal that never existed.

7.8.6 The registration of record — Scalingo, DOJ, and DOS RFJ [S — the settled record; Section 6 carries the delivery record]. The objection to the "unjustly sealed forever" treatment has been registered in every channel:

- **At Scalingo:** the Sovereign Knowledge Corpus (live, build 3, md5 6a2a2d0fa26009f6b815966c6407c462) publishes the record publicly — with the README transparency directive describing openly the 25-year pattern and the public-exposure protective strategy (Oct. 25, 2026).
- **To the DOJ:** the Janson Report — accepted by USAO W.D. Wash. in writing, in camera, on Aug. 29, 2024 (Receipt 663273), with the USAO's agreement to conduit it to those investigating Trump, including Special Counsel Jack Smith — is **entirely absent** from the released EFTA corpus: a corpus-wide search returned zero pages relating to it (every "Janson" hit is a different person — Section 6's Oct. 10, 2026 sweep), and EFTA § 2(c)(2)'s mandatory redaction-justification log — publish in the Federal Register, submit to Congress — shows no entry for it at all. Not redacted: absent. **Absence is the pretext exhibit.**
- **To the DOS:** the Rewards for Justice delivery of Aug. 26, 2024 (Receipt 663225) — the same materials, the same channel, the same absence from the releases.
- **The sworn record:** statements under penalty of perjury (Sept. 11, 2026) that none of the files the DOJ "accepted and agreed to conduit" to the Trump investigators can be found in the released Epstein files.
- **The seal-distinction point (settled in the record):** the Janson Report is NOT Volume II, and Cannon's seal does not reach the release path — so any DOJ citation of her seal as a reason for non-release of these materials is a pretext on its face, and per 7.6–7.7 the seal itself is void anyway.

7.8.7 The mooting conclusion. Any attempt — by anyone, in any branch, at any level, in any language — to block the testimony of witnesses or the release of the Epstein-Smith files, especially by victims exercising First Amendment speech on their own victimization, and/or suing in civil courts, state and federal, is **mooted at conception**: the instrument of blocking is a nullity; the enforcement of a nullity is a fresh predicate act; the protection of speech, victim rights, whistleblower rights, and state sovereignty is unconditional law; and estoppel and tolling (7.7.4) continue to run against the concealment side with every new act. The files may not be properly sealed, nor classified, nor obstructed, nor permanently — and what cannot lawfully be blocked cannot lawfully be blocked retroactively either. **The only lawful response left on the table is release.**

7.9 The origins timeline — the relator's investigation, the but-for chain, and the collective retaliation record

Method note: Deep-dived again against the live Sovereign Knowledge Corpus (Scalingo, build 3) — queries for "Origins of the Mueller Investigation," "beautiful mind boxes," "09/21/2014," "disqualif AND Cannon," "Roche/CHORI," "McClellan / aircraft," "Crossfire Hurricane binder / Meadows," "10/10/2002 / qui tam retaliation," "Parnas / witch hunt," and "Kaiser / 10/15/2026." Corpus support: the introduction, the chronological master brief, the but-for-causation brief, the unified timeline, the catalogue of misconduct, the trump-pre-candidacy-knowledge-implications analysis, the defense master

map, the compound-summary verified-quotes file, the Uprights News live-corpus record (693-article corpus, EFTA-cited), and the Janson EFTA Records Release topic. Tier labels as before: [V] verified; [S] settled in the record; [U] evidence-held-elsewhere predicate. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing. The obstruction theses remain conditional on the 7.6–7.7 predicates being proven; the chronology below is the record of who knew what, and when, on the relator's side of the ledger. And deep-dived a third time (Oct. 28, 2026, the second session deep dive — the strengthening pass): Thompson resolved (*Thompson v. North American Stainless, LP*, 562 U.S. 170 (2011) — the third-party-retaliation doctrine, citation externally verified); Holmes's "we are winning" carried to the public-record tier; the Erlich layer anchored to the published UPR statement; the financiers layer (Bossie/Ribis; Kravis's $1M inaugural gift; the CA statute-erasure finding); the statistics-of-intent set (the whole-KB null-hypothesis model; the two-sample Falcon-30 analysis); the mutual-responsibility design goals; and the comparative tolling grid — the verification record preserved in the session's tool-results. [V — the resolutions at their tiers; honest notes carried in the sources block] And deep-dived a third time (Oct. 29, 2026 — the recovered-record pass, against the updated live app): the live site now serves three databases (the build-3 main corpus; the 710-article UPR corpus; and the recovered full-text database — the 132 previously truncated articles at full length, 16.6M chars, the content beyond the old 32,794-character caps that the prior sweeps could not see), and this pass swept the recovered layer: 274 term-hits beyond the old cap classified across the canvas's sections, the resolutions in the third deep dive's sources block. [V — the recovered-layer resolutions at their tiers; honest notes carried]

7.9.1 The origin — an investigation that predates the Trump candidacy [S/U]. The record of this section has an origin story, and it is not Trump's. The relator was a graduate business professor studying the organizational learning and development, structures, cultures, crimes, membership, and history of those swarming his family after harming him, with the investigation first born out of his law-school exposure. The evidence and facts eventually led back to Jeffrey Epstein's criminal network and its associates — **before Donald Trump ever ran for office**. [S — his 24-year investigative record; the Epstein-linkage predicates are [U], held in his files.] On this record, the disqualifications documented at Uprights News — of Cannon, of GOP SCOTUS, and of Trump, by 2023 — were not conclusions the relator set out to reach; they were disqualifications he *accidentally discovered* in the course of mapping the network that had harmed him and his family. The RICO obstruction, the sealings, and the retaliation documented in 7.6–7.8 came *after* and *against* those discovered disqualifications — which is what makes them concealment rather than adjudication.

7.9.2 The dated spine of the investigation [S/U; chronology-first].

- **10/10/2002 [S]:** the earliest overt marker — at least by this date, a qui tam retaliation conspiracy began to conceal harm to the government, the relator, and children. Estoppel and tolling attach from this point. The relator is a 24-year investigator of this network.
- **09/21/2014 [U]:** the relator's files were seized — the same date Lev Parnas threatened the Trump administration with upon his arrest. The seizure predates the Trump campaign and the Crossfire Hurricane investigation (begun July 31, 2016) by a year or more. And the published record (the Oct. 29, 2026 third deep dive, the recovered layer) supplies the threat's form: Parnas's lawyer's cryptic Earth, Wind & Fire music-video message — "Do you remember the twenty-first night of September" — the published record reading the date-reference as proof the sender knew what was done that day. [U — the published UPR record; the threat's existence and form as published; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]
- **June 16, 2015 [U/thesis]:** Trump announced his run — on the relator's daughter's birthday, which on this record reads as a veiled threat. Per Trump's own 03/30/2023 comments, he ran knowing about a "witch hunt" (investigation) into him, linked to all the special counsel and

congressional investigations including Russia — but the only investigation into Trump then in existence was the relator's, as Crossfire Hurricane would not begin for another year. On this thesis, Trump ran for office knowing of the relator's investigation.

- **[U]:** Trump has since publicly specified that he "learned everything from Fred." That is the relator — not Trump's dead brother (Fred Jr.) nor his dead father (Fred Sr.), from whom he could not have learned everything.
- **[U]:** the "beautiful mind boxes" Trump has carted around. The John Nash parallel fits the relator, not Trump: it was the graduate student turned professor who elucidated a Russian plot against the United States and was smeared as a schizophrenic — one of Kaiser Permanente's ongoing defamations for the benefit of those seeking to RICO-obstruct in the shadows (7.10 below). The boxes are, on this record, the relator's stolen investigation. Mark Meadows stole the Crossfire Hurricane binder in the last hours/day of the first Trump regime — the pattern of the regime removing evidence on its way out.
- **[U → V-able]:** the relator named his investigation exactly "the Origins of the Mueller Investigation" — months to a year BEFORE Bill Barr and John Durham publicly named their investigation into "the origins of the Mueller investigation." The naming-priority proof compilation is queued as [U]→[V-able] in the record. If the phrase was lifted, it proves unlawful access to the relator's files at some point, by someone. Notably, SCOTUS conduct, Clarence Thomas, Aileen Cannon, the attacks on qui tam/whistleblower and Special Counsel authorities, the RICO obstruction, the retroactive immunity, and the unlawful sealings all came *after* "the Origins of the Mueller Investigation" — the name stolen from the relator's files.
- **May 8, 2019 [V — file]:** the Blue Cross email addressed to "Cannon, Aileen (USAFLS)" (EFTA00192835) — one month before Senator Rubio's office reached out to Cannon (next entry). Both dates are now verified [V]; the significance drawn from the gap remains the one-month timing-gap thesis [U].
- **June 2019 [V]:** Rubio's office contacted Cannon — per her own Senate Judiciary questionnaire — to say he "wanted to consider [her] for a judicial vacancy in the Southern District of Florida," followed by interviews by representatives of Rubio and Senator Rick Scott and by White House and DOJ legal officials.
- **May 21, 2020 [V]:** Trump nominated Cannon to the S.D. Fla. (the Fort Pierce seat); **Nov. 12, 2020 [V]:** confirmed 56–21 — installed on the very court where the future stolen-documents prosecution of her patron would be filed.
- **06/21/2023 [S — settled]:** John Durham — Trump's own special counsel — affirmed to Representative Adam Schiff, "Yes," that Trump and the GOP had cheated in U.S. elections with enemies of the USA. Per the settled record, this is Trump's own Special Counsel permanently and immediately disqualifying Trump for office *before the 2016 elections* — outside retroactive immunity, which by its own terms covers only acts within official capacity. This is the substrate of the pre-2016 disqualification layer in 7.6.5.
- **June 2023–July 15, 2024 [V]:** the special counsel's stolen-documents indictment of Trump was filed in S.D. Fla. and assigned to Cannon — the district's chief clerk confirmed the assignment was random only after a NYT inquiry; on this record the "randomness" itself is part of what the installation thesis questions [U/thesis]. On **July 15, 2024** Cannon dismissed the case on Appointments Clause grounds — the ruling whose voiding consumed its makers (7.7.2), issued by the judge installed by the prosecutors' patron and his senator.

- **08/26/2024 [S]:** the relator delivered the same materials to the State Department's Rewards for Justice program (Receipt 663225) — for all Russia and recent-terrorism wanted-poster matters, with legal analysis corroborated by more than one AI.
- **08/29/2024 [S]:** the relator submitted to DOJ — USAO W.D. Wash. (Receipt 663273), accepted in writing, in camera — 10,000 pages of IRAC legal analysis written in qui tam civil complaint format, referencing an estimated one million pages of Epstein-Trump-Russia-insurrection-espionage-stolen-documents evidence, specifying the links to Jack Smith and the other Trump investigators (Section 6 carries the delivery record). The USAO agreed to conduit it to them. The relator is a 24-year investigator of this network; the files went in under camera, were made immediately actionable, and the relator waited — days, then weeks, then months, and now two years.
- **2025–2026 [V — record]:** the EFTA-mandated release came — and the relator's files are **missing from it:** a corpus-wide search returns zero pages (7.8.6). His 10,000 pages of IRAC analysis are absent. On this record, with that context, came the permanent sealing of the relator's files by Cannon inside Smith's files — which confirms the relator's thesis that the Epstein files and the Smith files are one and the same, and that the relator's materials are inside what Cannon sealed.

7.9.3 The Uprights News disqualification reporting — and the Rubio–Trump–Cannon installation record [S; V-dates; U-theses]. The Uprights News record — the relator's victims-operated outlet, its live corpus mined against EFTA (693 articles; 47 citing EFTA; 1,816 citations) — published the reporting that disqualified Cannon, GOP SCOTUS, and Trump **by 2023:** before the EFTA signature (November 2025), before the Volume II seal battles (January 2026 forward), and before every 7.6–7.8 event. Key exhibits in that record: the Cannon Blue Cross email of May 8, 2019 (EFTA00192835, addressed to "Cannon, Aileen (USAFLS)") — one month before Senator Rubio's June 2019 outreach to Cannon to consider her for the S.D. Fla. judgeship, per her own Senate Judiciary questionnaire (both dates now verified [V]; the significance drawn from the gap remains the one-month timing-gap thesis [U]); the sworn penalty-of-perjury statements (Sept. 11, 2026) that none of the files the DOJ accepted and agreed to conduit to the Trump investigators can be found in the released Epstein files; and the missing-materials aliases — the Janson Report, the Origins of the Mueller Investigation, the Beautiful Mind Boxes, the Crossfire Hurricane Binder. The disqualification reporting predates the concealment acts; the concealment acts therefore target the disqualifications. That is the cover-up direction, and it is what converts the timeline of 7.9.2 into the intent evidence of 7.6–7.7.

The installation record — Rubio and Trump placing Cannon [V-dates; U-thesis]. The verified public dates: Rubio's office reached out in June 2019; Trump nominated Cannon May 21, 2020; the Senate confirmed her 56–21 on Nov. 12, 2020; the stolen-documents prosecution of Trump was assigned to her in June 2023; she dismissed it July 15, 2024. On this thesis, this is the RICO obstruction's overt furtherance: Rubio and Trump — with the GOP — conspiring to install Cannon on the very court where the prosecution of Trump for the stolen documents (the matter to which the relator's DOJ/DOS-submitted evidence traced) would later be filed: a meeting of the minds to not separate powers — the executive selecting its own future judge — quid pro quo corruption and bribery [U-thesis; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing].

A correction the record must carry [V]. Cannon did not have access to Smith's files at S.D. Fla. as a prosecutor — Smith was never an S.D. Fla. AUSA (his federal career: E.D.N.Y.; chief of DOJ's Public Integrity Section; Middle District of Tennessee; Kosovo Specialist Chambers; Special Counsel from Nov. 2022), and Cannon left that U.S. Attorney's Office in 2020, two years before Smith's appointment and three before his prosecution was filed in that district. What the record supports is the structural

version: Cannon was an S.D. Fla. line prosecutor (2013–2020, Major Crimes then the appellate division, out of Fort Pierce — the very courthouse where the Trump case would land), with insider knowledge of the office and district — the same district where Epstein's 2007–08 non-prosecution deal was struck — and Rubio and Trump installed her on that court, to which Smith's prosecution of Trump was later assigned. The thesis is installation-for-later-assignment [U], not prior access to Smith's files.

The conduit and the control [S/U]. The relator brought to the DOJ and the DOS the evidence of the Epstein–Trump–Russia–insurrection–espionage–stolen-documents links — submitted to be conducted to Jack Smith and the other Trump investigators (Receipts 663273, 663225) and to others later pursued by Trump's DOJ et al. within the S.D. Fla. It is now — on this thesis — Trump's unlawful control over the DOJ and Rubio's unlawful control over the DOS (Rubio now Secretary of State [V]), in conspiracy with Cannon, that obstruct Smith, the relator, and thus the USA, the relator himself, and others from justice: the two departments the relator's investigation was delivered to are now controlled by the same political actors who installed the judge who sealed the files. The quid pro quo is not just Trump–Cannon but Rubio–Cannon [U-thesis].

The illegal keepers [U-thesis]. Trump and Rubio are, on this record, the illegal keepers of the relator's investigation — the recipients-turned-concealers — and Cannon the sealer of its destination. Each act of concealment is an overt furtherance of the same RICO obstruction: their intent to meet minds and not to separate powers, disqualifying all three — Rubio, Trump, and Cannon — together with the GOP that financed and confirmed the installation. Estoppel and tolling attach from the first concealment act; *Pinkerton* liability reaches the furtherance of all.

7.10 The retaliation record, the compelled-disclosure position, the but-for chain, and the checkmate

The retaliation record — deprivation under color of law, outside official capacity [S/U]. The cover-up has not been limited to courtrooms. On the record:

- **Kaiser Permanente's ongoing defamations [S — log]:** patterned, preemptive, secret discrediting of the relator — most recently a fabricated 10/15/2026 mental-health appointment he never made nor attended, conversations with mental-health providers he never had secreted into the record, and refusal of access to the recordings that would prove the defamation. The "schizophrenia" smear is the same instrument used against the graduate student who elucidated the Russian plot — the John Nash parallel of 7.9.2, deployed to discredit the investigator before he could be heard.
- **The aircraft intimidation [U — log]:** pilots/agencies Trump met at McClellan Park and gave medals to have flown way off course almost daily — accelerating aircraft toward the relator's home, divebombing, swooping, stalking, and threatening death, almost every day, almost all day, and in the night — logged in the aircraft-intimidation record (FlightAware screenshots, altitude/speed graphs, the N464DF CAL FIRE C-130H incident). That campaign has since nearly been stopped by unknown powers from behind the scenes. Stalking and death threats against a cooperating whistleblower-investigator are not official capacity; they are predicate acts.
- **The platform interference [S — log]:** the logged pattern of platform errors while the relator's internet is verified up — the concealment-inference register stands in its own record.

Each act — the defamation, the stalking, the interference, the sealing — is on this record an act to deprive the relator of his rights under color of law, outside official capacity, to cover up the disqualifications he discovered. Each carries estoppel and tolling.

The compelled-disclosure position [S]. The relator submitted this matter in camera, made it immediately actionable, and waited two years. Now that judges, cases, and circumstances are revealing overt harm to himself and the government, the same must be revealed by him — or else he violates EFTA, the four judges' orders, all three federal branches of government, and joins the conspiracy to conceal, which by law he is not allowed to do. This is the checkmate logic of 7.8.7 applied to the relator himself: silence is no longer lawful neutrality; it would be participation. The intent to stalk and threaten him with death, to interfere with his investigation, to seal permanently, and to violate judicial orders is provable by him and by others.

The but-for chain [U-predicates; S-structure; V-doctrine]. The relator's causation framework, stated as one chain — with estoppel and tolling, *Pinkerton*, and tort-feasor liability attached throughout:

- **But for** the DOJ and the misconduct of the GOP, Mueller, and GW Bush, no further harm to the relator and other victims would have occurred, nor will occur.
- **But for** the RICO obstruction of Epstein and his coconspirators (including Trump), and the sweetheart deal — in the context that the DOJ originally was going to go after the conspirators, not just Epstein, and then didn't — the relator would not have been harmed.
- **But for** the Bush FDA not following up, the relator would not have been harmed.
- **But for** the 2014 entrapment (the 09/21/2014 seizure), the relator would not have been harmed.
- **But for** the RICO obstruction and disqualifying crimes, the COVID mismanagement, the insurrection, the stolen documents, and the permanent sealing, the relator would not have been harmed, nor stalked, nor threatened with death — nor would he have elucidated one of the greatest stories in U.S. history, seldom to never told, harming him, his family, the governments, their taxpayers, and their children.
- **But for** the GOP SCOTUS and the GOP DOJ of the Bush era, no unlawful surveillance program would have scooped the data of Snowden in league with Epstein's compromised billionaires — and then not used the same to protect the relator, the governments, and others, outside official capacity.
- **But for** all of this, the relator would not have been harmed, would not have been engineered into harming himself, would have had a normal life — and so would his family — and none of this would have been elucidated.
- It was Mueller's office and the GW Bush/GOP regimes who in part financed the Roche facility and researched bridging Roche and CHORI, where the children linked to Epstein's Roche were trafficked into illegal medical experiments — some to death. [U]

The conclusion the chain compels: the relator could not be accused of any crime nor any wrongdoing not born out of the GOP-Epstein ongoing RICO obstruction conspiracy spokes, because but for the same, none of this harm would have happened. But for covers a lot of ground — with estoppel and tolling attached, along with *Pinkerton* and tort-feasor liability for massive harm to the governments, the taxpayers, the relator, his family, and others.

The formal close — the maxims. The release-orders record closes with the same maxims that open this Introduction — *a priori, a fortiori, and stare decisis, it is res ipsa loquitur malum in se, when quo warranto de facto officers acting in bad faith and employing bad behavior in a manner void from conception shall they venire contra to factum proprium and in order to not audi alteram partem to violate that nemo iudex in causa sua to obstruct their own ultra vires matters* — the full Latin text carried verbatim at the head of this document, not repeated here. In plain terms: an improperly

appointed official whose acts are void from the outset may not prosecute, sue, or defend against the very people he harmed, while refusing to hear the evidence of his own improper appointment. To do so is to judge one's own case, to exceed lawful authority, and to obstruct the record of both — which is the whole of 7.6–7.8 in one sentence. [S — the relator's own, verbatim in the source record]

The checkmate [S]. The pleas of the fired Mar-a-Lago FBI agents (7.7.5) show it from the government's own side: the GOP's DOJ did this to themselves — the "trickbox," the "self-created problems," the order that gags their own witnesses. The relator is clearly not the only person who knows, nor the only one attempting to know. All the concealment side has left is more crime as a defense, along with lawfare void at conception — and each new act furthers the broader disqualification-conspiracy spokes applicable to them all. A legal sort of checkmate: nearly every way, more than one way. **The files — Epstein's, Smith's, and the relator's inside them — must come forward.**

7.11 The national-security inversion — the concealers concealing classified evidence against themselves (the Oct. 26–28, 2026 record)

The denials — the government's own words. On Jan. 30, 2026, releasing the first ~3 million of ~6 million pages, the then-Deputy Attorney General (now Attorney General) told the press: "Although the Act allows for withholding for items necessary to keep secret in the interest of national security or foreign policy, no files are being withheld or redacted on that basis." He said separately: "There's not some tranche of super-secret documents about Jeffrey Epstein that we're withholding; we're actually not withholding anything based upon" national-defense information. In December 2025 he confirmed that "every mention of Trump in the Epstein files will be released," because the President "has nothing to hide." The Department's standing line: every document the Act requires has been released, and the remainder are "unrelated, privileged, or duplicative." [V as reported]

The contradiction — the government's own records. On Oct. 28, 2026, the CBS News Investigative Unit (Daniel Ruetenik) reported "Classified material missing from Epstein files, documents suggest": a March 2025 email from the FBI's National Security Classification Operations Unit carrying an attachment titled "Epstein - Classified Document Memo.docx," stating three documents were "identified that remain classified" — one described as "an FBI document which is classified when see-through," the others as "Epstein Transparency Project - Classified Material" and "CDs with classified materials (x2)." The same reporting found the released files' own numbering betrays the removals: in tens of thousands of places the Act's page number advances by one while the bank-collection numbers advance by two or more — pages that were there are now gone — with entire sections of the financial records (the JPMorgan and Deutsche Bank collections) gapped and 66 check images absent from a series of ~4,500. Former U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade: "Financial records were not a permissible category for removal." Judge Phang has asked the court to appoint a special master to review the files independently. [S — press, V on the quotes] And by law, material may be classified only in the interest of national defense or foreign policy — the exact basis the Attorney General said was not being used. [V — statute]

The statutory vise — three branches, no fourth. The Act's text allows classification-based withholding only for material "specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive order"; it directs the Attorney General to declassify "to the maximum extent possible," to release an unclassified summary of anything that cannot be declassified, and to publish written justifications in the Federal Register; and it commands: "No record shall be withheld, delayed, or redacted on the basis of embarrassment, reputational harm, or political sensitivity, including to any

government official, public figure, or foreign dignitary." [V — statute, H.R. 4405] The CBS record therefore leaves exactly three possibilities, each worse than the last: (a) the no-national-security-withholding statements are false — §§ 1001/1505 exposure and false certifications under the Act itself; (b) the tens of thousands of missing pages were removed with no lawful basis at all — embarrassment and political sensitivity being expressly prohibited, this is §§ 1519/2071 spoliation and a per-se Act violation, financial records never having been a permissible category; or (c) the classified material was declassified and released — contradicted by the "remain classified" memo and the gaps. There is no fourth branch. [RI — dictated on the V/S substrates]

The motive leg — the House Democrats' report (Oct. 26, 2026). The 7.7.5 entry already carries the House Judiciary Committee Democrats' 53-page staff report; this subsection adds its function here: it supplies the motive that makes the vise's branches intelligible. The report finds the Department "has actively obstructed the Epstein investigation into any co-conspirators, attempted to prevent the release of the Epstein files, and then released an incomplete and heavily redacted set to the public — all while withholding key information about Trump in the process"; that records were withheld "because Donald Trump is personally implicated in Epstein's sex trafficking operation"; that Act-mandated records were improperly withheld and state and foreign investigative efforts impeded; and it calls for an independent special counsel, citing the Attorney General's conflict as the President's former personal attorney. The rejections are recorded at their tier (the Department's "last-ditch effort… to mislead the public"; the White House's "complete bullshit"; "none of these allegations are true"). The report aligns with the Carlson account at 7.4 — that the order to cover it up came from Trump — whose single-source caveat stands unchanged. [S — press; V on the quotes]

The inversion — the concealers as judges in their own cause. The relator's position, dictated: the files that touch national security are being concealed *because* they are evidence against the concealers themselves — Trump, Blanche, and the DOJ on the executive side; Cannon, whose seal is now to be reviewed on the appeal path she created (7.9.3); Thomas and the GOP justices, who sit in judgment of the disqualification and immunity questions that would judge their own appointers and themselves, in the combinations already marshaled — the Crow–Thomas gift record (Section 2), the Bohemian Club and Virginia Thomas channels, not limited to those. The provable crimes against them are themselves the national-security harm: a trafficking-and-obstruction network documented in the government's own files, concealed by the same officers whose oaths required disclosure, each concealment act compounding the RICO obstruction (Sections 3 and 5). This is EO 13526 § 1.7 in operation — classification to conceal violations of law, inefficiency, or embarrassment, prohibited verbatim at 7.5 — now evidenced by the government's own records rather than argued from doctrine alone. The presumption flip (7.7.2), the seal-broken record (7.7.6), and the mooting rules (7.8) all apply unchanged. And the subsection therefore returns to this work product's opening question — quo warranto. A priori, a fortiori, and stare decisis, it is res ipsa loquitur malum in se, when quo warranto de facto officers acting in bad faith and employing bad behavior in a manner void from conception shall they venire contra to factum proprium and, in order to not audi alteram partem — to not hear the speech and evidence proving their de facto nature — violate that nemo iudex in causa sua, acting as their own judges for their own legal matters, to obstruct their own ultra vires matters: the concealment of the classified evidence is itself the ultra vires act, done outside official capacity, and the classification stamp procured for it is void as to that purpose (7.5). The question the Oct. 26–28 record poses is therefore the Introduction's own, in its maxim form: under whose lawful authority can they conceal these dangers — themselves — to our country? There is no such legal authority in our Constitutional system of government. [RI — dictated, the maxim layer carried verbatim from the Introduction's opening quo warranto; U on the combinations; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]

Sources for Sections 1–2. Raskin, NBC *Meet the Press* (Sept. 2026) [V]; *Norton v. Shelby County*, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886); the Porteous Senate-conviction record (2010) and Schiff statement as recorded [V]; the Nifong disbarment/removal/contempt record (N.C. State Bar, June 2007; removal order June 18, 2007) [V]; the Anderson court-of-inquiry, resignation, contempt plea, and license-surrender record (2013) [V]; the Michael Morton exoneration and the Michael Morton Act [V]; Kagan: CBS News (Portland, Aug. 3, 2023); AP/Bloomberg Law/The Independent/CNN (Sacramento, July 25, 2024); NBC News (NYU, Dec. 9, 2024); Fix the Court (EIGA referral) [V]; the lower-court record: *Youngstown* (1952); *Cooper v. Aaron* (1958); *New York Times Co. v. United States* (1971); *United States v. Nixon* (1974); *Clinton v. Jones* (1997) and Wright's contempt order (1999); Boasberg (Mar.–Apr. 2025); Xinis/*Abrego Garcia* (2025) [V]; the justices' pledge records: NYT (Roberts, Sept. 2005); Newsweek/Congress.gov (Alito, Jan. 2006; Kavanaugh, Sept. 2018); Sotomayor confirmation transcript (July 2009); Newsweek (Gorsuch, Mar. 2017; Barrett, 2020); S. Hrg. 117-422 (Jackson, Mar. 2022); Kagan confirmation-hearing transcript, p. 125 (p. 135 by PDF pagination) (Grassley exchange, print-screen verified, 2010); Maggs, *Justice Thomas and Stare Decisis* (Fall 2021), quoting *Gamble*, 139 S. Ct. 1960, 1984 (2019); *Kimble v. Walt Disney Co.* (2015); *United States v. Midwest Oil Co.*, 236 U.S. 459 (1915); *Youngstown*, 343 U.S. 579, 610–11 (1952) (Frankfurter, J., concurring); *Dames & Moore v. Regan*, 453 U.S. 654 (1981); *United States v. Throckmorton*, 98 U.S. 61, 65 (1878).

Sources added with the conspiracy, capacity, and Sections 2–5 layers. *Pinkerton v. United States*, 328 U.S. 640 (1946); *Kotteakos v. United States*, 328 U.S. 750 (1946); *Blumenthal v. United States*, 332 U.S. 539 (1947); *Salinas v. United States*, 522 U.S. 52 (1997) (no overt act required for § 1962(d)); 18 U.S.C. §§ 3, 371, 1961(1) (obstruction as racketeering predicate), 1962(d) — statute text verified; Baude & Paulsen, *The Sweep and Force of Section Three*, 172 U. Pa. L. Rev. (2024) (self-execution thesis, quote as published); Prakash & Smith, *How to Remove a Federal Judge*, Yale L.J. (2006) (good-behaviour removal by ordinary judicial process); Article II, § 1, cl. 5 (the qualifications); *Polansky* (2023) (Thomas, J., dissenting solo; Kavanaugh, J., concurring, joined by Barrett, J., in agreement with the dissent's Article II assessment — "substantial arguments" quote as recorded); *Trump v. United States* (2024) (Thomas, J., concurring, on the Special Counsel's appointment); Cannon dismissal order (S.D. Fla., July 15, 2024); *Zafirov* qui tam rulings (M.D. Fla., 2024, reasserted May 2025) (Mizelle, J.) — attribution verified; *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98 (2000) (the five-vote majority's appointment provenance verified); *Citizens United v. FEC*, 558 U.S. 310 (2010); the Nixon pardon (Sept. 8, 1974) and the Iran-Contra pardons (Dec. 24, 1992); the House Judiciary Committee's impeachment articles (July 1974); the Chennault-affair/LBJ-tape record (as recorded); Iran's state-sponsor designation (1984) and the Boland Amendments; the North/Poindexter use-immunity reversals; the bin Laden family Ryan International charter (Sept. 19, 2001; manifest released 2004); Kissinger's 9/11 Commission appointment and resignation (Nov.–Dec. 2002); the Sater–Cohen email (Nov. 3, 2015, as published); the Mueller Report Volume II ("does not exonerate," as published); Durham's June 21, 2023 testimony and the BRD record (as recorded in the settled research record); Trump's Stone, Manafort, and Flynn pardons; the Kissinger black-book entry (p. 31) and the EFTA 534-document Kissinger corpus exhibits, incl. the Sept. 24, 2016 iMessage (as recorded); the 9/11 exercises record (*Vigilant Guardian*, *Northern Vigilance*, *Global Guardian*, the NRO drill) [V per the public record]; the Epstein-as-Putin's-wealth-manager and Edge-layer records (as recorded per the settled research record). The two-selves doctrine, the appointment-chain argument, the Pinkerton-joinder applications, and the perpetuity frame are [RI] — argument on settled doctrine, tiered per the conventions.

Sources added with the venue layer (Section 6). USAO W.D. Wash. written in-camera acceptance and conduit agreement (Aug. 29, 2024 — the acceptance-agreement date per the relator's dictated correction; originally misattributed to Aug. 24) [V on the delivery receipt; the acceptance date per the relator's record]; DOS Rewards for Justice Receipt No. 663225 (Aug. 26, 2024; DRS SA Kent Anderson) [V]; USAO Seattle Receipt No. 663273 (Aug. 29, 2024; "The Janson Report," "FOR special

Counsel Jack Smith"; signed Murray Blake) [V]; the EFTA corpus v2 full-text sweep (Oct. 10, 2026; 1,425,565 documents / 2,923,763 pages; 45 "Janson" page-hits, all C.G. Janson, the neurogenetics researcher) [V]; the sworn penalty-of-perjury statements (Sept. 11, 2026) [V]; the BRD × 5 sworn testimony (Smith, Windom, Duree, Gaston, Hulser) [as recorded]; Cannon's emergency injunction (Jan. 21, 2025) and permanent injunction (Feb. 23, 2026; the 218-day undue-delay finding) [V]; Cannon's July 15, 2024 dismissal (S.D. Fla.) and Smith's Sept. 29, 2026 Senate testimony (the dismissal without prejudice) [V as recorded]; the Supreme Court's circuit-assignments order (effective Sept. 28, 2022; supremecourt.gov) — Kagan assigned to the Ninth Circuit, Thomas to the Eleventh [V]; the Ninth Circuit emergency-application practice (the Apr. 2025 denial; the May 2025 referral; the Oct. 2026 application addressed to Kagan as Circuit Justice) [V]; the Eleventh Circuit's Nov. 2, 2026, 2 p.m. Volume II argument (Atlanta) [V]; Kagan's Ninth Circuit conferences (Portland, Aug. 3, 2023; Sacramento, July 25, 2024) and NYU remarks (Dec. 9, 2024) [V — carried from Section 1]; the Grassley-exchange pledge (p. 125 / p. 135 by PDF pagination) and *Kimble* (2015) [V — carried from Sections 1–2]; *Phang v. Blanche* (D.D.C., June 25, 2026 preliminary injunction) [V]; *Norton v. Shelby County*, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886) and 5 U.S.C. § 3348(d) [V — carried from Section 1]; the *Zafirov* attribution note (Mizelle, J., M.D. Fla. 2024, reasserted May 2025) [V]. The venue thesis, the channeling contention, the void-from-conception applications to Cannon's acts, and the emergency-read/injunction requests are [RI] — the relator's dictated positions on the verified substrates, tiered per the conventions.

Sources added with the per-justice exhibits and the bridge layer (Sections 2, 4, and 6). Thomas: the Crow gift record (two decades of undisclosed gifts, incl. the $19,000 Bible, the Russia yacht, the Yusupov Palace helicopter) and the Welters 1991 loan [V per the settled register and press]; the Senate Judiciary demand for the full gift list (Forbes, May 2023) [V]; Thomas's admission he should have disclosed (Rolling Stone) [V]; the oath-administration press record — the Attorney General sworn in by Thomas with the President in the Oval Office (EFTA01655577, the corpus's own press clippings) [V]; Trump urging Thomas and Alito to stay on the bench (USA Today, Dec. 9, 2025) [V]; the Whitehouse–Wyden July 9, 2024 special-counsel letter [V]; the recusal/resignation demands [advocacy tier]. Alito: the upside-down flag and Appeal to Heaven flag reports (Alternet, The Hill, June 2024) and the May 29, 2024 recusal-refusal letter to Sen. Durbin [V as reported]; the 2008 Alaska fishing trip with Paul Singer [V per the settled register]; the November 2022 *Hobby Lobby* leak allegation (denied, never adjudicated) [V — allegation as reported]; the June 2024 secretly recorded dinner conversation [V as reported]; the September 2026 Suncor recusal demand [V on its existence]. Roberts: the Kendal Price whistleblower complaint re Jane Sullivan Roberts's legal-recruiting commissions [V — complaint as reported]; the emergency-docket asymmetry (Obama 3, Biden 19, Trump 41+41) and *Trump v. Barbara* 6–3 [V per the settled register]; the same recorded dinner conversation [V as reported]. Kavanaugh: the Swetnick declaration (drug-then-gang-rape allegations, never adjudicated) and the "farce" denial in Senate testimony (Fox News) [V — allegation as reported, with status]; the Senate probe of additional misconduct allegations (NBC News) [V]; the 2003 overruling-power email [V — carried from Section 2]. Gorsuch: the 40-acre Colorado ranch sale to Brian Duffy, Greenberg Traurig CEO — contract April 16, 2017, six days after joining the Court; $1.825M; Gorsuch's 20% stake $250K–$500K; buyer undisclosed on the filing (Politico; Common Dreams; Forbes) [V as reported]. Barrett: the $2M book deal and "bad optics" commentary (Seattle Times; Bloomberg Law) [V as reported]; the 300-plus-signatory publisher letter (The Independent) [V]; the People of Praise recusal calls in *303 Creative* (Fox News) [advocacy tier]; the confirmation schedule eight days before the election [V]. The corpus sweep (Oct. 22, 2026): phrase floors "Clarence Thomas" 43, "Brett Kavanaugh" 99, "Samuel Alito" 31, "Neil Gorsuch" 23, "Amy Coney Barrett" 101, "John Roberts" 53; hard negatives "Ginni Thomas" 0, "Harlan Crow" 0; sampled contexts = contemporaneous press coverage [V]. The bridge: the Union Banking Corp. seizure under the Trading with the Enemy Act

(Oct. 20, 1942; no criminal charges) [V per the historical-financier record]; the Edward VIII 1937 Germany tour and Marburg Files [V as recorded]; the Wildenstein Nazi-era art record [V as recorded]; the relator's 2012 blog, the January 2013 documentation, the 2007 KCRA joining and 06/16/2015 return, the 2014–2016 entrapment window, the Fomitchev/Alfa-Bank Useppa-Island approach, the Paul Alain Martin reach, the father/medals and brother/Bohemian-Club channels, and the government-fraud/murder-of-kids matter [U — dictated, the relator's record]; the 2013 qui tam ($40B+ conspiracy claim), the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack, the April 2014 break-in, and the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure of the draft (13-item target list) [as recorded per the relator's settled record]; the historical-financier topic's rejection of unified-conspiracy readings (honest note) [as recorded]. The posture's tell: the royals' August 2026 relocation from Montecito to the UK with their children (NBC News; Us Weekly) [V]; the prosecutor-exodus record (diGenova, Mecklenburg, the six Minnesota resignations, Olsen) [as recorded per the settled record]; the Senate Finance Committee's Oct. 13, 2026 acknowledgment (Kendall Hollimon) [V]. All disqualification, obstruction, void-from-conception, and meeting-minds applications are [RI] — the relator's dictated positions on the verified substrates; all allegations remain allegations, tiered per the conventions.

Sources added with the verification-and-corrections layer (Oct 22, 2026, second pass — Fred's line-by-line corrections). The Trump chronology corrected and verified: joined 2007 at KCRA — Epstein's Hearst's KCRA, the settled record's 2007 employer-media blacklisting line [U — dictated, as recorded]; returned June 16, 2015 — the campaign-launch day at Trump Tower [V — the launch speech record]; Trump's March 2023 "witch-hunt" statement [V — statement]; the Russia implication (the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack; the Russians breaking into his home) [U — dictated; the cyberattack per the settled record]. Fomitchev: the identity verified — Analysis Department Head (Corporate Banking) at Alfa-Bank, later the Florida-based Russian-American startup founder (his professional profile, web-verified); the Useppa Island financing approach and the unspecified "her" [U — dictated]; honest corpus negative "Fomitchev" = 0. Paul Alain Martin [U — dictated, as recorded; corpus negatives "Paul Alain Martin" = 0, "Alain Martin" = 0; register disambiguation vs the UPR "Paul Martin" error item noted]. The father channel: Sarkozy channel-only (the settled negative — no direct Epstein–Sarkozy correspondence); Charles III/Elizabeth II corpus floors ("Prince Charles" 118, "Queen Elizabeth" 167); the medals layer with Macron, Guy Wildenstein, and Gates [as recorded]. The brother channel: Bohemian Club roster (G.W. Bush, Jeb Bush, Barr, Harlan Crow, McMaster, Christie, Harper; Feinstein's Bohemian-Club audience record) [U — as recorded]; Harper's 2016 payment (EFTA01299565, CBC fact-check) [D per the settled record]; the McMaster orbit message (EFTA01612948) [V — corpus]; Barr's NTOC-tip characterization never adjudicated, tier preserved. Theranos: Kissinger's Theranos board seat [V — recruited summer 2011 via Shultz; the all-star board record]; the two recruitment attempts of the relator's wife in the Kissinger–Epstein meeting months (Sept. 2011 calendars; Sept. 2013 alerts) [U — dictated; V on the meeting months per the corpus]; the Theranos corpus floor (30 pages, press coverage incl. the Bannon iMessage EFTA01615732) [V — sweep]. The Edge layer: the 2009/2014/2015 rosters and the second list (EFTA00772248; EFTA01934300/01933064; EFTA02496272; EFTA02032023); Epstein as "a regular backer of EDGE" (Brockman, Sept. 2018, EFTA01600824); the Goertzel/Novamente financing set (EFTA00018441; EFTA01191405); the Hoffman bitcoin position (EFTA00631402; EFTA01917472); the Ito/Kyara MIT chain; the Dec. 2012 Edge meeting and the Sept. 2014 Thiel/Gates/Burns/Ruemmler/Black meetings (EFTA02098460 anchor) [U — dictated, as recorded]; the C1/C3/C5 platform spokes and the Palantir/KKR/Cal-OES layer [as recorded per the settled records]. The delivery-record date correction: the relator's trip to Western WA = Aug. 29, 2024 (Receipt 663273), and the written acceptance-agreement = the same date, Aug. 29 — per the relator's binding dictated correction (the earlier Aug. 24 acceptance attribution was a UPR misattribution, UPR 3303346, now corrected); Aug. 26 = the DOS RFJ receipt (663225) — the two distinct dates receipt-anchored per the corrected record. All dictated

reaches remain the relator's record at [U]; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the RICO-obstruction chain and the sequence tell (Oct 22, 2026, third pass — Fred's dictated addition). The CHORI/Benioff takeover chronology [V — the UCSF–Children's Oakland affiliation effective Jan. 1, 2014; the April 2014 Benioff $100M gift and renaming; the 2020 building-operations transfer; the July 1, 2025 full workforce integration]; the relator's causation and Russian-attack framing [U — dictated; the 10/20/2013 cyberattack per the settled record]; the standing causation-sequence queue (his CHORI-complaint dates vs. the integration milestones — open). Maja Hoffmann/Roche: the settled Roche-heiress identification + the Edge-participant list (EFTA02496272) [D — source document] + the "AGENCY #1765-PAB ROCHE" channel + the Dec. 2012 Google/Roche/Microsoft documents (EFTA01143305, EFTA01143418) [V — corpus]. Microsoft–Yahoo/Barr/Black: the Edge Foundation financed by Epstein and Leon Black per the DOJ EFTA files [V — the settled finding]; Nikolic [D]; the Yahoo/Verizon degree-2 placement via Mayer + Barr-as-Verizon-GC (settled); Apollo Global's 2021 Verizon Media/Yahoo purchase ($5B, closed Sept. 1, 2021) [V — the corporate record]; the Barr-and-Black concentration [RI — dictated]. East Bay Express: the July 21, 2004 article [V — as published]; the defamation/preemptive-defamation-to-drive-him-out framing [U — dictated]. The KKR hub: KKR owns GMR (REACH Air, CALSTAR) and the ~$1.66B federal contracts table [V — the settled government-contracts research]; the stalking/death-threats record [U — dictated, per the aircraft-intimidation-log protocol]; the Stanford/Kaiser/UC Davis/Kravis hub extension [U — per the settled medical-records record]. The grand-conspiracy and Browns Socialhouse entrapment threats [U — dictated, as recorded per the settled case layers]. The Florida linkage (Cannon/Fort Pierce; Thomas/Eleventh; Fomitchev's Florida) [V on each anchor; RI on the linkage]. The UK-royals channel: Gary Hoffman CBE, ex-Barclays (identity settled, accepted without re-litigation); the Hoffman→Barclays→Staley lane (Staley ran the JPM account → Barclays CEO) [V — the Staley record]; XTM/QRails/2Shores/EAM (EFM Asset Management) [V — the settled entity/timeline record]; the cluster's no-Epstein-tie verdict preserved (negatives recorded, never counter-argued); Taxes for Expats [honest negative — corpus noise, no tie found]; Fortress/Briger [V — the 315-page corpus layer, Briger↔Dubin↔Epstein; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]; the royals channel itself [U — dictated]. The sequence tell: the Aug. 2024 delivery dates [V — receipts]; Cannon's seals (Jan. 21, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026) [V]; *Trump v. United States* (July 1, 2024) [V]; the priority sequence [U — dictated]; the significance [RI]. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; allegations remain allegations; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the violation-dates, regularity, and removal/voiding layers (Oct 24, 2026, fourth pass). The per-tool violation dates: the Colorado Supreme Court's Dec. 19, 2023 insurrection finding and *Trump v. Anderson* (Mar. 4, 2024); the 147-member Jan. 6–7, 2021 objection record; the Georgia indictment (Aug. 14, 2023, as charged — Trump, Eastman, Giuliani, Chesebro, and the fake electors); the impeachments (Dec. 18, 2019; Jan. 13, 2021) and acquittals (Feb. 5, 2020, 52–48; Feb. 13, 2021, 57–43); the House referral (Dec. 19, 2022); the seditious-conspiracy convictions (Rhodes, Nov. 29, 2022; Tarrio, May 4, 2023); the Mar-a-Lago indictments (June 8, 2023, 31 § 793(e) counts; superseded July 27, 2023 — the Nauta/De Oliveira § 1001/§ 1519 counts; dismissed July 15, 2024, without prejudice per Smith's Sept. 29, 2026 Senate testimony); the N.Y. conviction (May 30, 2024, 34 counts) and Cohen's Aug. 21, 2018 plea ("Individual-1"); the DOJ retribution record — Comey indicted Sept. 25, 2025, James Oct. 24, 2025, Bolton Oct. 2025, all dismissed Nov. 24, 2025 (the Halligan interim-U.S.-attorney install defect, 28 U.S.C. § 546), the Fourth Circuit appeal (No. 25-4674), the same defect again blocking the subpoenas Aug. 21, 2026; Boasberg's Powell-subpoena quashals (Mar. 11/13 and Apr. 3, 2026 — "mere pretexts," "no evidence whatsoever"); the D.C. grand jury's Feb. 10, 2026 refusal to indict the six Democratic lawmakers; the Mar. 24, 2026 retribution tally (seven charging episodes, twelve named enemies); the Brennan subpoena (testimony set for Oct. 15, 2026);

the Medetis Long removal (Apr. 17, 2026), the diGenova appointment (Apr. 20, 2026) and resignation (Sept. 10, 2026, zero indictments); NAACP v. DHS (D.D.C., filed Sept. 18, 2026 — armed agents at polls, the "Unlawful Voter Initiative," the Minneapolis record, voter-roll extortion; complaint allegations at their tier); the relator's Oct. 7, 2026 public-interest demand (22 numbered demands; the §§ 351–364 and § 455 channels; FVRA § 3348(d); FCA §§ 3729/3730(h); addressees SCOTUS, Cannon, Quiñones, Blanche, Trump) [V — the published instrument]; the Thomas Crow record and 2023 admission; the Alito/Singer 2008 trip and the May 29, 2024 recusal-refusal letter; the Gorsuch/Duffy contract (Apr. 16, 2017); the Kendal Price complaint (2023); the Qatar Boeing 747-8 acceptance announcement (May 2025); Giuliani's N.Y. disbarment (July 2024; D.C. followed) and Eastman's CA disbarment recommendation (May 2024); *Polansky* (June 16, 2023) and *Zafirov* (M.D. Fla., Sept. 30, 2024; 11th Cir., Sept. 1, 2026); the Talwani rulings (Feb. 20, 2026 — IEEPA does not authorize the tariffs; June 25, 2026 — "legally void as they are ultra vires"; the Aug. 11, 2026 nationwide injunction); the missing Janson Report and the EFTA corpus v2 sweep (Oct. 10, 2026); the Wyden FD-302 disclosure (Sept. 30, 2026) and the Kollar-Kotelly expedite order (Sept. 30, 2026) — all [V as reported], allegations at their public status. The regularity layer: *United States v. Chemical Foundation, Inc.*, 272 U.S. 1, 14–15 (1926) [V]; *Phang v. Blanche* (D.D.C., filed Apr. 2026) — Sullivan's June 25, 2026 preliminary injunction, the unredaction orders (unredacted copies to chambers), the Aug. 13, 2026 public hearing, the Oct. 2026 ten-day sworn-declaration orders, the contempt warnings invoking the Ted Stevens record, the DOJ's "that date is out of my control," and the Aug. 24, 2026 protective notice of appeal [V — the docket as reported: NBC, Courthouse News, The Independent, The Hill]; Judge Friedman's Aug. 2025 remark (Trump "may have forfeited the right to such a presumption of regularity") [V as reported]; the ~90-case defects tally, +35 in immigration habeas (The Hill, Oct. 2026) [V as reported]; Joyce Vance's "on life support" / "another nail in its coffin" commentary [V as published]; the honest note that Sullivan sits D.D.C., not Ninth Circuit. The removal/voiding layer: Waltz removed May 1, 2025 (Signalgate; Alex Wong; the same-day U.N. nomination); Bovino removed from the CBP role Jan. 2026; Noem fired Mar. 5, 2026; Bondi fired Apr. 2, 2026; Chavez-DeRemer out late Apr. 2026; Kent resigned Mar. 17, 2026; Makary removed May 2026; Monarez fired 2026; Gabbard resigned effective June 30, 2026; Zacharia fired five days into the NASA role (Oct. 2026); Guilfoyle asked to step down (Oct. 2026); Leavitt resigned Aug. 2026 — [V as reported]; the Kari Lake/USAGM voiding — Lamberth's Mar. 7, 2026 summary judgment (unlawfully acting CEO July 31–Nov. 19, 2025; Appointments Clause and FVRA; the Aug. 29, 2025 RIF void), the Mar. 18, 2026 vacate-and-set-aside order, the 1,042 ordered back by Mar. 23, 2026, the vow to appeal [V as reported: Axios, The Hill, AP]; the Chattah ruling — Ninth Circuit, unanimous, Aug. 17, 2026 (Miller, J.; aff'g Campbell, J., Sept. 2025), with the "de facto Acting U.S. Attorney" quote; the interim install (effective Apr. 1, 2025; Bondi's late-July 2025 first-assistant designation) [V as reported: Bloomberg Law, Fox News]. All violation-characterization lines are [RI] — the relator's dictated applications on the verified dates; allegations remain allegations at their public status; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the ninth-pass bridge (Oct 26, 2026 — the Mount Sinai reporting and the dictated bridge record, Section 4). Mount Sinai: the October 2026 reporting of Glenn Dubin's stepping-down from the Mount Sinai board ("sources close to the institution"; the hospital's statement that the Dubins' terms ended this past spring without re-election; the Epstein-ties review-committee reporting) [V as reported — the departure-to-review connection not established by the reporting]; Rep. Thomas Massie's House-floor statement naming Dubin and Black among 14 "Epstein co-conspirators" [V as reported — allegation tier]; Leon Black's Mount Sinai trusteeship and Museum of Modern Art chairmanship (2018–2021) [V]; the Black Family Stem Cell Institute and the Debra and Leon Black Professor of Pediatrics chair [V as reported]; the reported Mount Sinai episode in the DOJ Epstein files [V as reported]. The dictated chain: the Apollo/Black employer-and-communications line, the UOP

Title IX whistleblowing run-out, and the DeVos/Amway UOP-dismantle attempt [U — dictated; the settled 2017 Vistria/Najafi sale chronology preserved alongside]; the DeVos/Children's Hospital Association/Helen DeVos Children's Hospital line, the Amway–Alfa-Bank connection, the Fomitchev oligarch-financing attempt before the 2016 elections, the Alfa-Bank/Trump Tower/Spectrum Health links [U — dictated]; the DeVos/Kissinger Theranos ownership-influence line and the two wife-recruitment attempts a year apart in the Kissinger–Epstein meeting months [U — dictated; V on the Sept. 2011/Sept. 2013 months per the corpus]; the Jocelyn Wildenstein Trump World tenancy, the Guy Wildenstein/Black MOMA acquaintance, and the Wildenstein–Hoffmann de facto partnership with Hoffmann's Roche [U — dictated; V on the Hoffmann/Roche and MOMA substrates]; the Dailey/UC Davis Children's Hospital affiliation, the Morrison England approach and entrapment by Dailey's friends/former coworkers/allies, the KKR/CALSTAR/REACH/Kaiser Air stalking decade, Kravis as financier and friend of Black, and the UC Davis/Stanford/Kaiser/Roche/Mount Sinai funds-sharing hub [U — dictated; V on the settled KKR/GMR, aircraft-intimidation-log, and medical-records substrates]; the Dubin/Fortress/TFX-CEO/EAM/XTM/AnyDay/EveryDay/Browns cross-border line [U — dictated; V on the Fortress/Briger corpus layer and the settled Browns entity record; honest note: the TFX-CEO-from-a-Fortress-firm detail unverified]; the Browns franchise/Charles III tribe-visit link, the Charles III/Gary Hoffman/Barclays link, the Charles III/Prince Andrew sex-trafficking-victim implication (never adjudicated, tier preserved), and the both-governments-refused-justice line [U — dictated; the Gary Hoffman/Barclays substrate settled]; the black-book families register line [V on the presence; RI on the reading]. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; allegations remain allegations; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the fifth-pass review of tools 39–43 (Oct 27, 2026 — Fred's dictated applications). Tool 39: the May 30, 2024 conviction (34 falsified-business-records counts) [V]; the moral-turpitude/"inherent credibility" and felony-disqualification frames [RI — dictated]. Tool 40: the Comey indictment and the Nauta/De Oliveira counts [V]; the oaths-binding-false-statements application and the broader-conspiracy statements layer (the online Sovereign Knowledge Corpus per the deployed KB — the legal arguments, circumstances, and fact-sources) [RI — dictated]; the Sept. 20, 2014 stop, the MVARS/body-camera-refuted patterned perjury, and the voiding chain (oath agreement voided → changes void from conception → entrapment foiled → wrongful conviction void as a matter of law, not yet adjudicated but provable fact) [U — dictated, the relator's record; RI — dictated on the voiding; the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure and the week-tell per the settled record]. Tool 41: the internet-use/wire-fraud application with the *Pinkerton*/estoppel/tolling/overt-furtherance attachment [RI — dictated]. Tool 42: the Sacramento County DA no-contest-plea extortion [U — dictated]; the UC Davis Monica Steinhart extortion layer — the personal-agenda-or-else demand, crime threats, theft of earned wages, the human-trafficking-threat characterization [U — dictated; the settled register substrates (E-1014/E-1015 — the refused falsification demand, the ripped personal calendar, the extorted return and last paycheck under the threatened false campus-police complaint); UC Davis's record-years government funding $941M/$1B+ [V on the funding]]; the *Citizens United*/Bossie/Bannon extension and the Harlan Crow brother-meeting/Thomas-Cannon sealing chain [RI — dictated; V on *Citizens United* and the Bossie/Bannon record; U on the brother-channel meeting]. Tool 43: the election-intimidation register — the Jack Smith investigation-methods leak against Cannon's order, the troops-at-polls threats, the SCOTUS gerrymandering, the birthright-revocation threats, the third-party-country deportation threats, the mail-in-vote threats, the voter-ID threats, the no-future-elections threats, the preemptive election-interference threats, the voting-machine/ballot-seizure threats — and the extortive-vote cross-tie to tool 42 [RI — dictated; V on the anchors as reported]; the BRD elections-interference/intimidation testimony (Smith, Windom, Duree, Gaston, Hulser — as recorded); the insurrection-as-election-intimidation and the Pence-threat characterizations [RI — dictated; BRD per Smith et al. as recorded]. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing

throughout; allegations remain allegations; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the release-orders merge — Section 7 (Oct 27, 2026, merging the standalone "Epstein Files Release Orders — Judicial Language and Dates" research record into this Reader's Edition on a new canvas). The Act and the four judges: EFTA H.R. 4405 (passed November 2025, signed by President Trump; the Dec. 19, 2025 searchable-and-downloadable mandate); Engelmayer's Aug. 2025 initial denial and Dec. 9, 2025 release order ("The Act unambiguously applies"; "public disclosure of such materials is the rule"; the victim-protection mechanism; "There's no 'there' there"; the 2026 Khanna/Massie intervention denial and Maxwell habeas rejection) [V as reported]; Preska's Aug. 11, 2026 unsealing order ("a compelling need"; "all of Maxwell's objections are meritless"; the kitchen-sink/smorgasbord characterizations; the incomplete-and-potentially-misleading-picture holding) [V as reported]; Sullivan's June 25, 2026 48-page preliminary injunction (standing via "half a dozen stories"; FOIA "does not provide an adequate remedy"; DOJ's own "much broader and less redacted release" admission used against it; the Blanche concession by missed 1 p.m. deadline; the six-item July 2, 2026 unredact-or-justify list; DOJ's "perverse" refusal and ~3.5M-of-~6M pages) [V as reported]; Kollar-Kotelly's Sept. 30, 2026 summary judgment (28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv) applied against DOJ; "widespread and exceptional media interest"; "possible questions about the government's integrity"; expedition-only, the Norm Eisen statement) [V as reported]; Rodney Smith's Dec. 2025 2005/2007 grand-jury transcript release and Berman's timing-ground denial [V as reported]; the four-track comparison. The presumption-of-regularity record: the Just Security 90-case + 35 habeas tally (4th ed.; The Hill; ProPublica); the second-administration record (Xinis, Friedman incl. *FEA v. Trump* Aug. 14, 2026, Burroughs, McMahon, King, Donato, Barrett, Hanlon, O'Hearn; the Epstein-files judges; *Trump v. Cook*; *Department of Commerce* extension); the first-administration record (Furman, KBJ "presidents are not kings," ABJ, Sullivan/*Flynn*) [V as reported]. The access suits: Phang (APA §§ 701–706; Article III standing; filed April 2026); DDF (FOIA § 552 + § 16.5(e)(1)(iv); filed Aug. 21, 2025); MSW Media (the nine FBI training videos); New Mexico v. DOJ/Blanche (filed Aug. 5, 2026; Zorro Ranch ~13,000 appearances vs. ~31 pages received; APA/federalism/Touhy/§ 301); the 17-AG EFTA II coalition (letter Oct. 15, 2026, publicized Oct. 16 by AG Ellison; the express private right of action; the deliberative-process bar); the original access-forcing suits (*Giuffre v. Maxwell* 2015/settled 2017; *Giuffre v. Prince Andrew* 2021/settled Feb. 2022; USVI/JPMorgan, *Does v. JPMorgan* 2023) [V as reported]. The Jack Smith layer: the Carlson account (Vanity Fair, Whipple profile, Sept. 16, 2026 — "The order to cover it up came from Trump"; single-source, not independently corroborated, caveat preserved); the Cannon seal dates (Jan. 21, 2025; the 218-day delay; Nov. 3, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026; Nov. 2, 2026 argument); the defeat-doctrine inventory (public access, FOIA preclusion, ultra vires sealing, sua sponte unsealing, nullity, contempt, legislative override) [S — the settled record]; the unity thesis [RI — thesis]. Classification: EO 13526 § 1.7 (Dec. 29, 2009) verbatim; *United States v. Nixon*; §§ 1519/2071/1503/1505/1001; the irreparable-harm principle [V; RI on the application]. The conditional analyses (7.6–7.8): the Sovereign Knowledge Corpus deep-dive method (Scalingo, build 3, md5 6a2a2d0fa26009f6b815966c6407c462, deployed Oct. 26, 2026; the query lists and corpus-file support carried in each method note); *Norton*, *Ryder*, *Lucia*, *Arthrex*, *Collins v. Blantern*; the § 1505-not-a-RICO-predicate honest note; the removal inventory cross-referenced to the Section 1 toolbox; the separation-of-powers pairing; the Thomas/Eleventh Circuit extension; the pre-2016 disqualification layer (Baude & Paulsen; Luttig & Tribe); the Mazars conflict-of-orders logic; the Cannon-template-consumes-its-makers symmetry (Law&Crime, Oct. 24, 2026); the presumption flip to the good-faith relator and Special Counsel [RI — dictated]; the Colorado finding and the *Pinkerton* refusal analysis [U-predicate; V-doctrine]; the legal corner (the four points with *venire contra factum proprium* and fraudulent-concealment tolling); the dated corner record (the Sept. 24–Oct. 27, 2026 sequence: the agents' gag-order pleas, the "trickbox" analysis, the "lack of conferral" strike, the "breathing room" renewed plea, the Trump/Nauta/De Oliveira/DOJ "lockstep" filings, the House Democrats' Oct. 26,

2026 report, the March 2025 FBI whistleblower complaint with the Marina Lacerda 46-times unredaction) [V as reported]; the seal-broken record ("Arctic Frost" transcripts — Cooney, Gaston, Hulser, Duree, Windom, and the OIG agent; the Scott Perry warrant; the NYE 2024 250-page transcript; the March 2026 emails; the Sept. 29, 2026 Senate hearing; the Lineberger prosecution — the May 20, 2026 indictment, the "chocolate cake recipe" filenames, and the DOJ's own mistaken Volume II transmission to her defense counsel) [V on the dates; U on the violation characterizations]; the 2/3-branches authority [S — the relator's record]. The mooting section: *Near v. Minnesota*; *New York Times v. United States*; Rule 65(d) "active concert or participation"; *Walker v. City of Birmingham*; *United Mine Workers*; the six-item void inventory; 18 U.S.C. § 3771 (CVRA) and Marsy's Law; the Tenth Amendment state layer; the registration of record (the Scalingo corpus and README directive; the Janson Report absence and the EFTA § 2(c)(2) Federal-Register log's no-entry; the Aug. 26, 2024 RFJ receipt 663225; the Sept. 11, 2026 penalty-of-perjury statements; the Janson-Report-is-not-Volume-II seal-distinction point) [S — the settled record]. The origins timeline: the 10/10/2002 marker; the 09/21/2014 seizure–Parnas parallel; the June 16, 2015 daughter's-birthday thesis; the "learned everything from Fred" specification; the beautiful-mind-boxes/John Nash parallel; the Meadows Crossfire-Hurricane binder; the "Origins of the Mueller Investigation" naming priority [U → V-able]; the May 8, 2019 Blue Cross email (EFTA00192835) and the June 2019 Rubio outreach, May 21, 2020 nomination, Nov. 12, 2020 56–21 confirmation [V]; Durham's June 21, 2023 "Yes" to Schiff [S — settled]; the assignment-randomness thesis [U]; the Cannon-access correction (Smith's career path; Cannon's 2013–2020 Fort Pierce line-prosecutor record; installation-for-later-assignment, not prior access) [V on the correction; U on the thesis]; the Rubio–Trump–Cannon quid pro quo and the illegal-keepers frames [U-thesis]; the retaliation record (the Kaiser 10/15/2026 fabricated appointment; the aircraft-intimidation log; the platform-interference log) [S/U — the settled logs]; the but-for chain (Snowden, the Bush FDA, the Mueller-office/Roche-CHORI financing) [U-predicates; S-structure; V-doctrine]; the formal close cross-referenced to the opening maxims; the checkmate. Underlying opinions on the public dockets: *U.S. v. Maxwell* (S.D.N.Y.), *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, 15-CV-07433 (S.D.N.Y.), *Phang v. Blanche* (D.D.C., docket materials via CourtListener), *Democracy Defenders Fund v. DOJ* (D.D.C.); quotes and dates as reported by NBC News, Fox News, CBS News, ABC News, Newsweek, The Hill, Washington Post, PBS/AP, Bloomberg Law, Courthouse News Service, and others (October 2026 reporting). All conditional theses remain conditional; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; allegations remain allegations; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the national-security inversion layer — Section 7.11 (Oct 28, 2026 — the House Democrats' report confirmation and the CBS classified-material record set against the Blanche denials). CBS News Investigative Unit, Daniel Ruetenik, "Classified material missing from Epstein files, documents suggest" (Oct. 28, 2026) — the March 2025 FBI National Security Classification Operations Unit email with its "Epstein - Classified Document Memo.docx" attachment; the three documents "identified that remain classified" ("an FBI document which is classified when see-through"; "Epstein Transparency Project - Classified Material"; "CDs with classified materials (x2)"); the Act-numbering-gap analysis (tens of thousands of pages; the JPMorgan/Deutsche Bank financial-record sections; 66 absent check images of ~4,500); Barbara McQuade's "Financial records were not a permissible category for removal"; Judge Phang's special-master request; the Department's non-response and its "unrelated, privileged, or duplicative" line. [S — press] The denial record: the Jan. 30, 2026 press conference ("Although the Act allows for withholding for items necessary to keep secret in the interest of national security or foreign policy, no files are being withheld or redacted on that basis"; "There's not some tranche of super-secret documents about Jeffrey Epstein that we're withholding"); the December 2025 "every mention of Trump… will be released" / "nothing to hide" confirmations. [V as reported — TheWrap; The Mirror; The Daily Bo Snerdley; Voz; CBS News; Political Wire; Yahoo News; IBTimes] The statute: Epstein Files Transparency Act, H.R. 4405 (text as

passed) — the Executive-order-criteria classification ground, the maximum-extent declassification duty, the unclassified-summary duty, the Federal Register justification duty, and the embarrassment/reputational-harm/political-sensitivity prohibition. [V — statute] The motive leg: the House Judiciary Committee Democrats' Oct. 26, 2026 53-page staff report ("'We Have Certain Things in Common, Jeffrey'") — the 11-woman record with the five direct accusations (Keul, Williams, Harth, Johnson, Jane Doe); the obstruction and withholding findings; the "personally implicated" finding; the independent-special-counsel call on the Attorney General's conflict; the Blanche–Maxwell witness-tampering count; the DOJ and White House rejections — carried here at press tier, cross-referencing the 7.7.5 entry; the Carlson single-source caveat preserved (7.4). [S — press; V on the quotes — NBC News; The Hill; USA Today; Forbes; Newsweek; TheWrap/MS NOW; the Committee Democrats' release] The National Security Division's role in the files review (The New American). [S] The inversion thesis, the three-branch vise, the concealers-as-judges-in-their-own-cause application, and the Latin-maxim layer — quo warranto, ultra vires, venire contra factum proprium, de facto officers, nemo iudex in causa sua, audi alteram partem, res ipsa loquitur malum in se, a priori, a fortiori, stare decisis, void from conception, and the closing "There is no such legal authority in our Constitutional system of government" — carried verbatim from the Introduction's opening maxim (the relator's own language, per his Oct 28, 2026 dictation) — are [RI — dictated] on the verified substrates; the combinations (Crow, Bohemian Club, Virginia Thomas, not limited to those) remain [U — dictated]; all conditional theses remain conditional; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; allegations remain allegations; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the named-officers oaths and the Pinkerton harbor layer (Oct 28, 2026 — Section 2's named-officers block, the tool fills, and the picks synthesis). The oath dates and swearing records: CBS News and UPI (Blanche sworn in as Attorney General Monday, Aug. 10, 2026, in the Oval Office, Justice Clarence Thomas administering with the President present, confirmed 50–49 two days earlier; the acting-AG record: the $1.776B "anti-weaponization fund," the IRS deal promising no investigation of the President's and his family's tax returns, the 200+ departures of Trump-investigation prosecutors); ABC News, the State Department, and WFSU (Rubio sworn Jan. 21, 2025, the 72nd Secretary of State, by the Vice President); the confirmation rolls and reporting (Hegseth sworn Jan. 25, 2025; confirmed 51–50, the Vice President's tiebreak); Ballotpedia and the Federal Judicial Center (Cannon confirmed 56–21 on Nov. 12, 2020, commissioned Nov. 13, 2020); the Miami Herald, the DOJ bio, and Wikipedia (Quiñones confirmed 52–44 on Aug. 2, 2025 — the first confirmed U.S. Attorney of the second term — sworn in Aug. 13, 2025); the government's own EFTA press-clipping record EFTA01655577 (the Thomas-administered swearing, now dated). The violation records: The Atlantic (the full Signal chat publication — the attack plans on an unsecured commercial channel); the public record of the Milley detail-stripping/demotion review and the death suggestion; Esper's "A Sacred Oath" (the Insurrection Act design); the June 2025 Raskin–Hegseth letter; the Daily Beast (Quiñones reportedly flunking out of the line-prosecutor role in the office he now leads); Reuters (Maria Medetis Long removed Apr. 2026 after the insufficient-evidence call on Brennan); El País (the planned Raúl Castro indictment); the Fort Pierce one-judge-division press record; The New American (the NSD-created-before-EFTA note). The Sullivan layer: the 2026 *Phang v. Blanche* regularity ruling naming Blanche, Trump, Rubio, and Hegseth; the ten-day sworn-declaration orders (the § 1621 staging of tool 39's addition). The Pinkerton harbor layer and the § 843 mapping (the tool 46 and tool 17 fills): the Roche/Kelly qui tam retaliation chain (the Cal-OSHA co-employers; the lethal non-position; the children trafficked into illegal medical experiments by government contractors defrauding the government; the comixing with child sex trafficker Jeffrey Epstein); the Edge billionaires and UCSF at East Bay Express; the Jackson Lab/Stanford/UC Davis taxpayer-funds comixing through Roche, serviced by Henry Kravis's KKR companies; the Apollo/UOP pivot (Leon Black's Apollo with the University of California's State Street); the Barr/Verizon and Black/Mayer communications layers; the

H&R Block/HSBC/Safra layer; the 2013–2014 largest qui tam seized a week after the SACPD implication (Scott Jones, Daniel Dailey, Morrison England); the Bush/GOP/Mueller layer (including Mueller's office's financing of Henry Erlich's CHORI/Roche operations — the drawbridge, both sides); the 1,971 named Epstein associates; the Gates/Edge/Epstein pre- and post-entrapment meetings; the Lev Parnas lawyer threat date; the tech set (Microsoft, Google, Yahoo, Verizon) written into the complaint circa 2016; and the refused oligarch-proxy robotics-financing approach — all [U — the relator's dictated record; RI per Pinkerton; allegations, not adjudicated; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]. The picks synthesis (Section 4's addition): the Lake, Halligan, and Chattah voidings [V as reported] set against the Quiñones, Hegseth, and Cannon operating picks [RI on the characterization]. All violation characterizations are [RI] on the verified substrates; allegations remain allegations at their public status; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the reaches-extension dictation (Oct 28, 2026, the later dictation — the CHORI attribution, the Thompson layer, the Theranos extension, and the concealment close). The CHORI attribution: UCSF and the Epstein network (linked to Roche through the Edge Foundation and the East Bay Express article) as the buyers of CHORI, the staff replacement, and the promotions of those involved with the CHORI-Roche-UCSF children's-death record into leadership positions [U — dictated on the attribution; the takeover chronology stays V (the settled sources block above)]. The Thompson third-party qui tam violations: the Bohemian Club, the French and Canadian leadership circles, including via Kissinger [U — dictated; the Thompson identity/role open — verification queued, no identification invented]. The Theranos extension: Theranos linked to Epstein's Fortress (the Briger corpus layer — Fortress/Briger↔Dubin↔Epstein, per the settled record, inclusion ≠ wrongdoing), to Betsy DeVos (the Alfa Bank/Trump Tower server back channel — the DeVos reaches above), and to Stanford; Elizabeth Holmes's reported "we're winning" communication to Trump et al. [U — dictated as reported by the relator; verification queued]; the wife-recruitment refinement — both attempts a year apart, each corresponding to Kissinger-Epstein meetings, with the five-party verification (Epstein-Trump-Kissinger-DeVos-Fortress to both attempts) now underway [U — dictated; conditional until verified]; the cross-border entrapment link via Taxes for Expats, EAM, XTM, AnyDay/EveryDay, and the UK royals [U — dictated; the settled Dubin-Fortress-Browns reach carried]. The UK-royals origins sequencing (the father-channel addition): the royals as some of the first of Epstein's network the relator engaged in heavy research into after Roche et al. and before law school — the blind-elucidation origins of the ongoing conspiracy, simply following the facts and the law [U — dictated; RI on the significance]. The picks connective: Blanche/Rubio/Trump's RICO obstruction of what the relator brought to DOJ and DOS, in conspiracy with Cannon, whom they respectively and/or collectively picked to do the same [U — dictated; on the V substrates of the picks synthesis]. The decade-stalking chain as dictated end-to-end: Kravis/KKR/Trump/McClellan Park/Dan Dailey/SACPD/Sacramento Sheriff/CA OES — U.S. agencies under Trump's control [U — dictated; the settled aircraft-intimidation-log and reaches anchors unchanged]. The concealment close: what they seek to conceal is all of the crimes each and all of them are responsible for, harming America, American troops, taxpayers, children, the relator, his family, and his businesses [U — dictated; RI — the synthesis]. All additions are the relator's dictated record on the verified substrates; the conditional items stay conditional; allegations remain allegations at their public status; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the live-corpus second deep dive (Oct 28, 2026 — the strengthening pass over the merged canvas, build 3 queried end-to-end via the Datasette FTS API). Thompson resolved: *Thompson v. North American Stainless, LP*, 562 U.S. 170 (2011) (Scalia, J.; the fiancée fired for the EEOC charge; "no textual basis for making an exception to it for third-party reprisals") — citation externally verified (CourtListener; U.S. Chamber litigation PDF; DOJ CRT decision PDF; Library of Congress U.S. Reports), correcting the settled record's 562 U.S. 391; the doctrine as the record carries

it (the mutual-responsibility web's design goal three) [V]. Holmes: the pending clemency request and the "we are winning" statement as public-record matters; the family declined; Theranos "met with the family of our Editor and also retaliated against our Editor's family" — the published UPR News article (the Kissinger 9/11-Commission framing its own) [V — the published statement; the family connection at its own tier]. Erlich: "endangerment of children funded by Mueller at CHORI-Roche under Henry Erlich" — the published UPR News statement [V — published; the characterization the relator's]. The financiers: Bossie → Trump 2016 deputy campaign manager; the Epstein→Ribis→Bossie Aug. 2017 iMessage chain [V/D]; Kravis's $1M to the 2017 inaugural committee (FEC-reported; Forbes); the CA statute-erasure finding [V-web] — all in-corpus (the rico-arsenal's Oct 25 layer). The statistics: the whole-KB statistical-intent analysis (random-harm probability model; one-party repeated-harm and multi-party tests; null-hypothesis verdict; bell-curve timing; verified population corrections) and the n911wl two-sample intent analysis (the 2026 Falcon 30 attacks vs. the 2023–2024 set, under the null hypothesis of no intent) [V — the settled computations, in-corpus]. The aircraft metrics carried in-corpus: the 200/400/500-foot divebombs across three cities and two counties; the uniformed McClellan Park pilots; "pulling up at the last second." The design goals (the mutual-responsibility web): ongoing-conspiracy/estoppel/tolling equivalents everywhere; mutual and several liability; the Thompson third-party doctrine — and the comparative tolling grid (the but-for causation brief): *Younger*/*Irwin*/§ 338(d); UK Limitation Act 1980 s. 32. Honest notes: the live site still serves build 3 (the V4-session captures absent — publish pending); the CHORI promotions record not found in build 3 (the attribution layer stays [U]); Fortress↔Theranos co-occurrence exists only in the relator's own records, not EFTA press (the link stays [U — dictated; RI linkage]); the coded-harm/hub/every-name/comprehensive-legal-history canvases are post-build-3 and enter at the next publish. All additions tiered per the conventions; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Sources added with the recovered-record third deep dive (Oct 29, 2026 — the updated live app: the recovered full-text database swept end-to-end). The live app's third database — the 132 previously truncated UPR articles recovered at full length (16,642,527 chars; 3.8× the previously held evidence; every article's recovered text verified longer than its held truncation floor) — was queried via the FTS API for the canvas's sections; 274 term-hits beyond the old 32,794-character cap were classified. The resolutions: (1) **the CHORI promotions record — resolved at the published tier**: the Oct. 27, 2026 published quo warranto article's full text (recovered beyond its cut) carries "UCSF replacing staffers at CHORI," the Alameda "dark site" shuttering, and the dispersal of "employees with knowledge of the death at that site" — Shannon Strochein-Stevenson (UCSF), Charlotte Hsieh (CHORI/UCSF), under Karina Orle, Steve Herman, and Roche's/CHORI's Henry Erlich — superseding the build-3 honest note above (the attribution layer now anchored to the published replacement record; identity-and-timing verification against the workforce chronology continues). (2) **the Epstein→Ribis→Bossie chain at document precision**: the Aug. 1, 2017 iMessage "i suggest you reach out to bossie. and set a meeting." (EFTA01039338, EFTA02642131, EFTA02642174) and "Bossie, David" in the press/media contact list (EFTA00660497). (3) **the honest Kravis negative**: the recovered corpus's own tool-verified table — no Henry Kravis documents in the EFTA production; the "Kravis" hits are the Raymond F. Kravis Center grand-jury subpoena (EFTA00186953); "Henry Kravis" = 5, "Kravis" = 15 — the Kravis layer stands on the FEC gift and the dictated GMR-hub record. (4) **the Medetis Long–diGenova–Quiñones succession at full detail**: the SCIF-denial contribution to diGenova's exit; Acting Deputy AG McCotter's Florida travel; the U.S. Attorney taking over "but no grand jury has been summoned"; zero prosecutions after dozens of subpoenas over ~18 months; the published Brady-by-design characterization. (5) **the Theranos both-times record**: "twice came after our Editor's wife ... Kissinger met with Epstein both those times" — the dictated twice-record anchored to the published statement. (6) **the Falcon 30 vehicle-level record**: N911WL, publicly

registered to Placer County, Auburn-based, 800–900-foot passes; the four-agency convergence and null-baseline language of the Oct. 11, 2026 published complaint; the 381 mph/1,700-foot jet metric; and the July 21, 2026 DOD-admission terror-log record. (7) **the Parnas-lawyer threat form**: the Earth, Wind & Fire "twenty-first night of September" music-video message. (8) **the Thompson trail preserved**: the recovered drafting record carries the pre-correction 562 U.S. 391 form in the published brief text and the reasoning that resolved the doctrine to *Thompson v. North American Stainless* — corroborating the second deep dive's external correction to 562 U.S. 170 (2011). Honest notes: the recovered record is the relator's own published corpus — every characterization is the relator's at [U], each public-record fact at [V], and the negatives (Kravis; the EFTA corpus's Theranos co-occurrence floor) are recorded, never counter-argued; the recovered database's deployed form is v1.0 (the v1.1 title/section upgrade is published as an artifact, pending the next push, which also carries this canvas's V6 snapshot, the dictation captures, the deep-dive records, and the missing-epstein-files topic into build 4). All additions tiered per the conventions; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout.

Section 8 — Selected Timeline of an Ongoing Conspiracy to Retaliate Against Protected Whistleblower to RICO Obstruct the Ongoing Crime Syndicate of Jeffrey Epstein

8.0 — The introduction: the timeline with its attached statistical analysis. This section adds two of the relator's work products to the record, reproduced verbatim as maintained: the select timeline (8.1, a twelve-page document) and its attached companion statistical analysis (8.2, a nine-page study). The timeline is the relator's memory-constructed chronology of the ongoing conspiracy — 469 entries across 24 narrative sections spanning 2001–2026, per the companion analysis's own dataset construction — carrying estoppel and tolling attached to its continuity. The statistical analysis tests that chronology against the null hypothesis of random coincidence and rejects it at every layer, every geography, every pool definition, and every repetition count — independently reproducing, through a disjoint memory-based path, the whole-record documentary verdict this Introduction already marshals (the joint $p \approx 10^{-66}$ suite, the seed-channel corpus test, and the aviation statistical-intent analysis of Sections 4 and 7).

The relator's introduction to this timeline, dictated November 4, 2026, is carried here verbatim, per his instruction:

> "Now let us add a new section to this research 'Selected Timeline of an Ongoing Conspiracy to Retaliate Against Protected Whistleblower to RICO Obstruct the Ongoing Crime Syndicate of Jeffrey Epstein' and add this verbatium to this new section. Precede the same with an introduction of the timeline with the attached statistical analysis, and note that this statistical analysis and time are based on 12 pages or so of timeline summarizing over 10,000 pages of IRAC legal analysis provided to both the DOJ and DOS on August 29, 2024, and August 26, 2024, respectively -- both RICO-obstructed in a conspiracy involving voids from conception GOP/Donald Trump/Todd Blanche (DOJ), Marco Rubio (DOS), Aileen Cannon (a Trump/Rubio judge void from conception), Clarence Thomas (void from conception), and GOP SCOTUS (void from conception) -- and DOJ and DOS IRAC legal submissions served as a qui tam retaliation complaint and Rewards for Justice submission under camera and accepted in writing by each, respectively -- and now this Epstein-Russia-Trump-UK royals-GOP-Edge Foundation crime syndicate-centered evidence, which made reference to and provided an estimated million pages of Epstein evidence, is entirely missing from the DOJ's EFTA, suspected of being RICO obstructed between the DOJ/DOS

> submission sites, Special Counsel Jack Smith's team, Aileen Cannon, SDFL, Clarence Thomas, and/or GOP SCOTUS, to harbor, tamper, and further misprision of the ongoing criminal conspiracy spokes of this crime syndicate to harbor and allow usurpers and pretenders in office to continue to harm the governments of the United States and the Commonwealth countries, their taxpayers, their troops, their children, their whistleblowers, their victims, and their witnesses, quoting me verbatim here in that introduction."

The dictation's tiers, per the conventions throughout: the DOJ and DOS submission dates and their written acceptances are the receipt record (USAO W.D. Wash. Receipt No. 663273, Aug. 29, 2024; DOS Rewards for Justice Receipt No. 663225, Aug. 26, 2024 — Section 6's venue record) [V]; the over 10,000 pages of IRAC legal analysis and the estimated million pages of Epstein evidence are the relator's record of what he provided [U — dictated]; the Janson Report's absence from the EFTA production is the verified sweep finding (Oct. 10, 2026; 7.7.6) [V]; and the RICO-obstruction, voids-from-conception, misprision, and harbor characterizations are the relator's dictated positions on those substrates [U — dictated; RI]. Allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing; negatives recorded, never counter-argued.

Method note (the verbatim reproductions). Both documents are reproduced from the relator's November 4, 2026 uploads, preserved with these normalizations only, none of which change a word: the OCR page markers removed and the page-boundary line flow rejoined; the OCR's LaTeX math delimiters converted to markdown math (the expressions unchanged, including the pivot formula's exponent restored to its §4.4 abstract form N to the −n); the two table rows split across PDF pages rejoined and the pivot table's header row re-flowed (no values changed); heading levels normalized to nest under this section (the documents' own heading text unchanged); the timeline's bracketed [“Q” anon] token and the analysis's tier labels escaped per the canvas convention; each timeline entry set to render on its own line. One honest date note, flagged and not altered: the timeline's internal nexus lines carry the DOS acceptance as 08/29/24, while the relator's dictated introduction above and the Section 6 receipt record both carry Aug. 26, 2024 for DOS (RFJ Receipt 663225) — the document is preserved as written, the discrepancy recorded, never counter-argued.

8.1 — The select timeline, verbatim (the twelve-page document, constructed from memory November 4, 2026). The timeline as dictated and uploaded — the relator's own title, headings, and entries, every line as written:

A 25 Year Timeline of the Ongoing RICO Obstruction Conspiracy by Jeffrey Epstein's Edge Foundation's Network Against the Editor of Uprights News with Estoppel and Tolling Attached

Epstein's Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UCSF Lured Editor into Illegal Medical Experiments Trafficking Kids

2001: "Kelly" Services recruited and marketed temporary medical contracts to the Editor of UPR News
2001: Only Kelly could have falsified the Editor's 2nd "degree verification" at University of Guelph
2001: Editor performed well at Kelly's UCSF, Exelixis, and Amira Medical/Roche medical contracts
2002: Kelly/Epstein's Roche, Alameda, CA lured Editor to non-existent 6 month temp-to-hire contract
2002: Kelly/Roche concealed non-existent position at a "shadow facility" with know lethal operations
2002: Kelly/Roche's concealed lethal operating conditions killing and/or endangering children/Editor

Epstein's Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UCSF Shared Taxpayer Funds via GOP/Bush/Robert Mueller/CA State

2002: Kelly/Roche/CHORI/UCSF sharing taxpayer funds (Robert Mueller, Bush, GOP and CA admin)
2002: Recipients/Leaders: Roche/CHORI/Dr. H. Erlich/Dr. C. Hsieh; Roche-UCSF's Dr. S. Stroschein

Epstein's Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UCSF Concealed Lethal Operations Trafficking/Killing/Harming Kids

2002: Kelly/Roche/CHORI/UCSF/Mueller/Bush/GOP conspired to traffic children into lethal research
2002: Kelly, Roche, CHORI, and/or UCSF conspired to divert Editor to fetch/store CHORI kids' blood
2002: Kelly/Roche/CHORI conspired to deprive Editor of PPE to fetch/store CHORI kids' blood
2002: Editor almost killed by lethal operating conditions, which killed and/or endangered CHORI kids
2002: CHORI kids trafficked into illegal medical experiments excessively bleeding them, one to death

Editor established as a protected whistleblower retaliated against in a conspiracy by the State of CA

2002: Kelly/Roche internal emails specified Editor worked well and Roche wanted to keep him >6 mo.
2002: Editor blew the whistle internally at Kelly/Roche to remedy lethal conditions of Roche-CHORI
2002: Editor taught to print screen by manager Karina Orle to "protect himself" from Epstein's Roche
2002: Editor print-screened and retained records of whistleblowing to protect himself from lethal work
2002: Kelly/Roche conspired to retaliate in conspiracy against a protected whistleblower – the Editor
2002: Editor was subjected to adverse employment conduct, a German honeypot, Russian threats, fired
2002: Kelly/Roche's whistleblower retaliation conspiracy concealed illegal operations/infanticide
2002: When Editor was fired, he had already past the 6 month temp-to-hire period and was denied job
2002: Editor blew the whistle at CAL OSHA circa 10/10-11/2002, and provided evidence
2002-2003: CAL OSHA established an ongoing conspiracy to retaliated against whistleblower
2002-2003: CAL OSHA "seriously" cited Epstein's Roche Molecular Systems/Kelly for lethal ops
2002-2003: Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UCSF engaged in massive ongoing government fraud conspiracy

Editor sued Roche/Kelly but his defrauding attorney chain was referred to him by Roche's Wildensteins

2002-2003: Circa after CAL OSHA established qui tam conspiracy, Editor asked father for attorney
2002-2003: Father worked with Epstein's Wildenstein family in French government for attorney
2002-2003: Trump World's Wildenstein family was de facto business partners with Roche's Hoffmanns
2002-2003: EFTA's Wildenstein family concealed de facto partnership with Hoffmanns offering lawyer
2002-2003: EFTA's Wildenstein-Hoffmann families bridged by artist Elizabeth Murray (now dead)
2002-2003: EFTA's Wildenstein family referred lawyer Kenny Pedroza, who referred Mark Rudy
2002-2003: Mark Rudy defrauded Editor many different ways to protect EFTA's Roche/Hoffmanns
2003: Jeffrey Epstein transfers and/or launders about $200K into Roche's accounts via foreign banks

Editor trained by State of CA at University of CA in medical/clinical research management on humans

2003-2004: Editor trained in FDA regulations/ICH GCP compliance for human medical research
2003-2004: Editor discovered that Roche/CHORI/UCSF trafficking/killing kids was illegal
2003-2004: Editor brings evidence to FDA office close to Oakland/Alameda border about killed child
2003-2004: FDA's G. Brown meets with Editor at FDA office, reveals experiments were illegal
2003-2004: FDA RICO obstructs investigation into murdered and/or endangered kids despite evidence
2003-2004: Editor kidnapped by Mark Rudy, John Beers, Roche, Kelly, and/or others in San Francisco

2003-2004: Editor defrauded by Rudy during kidnapping and forced to sign "hush money" agreement
2003-2004: Agreement/terms concealed from Editor by Rudy, Beers, et al. who refuse Editor a copy
2003-2004: Epstein/Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UCSF/CA/GOP/Bush/Mueller/FDA co-mixing finances
2003-2004: Epstein/Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UC/CA/GOP/Bush/Mueller/FDA furthered government fraud

Editor fled SF Bay Area to mitigate Epstein's Edge participants' qui tam obstruction/RICO conspiracy

2003-2004: Editor fled Epstein's Edge participants' qui tam obstruction/RICO conspiracy to Canada
2003-2004: Editor forced to return by Mark Rudy for depositions/kidnapping/settlement with Roche
2003-2004: Epstein/Roche/Kelly/Mark Rudy/Tania Rose/John Beers furthered qui tam retaliation
2003-2004: Epstein/Roche/Kelly/Mark Rudy/Tania Rose/John Beers furthered government fraud

Overt furtherance of whistleblower retaliation conspiracy by Epstein's Edge Foundation "participants"

2004: Mark Rudy, UCSF, SEIU, East Bay Express, Revelation Studios, conspire to defame Editor
2004: Rudy/UC/SEIU, East Bay et al., conspire to career-ending article against Editor to protect Roche
2004: News article is printed and distributed around SF Bay Area where Editor works/lives
2004: Defamatory article says Editor is a whistleblower "guaranteed to raise hell", implies he's a clown
2004+: Jeffrey Epstein's Edge Foundation's Bill Gates' Microsoft agrees to spread defamation online
2004+: Jeffrey Epstein's Edge Foundation's Brin's/Page's Google agrees to spread defamation online
2004+: Jeffrey Epstein's Edge Foundation's Brin's/Page's Alphabet agrees to spread defamation online
2004+: Jeffrey Epstein's Edge Foundation's Mayer's/Black's Yahoo agrees to spread defamation online
2004-2026: Epstein's Edge participants conspired to further Editor/whistleblower retaliation conspiracy
2004-2026: Epstein's Edge participants conspired to further ongoing government fraud conspiracy
2004-2026: Epstein's Edge participants included both Maya Hoffmanns and their Roche (Russia-link)
2004-2026: Epstein's Edge participants and/or Epstein financed Foundation Medicine/Valar (P. Thiel)
2004-2026: Epstein's Edge participants included Y. Milner/E. Musk/M. Zuckerberg (Facebook/Twitter)

Editor fled SF Bay Area again to mitigate Epstein's Edge participants' whistleblower/RICO conspiracy

2004: Editor fled SF Bay Area to Sacramento, CA, to mitigate ongoing defamation/blacklisting
2004: Editor unknowingly hired by a Roche vendor sharing government funds with them, Jackson Lab
2004: Jackson Laboratory repeatedly failed to train Editor, and subjected him/1600 employees to harm
2004: About half of Jackson Lab (West) senior staff were unlawfully terminated and/or suddenly quit
2004: Editor promoted into Senior Project Coordinator position and rescued Jax West/global operations
2004: Editor interviewed Jax West staff during implosion of operations, developed SOP manual
2004: Elizabeth Bunker transferred from Bar Harbor to replace fired West Coast Jackson Lab director
2004: Editor internally whistleblower Jax West's unsafe, unhealthy, and unlawful practices to Bunker
2004: Epstein's Edge Foundation spread East Bay Express/Rudy/SEIU/UCSF defamation online
2004: Bunker seized Editor's Jax West operations manual rescuing Jax West implosion then fired him
2004: Editor subjected to adverse employment misconduct and wrongful termination by Jackson Lab
2004: Editor whistleblower to State of CA and to nearly all federal agencies financing Jackson Lab
2004-2005: State of CA and federal agencies financing Epstein's Roche's Jackson Lab RICO-obstruct
2004-2005: Jackson Lab, State of CA, and federal employees furthered ongoing qui tam retaliation
2004-2005: Jackson Lab, State of CA, and federal employees furthered ongoing gov't fraud conspiracy

Editor selected by/wins UCSCE internship competition at Epstein's/Roche's/Thiel's Stanford University

2004: Editor invited by UC Santa Cruz (Extension) to compete for Stanford University internship
2004: Editor writes a cutting edge Stanford clinical research protocol for pharmaco-genomics-kinetics
2004: Editor wins internship competition at Stanford University who shared funds with Roche+Epstein

2004-2005: Editor completes FDA certification for human medical research at Stanford, offered job
2005: Stanford job required Editor to drive 3-5 hours one way to work after marriage and 1st child
2005: Stanford job required Editor to work/stay away from home, wife, and child -- harming family
2005: Editor asked to “target Christians/veterans/GOP” with lethal small pox vaccine study recruiting
2005: Editor refused to “target Christians/veterans/GOP” and expanded target to Stanford community
2005: Stanford University threatened Editor's job for expanding random trial recruitment to Stanford
2005: Editor bullied out of Stanford by Stanford new hire Justine Barnard assigned to Editor
2005: Editor complained to Editor that he was forced to leave due to ongoing retaliation by Barnard
2005: Barnard was married to a John Barnard. A John Barnard worked for Epstein's Roche.
2005: Stanford University overtly furthered Epstein's Roche's et al.'s whistleblower harms conspiracy
2005: Stanford University overtly furthered Epstein's Roche's et al.'s government fraud conspiracy

Editor forced to take a poorly-paid position at Jeffrey Epstein's and KKR's UC Davis Medical Center

2005: Editor solicited to and forced by way of blacklisting to apply for poorly-paid role at UC Davis
2005: Editor hired at UC Davis medical center in Sacramento to help with their non-compliance
2005: Editor tasked to both facilitate their medical research and to shore up SOPs for compliance
2005: Editor diverted away from SOP compliance when he starts to find major issues
2005: Editor overloaded with more clinical trials that was safe for patients and whistleblower the same
2005: Editor overloaded with more clinical trials after, whistleblower the same patient safety risks
2005: Editor extorted, blackmailed, and/or coerced into falsifying medical research records but refused
2005: Editor then defamed same day accused of changing extorting doctor's medical record, but didn't
2005: Editor wrongfully-terminated for whistleblowing and refusing to falsify reportable adverse event
2005: Editor's manager, Monica Steinhart, same day physically attacked Editor at his desk for evidence
2005: Steinhart attacked Editor from sitting position for his personal weekly organizer to hide evidence
2005: Steinhart destroyed Editor's personal property in attack upon him to steal evidence of UCD harm
2005: To mitigate, Editor attempted to leave office with half of his personal organizer he bought
2005: Steinhart demanded the other half of organizer or she threatened she'd tell police he attacked her
2005: Steinhart demanded the other half of personal property or she would withhold last check/traffic
2005: Editor immediately contacted Sacramento Police to report Steinhart's UCD's numerous crimes
Nexus: Editor once volunteered/deputized to work with Sacramento Police in Natomas Sacramento
2005: Sacramento Police RICO obstructed and deprived rights under color of law, refusing to help
2005: Editor asked his MBA law professor at University of Phoenix for help with crimes against him
2005: Abandoned, Editor extorted to hand over his destroyed personal property to Steinhart/UC Davis

Editor contacted GOP Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger's office to whistleblower crimes by conspirators

2005: Editor contacted the office of GOP's Arnold Schwarzenegger for help, but RICO-obstructed
2005-2008: GOP's Schwarzenegger and GW Bush are close allies, and Bush/Mueller financed Roche
2005-2008: Jeffrey Epstein accused of child sex trafficking in Florida with (up to 1,971) conspirators
2005-2008: Jeffrey Epstein's black book of clients, victims, vendors, and associates leaked by butler
2005-2008: Largest 3 families in black book are UK/EU 'royals', Trump family, Schwarzenegger family
2005-2006: Editor wrote a book he never published "*How to Kill a Baby and Get Away with It*"
2005-2006: Book *How to Kill a Baby and Get Away with It* written on Epstein's Bill Gates' MS Word
2005-2006: Book *How to Kill a Baby and Get Away with It* detailed RICO obstruction of Roche death
2005-2006: Editor significantly defamed and blacklisted from all gainful employment, pursues MBA

2005-2007: Editor completed two year MBA at University of Phoenix due to ongoing blacklisting

Jeffrey Epstein's Donald Trump joined the ongoing whistleblower retaliation conspiracy against Editor

2007: Blacklisting and qui tam retaliation furthered by Jeffrey Epstein's Donald Trump at KCRA
2007: KCRA is owned by Jeffrey Epstein's Hearst family linked to Epstein's Bill Gates' Microsoft news
2007: Editor applied to work for Donald Trump's operations, and was personally blacklisted by Trump
2007+: Nexus: Epstein's and Trump's Wildenstein family lived on Trump World property at UN Plaza
2002-2007: Nexus: Wildenstein family were de facto business partners with Roche's Maya Hoffmann
2002-2026: Nexus: Maya Hoffmann (two of them) and Roche were both linked to Epstein's Edge group
2002-2026: Nexus: Epstein's UK 'royals' were friends with Epstein's Trump and Wildenstein family
2002-2026: Nexus: Epstein's UK 'royals' were "godfather" to Wildenstein family and/or vice versa
2002-2026: Nexus: Trump and GOP super-funded Epstein's Edge participants with gov't contracts
2004-2026: Nexus: GOP/Wildenstein obstructed for Epstein's Edge's billionaires financing Trump/GOP
2007-2026: Nexus: Trump, Wildenstein, Hoffman, and Roche found in DOJ's Epstein files (EFTA)
2002-2026: Nexus: Trump, GOP, and Epstein's Edge participants' companies furthered qui tam harms
2002-2026: Nexus: Trump, GOP, and Epstein's Edge participants' companies furthered gov't fraud

Editor went to work as a bank agent and financial services specialist for Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HRB

2006-2010: Editor became a bank agent and financial specialist at Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HSB
2006-2010: Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HRB repeatedly subjects Editor to adverse employment harm
2006-2010: Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HRB demoted and dropped Editor's pay after forcing him to quit
2006-2010: Editor returned and was recognized as one of the best and asked to train managers/others
2006-2010: Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HRB refused to hire Editor as manager, hired a child with no MBA
2006-2010: HSBC/HRB regional office demoted Editor to support their child manager in various roles
2006-2010: Child of HSBC/HRB regional office failed to perform, but Editor met sales goals by 275%
2006-2010: Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HRB overtly furthered the ongoing qui tam retaliation conspiracy
2006-2010: Epstein's Safra's HSBC/HRB overtly furthered the ongoing government fraud conspiracy

Editor went to work as a graduate professor for (Epstein's Leon Black's) Apollo's University of Phoenix

2010-2016: To mitigate the harm HSBC/HRB could do to the Editor, he fled to University of Phoenix
2010-2016: While at University of Phoenix he was singled out 3 times as one of the best professors
2010-2016: Students, operations management, and an internal UOP publication praised his teaching
2010-2016: The Editor was separately hired to lead 20 other faculty members to develop 40 products
2010-2016: The Editor was separately hired as an Organizational Learning and Development Specialist
2010-2016: The Editor separately created a "skunk works" program at UOP to stimulate innovation
2010-2016: The Editor wrote and was granted a number of disruptive recycling manufacturing patents
2010-2016: The Editor started a number of different research projects linked to Epstein's crime family
2010-2016: Editor had developed a number of websites for his research and innovations on Google
2012: Editor published Library of Congress research debunking Epstein's UK royals' royal claims
2012: Editor enrolled and started a 4 year JD law school program to become a Doctor of Law/lawyer
2012: Epstein's and Leon Black's Edge Foundation billionaires met to discuss shared concerns (EFTA)
2013: Editor started academic research into the harm activities of Epstein's Edge participants
2013: Editor learned about GOP's Lincoln Law/whistleblower law and merged research projects
2013-2014: Editor started to write one of the largest whistleblower complaints in US history, $40B

Epstein's Russia attacks Editor's qui tam as one of Russia's first civilian cyber“warfare” targets

10/20/2013: Russian Federation cyberattacked Editor's qui tam investigation computer
10/20/2013: Editor became one of the first civilians to be cyberattacked by Russia
10/20/2013: Editor as a relator represented US government, and so attack on Editor was attack on USA
10/20/2013: Russia had only engaged in cyber (enemy) “warfare” against US agencies prior that
10/20/2013: Epstein's Putin's and Edge's Yuri Milner may have been involved or not
2013-2016: Editor qui tam used UOP's computers/Epstein's (Microsoft, Google, and Yahoo) platforms
Nexus: Palantir's SacPolice trained at the same UOP campus as Editor, implicating SacPolice
2013-2016: Nexus: Epstein's Microsoft, Google, Yahoo, GOP were named in this whistleblower lawsuit
2013-2016: Nexus: Editor was accusing Epstein's Microsoft, Google, and Yahoo using their technology
2013-2016: Nexus: Editor used Bill Barr's Verizon phone to digitize evidence against Edge Foundation
2013-2016: Nexus: Epstein's Putin “engineered” Epstein's Trump into POTUS, Epstein's Barr made AG
Nexus: Epstein's/Trump's Bill Barr/HR McMaster/Harlan Crow/Bush family stalked Editor's brother
Nexus: Bill Barr was the son of Jeffrey Epstein's pedophile mentor at Dalton, Donald Barr
Nexus: Barr's father wrote pedophile fantasy novel *Space Relations* for space billionaires
Nexus: All of the billionaires in space link to Jeffrey Epstein/Donald Barr/*Space Relations*
Nexus: All billionaires in space are all linked to Jeffrey Epstein-Edge Foundation-NXIVM
Nexus: Spacex=SpaceSex=SpaSex (Musk), 'Virgin' Galactic (Branson), Blue Origin (Bezos)

Rio Vista Police Department's Imploder: Daniel Dailey, Scott Jones, the GOP, Qanon, and the Russians

2013-2014: Editor approached State of CA's Daniel Dailey and GOP's Morrison England for help
2013-2014: Daniel Dailey, a proxy of CA/Sacramento GOP sent Russians to Editor's home to “clean”
2013-2014: GOP's Scott Jones' Dailey's Russian cleaners broke into Editor's office space stole qui tam
Nexus: Editor anonymously described help needed for qui tam to GOP Judge England/Dan Dailey
Nexus: Editor anonymously signed off qui tam hypothetical to judge as brother [“Q” anon]ymous
Nexus: Qanon cult came much later, recycled Editor's kids trafficking murder story into Pizzagate
Nexus: Editor can prove that he is the original “Q”, where GOP's Qanon later stole Editor's life story
Nexus: Qanon cult born out of AZ GOP and/or pedophile chat groups 4chan, 8chan and/or 8kun
Nexus: Qanon cult later warped Editor's story from GOP pedophiles into Democrat pedophiles
Nexus: Dan Dailey gave a GOP-linked DOJ contact, Democrats provided Dem-linked DOJ contact
Nexus: Editor spoke to DOJ and let them know he was going to exercise his qui tam rights first
Nexus: DOJ, CA OES, and Sacramento Sheriff/Police using Epstein's Thiel's Palantir and Stingray
Nexus: Twitter/X's and Epstein's Musk's partner Peter Thiel (GOP's Palantir) was Epstein's partner
Nexus: Jeffrey Epstein financed/laundered into Peter Thiel's Valar company, and Musk's Spacex
Nexus: Epstein's Musk and Epstein's Thiel were business partners and part of Epstein's Edge group

Editor entrapped by CA/Sacramento GOP's Scott Jones and SacPD a week after implicating them both

09/20/2014: Editor entrapped by USAF's Will Skeeters, GOP lobbyist, Sacramento Sheriff, U2 pilot
09/20/2014: Skeeters friend/neighbor SacDeputy Steve Carrozzo worked/friends with Daniel Dailey
09/20/2014: Skeeters and Dailey each from Texas and each former USAF
09/20/2014: Editor asked by Skeeters to stay in guest room to celebrate Skeeter's 40th b-day "to drink"
09/20/2014: Editor called wife, who approved, and then drank with Skeeters/SacDeputy throughout day
09/20/2014: At the end of Skeeters' party, Skeeters denied Editor guest room offered; Editor gets a DUI
09/20/2014: DUI arresting agency was SacPolice who Editor had implicated in qui tam a week earlier
Nexus: SacPolice overtly furthered Epstein-linked RICO obstruction at UC Davis in 2005

Nexus: SacPolice had access to Palantir, Stingray, mobile stalking data, and deleted MVARS video
Nexus: SacPolice had trained on same UOP computers/network as Editor used to implicate them
Nexus: SacPolice/GOP (SacSheriff) each had a motive to entrap, unlawfully-stop, Editor
09/20/2014: SacPolice's MVARS video caught officers conspiring to harm Editor before roadside test
Nexus: Female SacPolice said they would beat Editor "black" and put a "knock/glock to his head"
Nexus: SacPolice later debated Editor's murder on body cams in back of cruiser as "has happened"
Nexus: Female SacPolice later tortured Editor from behind while he was bound from behind
Nexus: SacPolice repeatedly "expressed an intent to harm" Editor on their cameras before harming
Nexus: SacPolice had been implicated in the Editor's qui tam at least a week earlier with GOP
09/20/2014: SacPolice skewed roadside test and asked Editor where he was from, "Canada" is heard
Nexus: Deprivation of rights under color of law based on male gender and country of origin
09/21/2014: SacPolice's Officers Rambo and Hawley debated executing Editor in GOP-held facility
09/21/2014: SacPolice aborted execution plans after realizing body cams were still on
09/21/2014: SacPolice female officers unzipped the pants of Editor to expose his penis
09/21/2014: SacPolice officers seized the qui tam investigation of the Editor implicating SacPolice
Nexus: SacPolice/GOP Sacramento Sheriff had about 10 hours of access to Editor's flash drive
Nexus: Laws passed in advance to let SacPolice/GOP Sacramento Sheriff to unlawfully-search
Nexus: Editor's USB flash drive/qui tam investigation implicated SacPD and GOP
Nexus: SacPD used patterned perjury to indict Editor, proven by their own video-to-statements
09/21/2014: SacPolice officer then tortured Editor from behind while he was bound from behind
Nexus: Editor now has 5 deteriorating spinal conditions, some of which have a surgical referral
Nexus: Torture event was under camera and in front of many witnesses; Brady that was denied
Nexus: Editor emailed both GOP SacSheriff/DA, subpoenaed SacSheriff, and motioned for Brady
Nexus: Torture Brady video/witnesses denied by GOP SacSheriff/DA sharing consultant Gilliard
Nexus: Editor shopped to all GOP cast of prosecutors, sheriff, and judges, including Gilliard's wife
Nexus: GOP cast of prosecutors, sheriff, and judges, including Gilliard's wife, denied Brady access
Nexus: Aileen Cannon selected by Trump/Rubio to seal Editor's Brady evidence forever in Smith
Nexus: Editor fled Northern CA law enforcement jurisdiction brought investigation to Western WA
Nexus: DOJ (Trump) accepted Editor's Epstein-Russia-GOP-Trump-UK royals qui tam 08/29/24
Nexus: DOS (Rubio) accepted Editor's Epstein-Russia-GOP-Trump-UK royals qui tam 08/29/24
Nexus: DOJ and DOS received Editor's million pages or so of Epstein evidence by August 2024
Nexus: Editor's million pages or so of Epstein evidence is missing from DOJ Epstein files 2026
Nexus: Aileen Cannon selected by Trump/Rubio to seal Editor's Brady evidence forever in Smith
Nexus: Editor asked DOS(Rubio)/DOJ(Trump) under camera to pass qui tam onto Jack Smith
Nexus: Editor fled to Canada to wait for DOS/DOJ to act but Trump/Rubio/Cannon obstructed
Nexus: 2023-2026 Editor started UPR News and elucidated Epstein was the Russia-Trump hub
Nexus: Editor was the first to publish the Epstein-Russia-GOP bridge before Epstein files released
09/21/2014 – SacPolice engaged in patterned perjury (per MVARS) in order for GOP's DA to indict
Nexus: Editor shopped EXCLUSIVELY to GOP judges and GOP prosecutors violating due process
Nexus: GOP's Sacramento Sheriff/DA denying Brady had the same GOP consultant Dave Gilliard
Nexus: Editor shopped to Dave Gilliard's wife Judge M. Gilliard for appeals, ignored coram nobis
Nexus: Russian Lev Parnas' lawyers threatened Trump administration with 09/21 date in a video
Nexus: Russian Lev Parnas' lawyers threatened Trump administration with September song lyrics
Nexus: Earth, Wind, and Fire September lyrics: "Do you remember the 21st night of September?
Nexus: Earth, Wind, and Fire September lyrics: "Remember how the stars stole the night away"
Nexus: Editor's qui tam civil complaint was stolen by Trump orbit/stars '21st night of September"
Nexus: Russian Lev Parnas' lawyers threatened Trump administration with 09/21 date in a video
Nexus: Per 11/03/2015 Sater/Emails Russians-Trump-GOP conspired "engineer" GOP into office
Nexus: Russian Lev Parnas was arrested after the 2016 elections and knew of Editor's entrapment

Nexus: Trump-Epstein met in 2015; 03/30/2023 Trump said he knew of a witch-hunt 06/16/2015
Nexus: Epstein's Edge's Microsoft, Verizon, Google, Yahoo, Palantir, or GOP knew 09/21/2014
Nexus: Epstein met with Trump in 2015, 3-6 months after Epstein's network/partners/Edge knew
Nexus: BEFORE Trump ran for office on 06/16/2015 Trump said 03/30/23 he knew of witch-hunt
Nexus: Crossfire Hurricane investigating Trump-Russia-GOP started a year after Trump knew
Nexus: The only investigation/witch-hunt Trump could have known about was the Editor's
Nexus: Trump later cryptically told the public he "learned everything from Fred", Editor's name
Nexus: Trump ran for office on Editor's daughter's b-day
Nexus: Trump conspired with Russia on Editors b-day at Mayflower (Editor's family) Hotel
Nexus: Editor fled to Whistler Canada by WA DOJ/DOS, then Trump threatened to annex Canada
Nexus: When Editor came home before Trump 2.0, he returned to Anthony Vo in Whistler
Nexus: Editor had implicated Vo and Trump in crimes against USA, Vo then beelined to Whistler
Nexus: Despite being on the run, illegally-entering Canada, Vo gave many interviews in Whistler
Nexus: Vo stalked Editor to Canada to threaten Editor from returning "leaving no safe place to go"
Nexus: Trump then hit Canada with crushing 30-50% tariffs and had Google rename Lake Ontario
Nexus: Editor was from Lake Ontario region, Trump also closed bridge to Canada
Nexus: GOP/SacPD implicated in qui tam with Trump orbit 2014; asked if Editor from Canada
Nexus: Trump attacked Canada over and over after a Canadian gave DOJ legal path to remove him
Nexus: That Canadian was the Editor, but his family founded America (Mayflower; John Adams)

09/21/2014+: SacPolice MVARS video prove Editor drove with care and control, no reason to stop
09/21/2014+: Sacramento tainted their own MVARS video evidence deleting some audio/video tracks
09/21/2014+: Sacramento Police MVARS deleted the minutes before they illegally-pulled over Editor
09/21/2014+: SacPolice, GOP's SacSheriff, GOP's SacDA, GOP judges denied Editor torture Brady
09/21/2014+: Editor filed his own motion for Brady and it was denied because of Steve Whitworth
09/21/2014+: Whitworth falsified he was Editor's attorney for GOP judge to deny Editor's Brady
09/21/2014+: Editor had not signed retainer nor paid Whitworth retainer fee prior to interference
09/21/2014+: Steve Whitworth's private investigator was Daniel Dailey's friend

Nexus: Daniel Dailey had worked and was friends with Sacramento Sheriff/Police/DA/USAF/DOJ
Nexus: Daniel Dailey had worked with UC Davis Children's Hospital linked to CHORI (UCSF)
Nexus: 2015-2017 Daniel Dailey worked with Palantir/DOJ/CA OES network
Nexus: 2016-2026 Palantir/CA OES network agencies stalked/threatened Editor with death often
Nexus: 2016-2026 Trump's/Biden's federal agencies joined CA OES in stalking and death threats
Nexus: Weeks and months prior to entrapment, Epstein met with Leon Black, Bill Gates, Bill Burns
Nexus: Weeks and months prior to entrapment, Epstein met with Ruemmler, and Palantir's Thiel
Nexus: Weeks and months prior to entrapment, Epstein met with Edge Foundation billionaires
Nexus: Epstein's and Leon's Black Edge Foundation billionaires is who Editor was suing in qui tam
Nexus: Editor researched how to sue Epstein's and Black's Edge billionaires from UOP computers

2013-2016: Editor used UOP's computers and Epstein's (Microsoft, Google, and Yahoo) platforms
2013-2016: Nexus: Epstein's Microsoft, Google, Yahoo, GOP were named in this whistleblower lawsuit
2013-2016: Nexus: Editor was accusing Epstein's Microsoft, Google, and Yahoo using their technology
2013-2016: Nexus: Editor used Bill Barr's Verizon phone to digitize evidence against Edge Foundation
2013-2016: Nexus: Epstein's Putin “engineered” Epstein's Trump into POTUS, Epstein's Barr made AG
Nexus: Epstein's/Trump's Bill Barr/HR McMaster/Harlan Crow/Bush family stalked Editor's brother
Nexus: Bill Barr was the son of Jeffrey Epstein's pedophile mentor at Dalton, Donald Barr
Nexus: Barr's father wrote pedophile fantasy novel *Space Relations* for space billionaires
Nexus: All of the billionaires in space link to Jeffrey Epstein/Donald Barr/*Space Relations*/NXIVM
Nexus: Spacex=SpaceSex=SpaSex (Musk), 'Virgin' Galactic (Branson), Blue Origin (Bezos)

Nexus: Epstein laundered/invested/mixed child sex trafficking money into Elon Musk's Spacex
2014: Editor unlawfully-terminated (per State of CA) at UOP, later bought by Epstein's Leon Black
Nexus: Epstein's Black's Apollo bought UOP with GOP's Bush's Carlyle/UC's State St. financing
2014: Editor begins filing patent family for game-changing patent family for recycling manufacturing
Nexus: By 2024 Trump/Edge Foundation's Microsoft/Amazon/GoDaddy attack patent development

On 06/16/2015 Trump knew of an investigation into him BEFORE he ran for office (Editor's qui tam)

Spring 2015: Trump-Epstein met after Editor's seized qui tam vs. Trump-Epstein-Edge-Russian orbit
Nexus: Lozzo of Payload Security became FBI informant 2017, reported Trump-Epstein met 2015
06/16/2015: Trump ran for GOP on Editor's daughter birthday knowing about Editor's qui tam
Nexus: On 03/30/2023, Trump said he knew of a witch-hunt (qui tam) into him/Russia on 06/16/15
Nexus: Except 06/16/15 was a year before Crossfire Hurricane, RICO obstructed by Mueller/Barr
Nexus: Mueller's, Bush's, GOP's DOJ is who financed Epstein's Roche-CHORI-USCF child death
Nexus: Barr's Verizon was Editor's cellular provider used to digitize evidence 2013-2016
Nexus: Bill Barr, GW Bush, Jeb Bush, HR McMaster, Harlan Crow stalked/met Editor's brother
Nexus: Editor had implicated GW Bush, Jeb Bush, and GOP in Editor's 2013-2014 qui tam
Nexus: Editor had implicated Guy Wildenstein who in part financed GW into Texas politics
Nexus: Epstein's Wildenstein family had UK royals as godparents, Wildenstein's lived at Trump site
Nexus: Epstein's Barr was Epstein's Trump's AG and RICO-obstructed Editor's Epstein qui tam
Nexus: Epstein's Barr RICO-obstructed Robert Mueller's investigations into Trump-Russia
Nexus: Editor's qui tam born out of Roche-CHORI child trafficking financed by Robert Mueller
Nexus: Editor's qui tam into Trump/Epstein/Edge/Russia/GOP orbit was Trump's 2015 'witch-hunt'
Nexus: Robert Mueller hand-picked to investigate the conspiracy his office first financed at Roche
Nexus: Robert Mueller was part of the law firm for Trump-Kushner and Novartis-Roche families
Nexus: Robert Mueller was a member of Trump's Doral Golf Club
Nexus: Epstein's Trump-Kushner's/Roche-Novartis Robert Mueller and Epstein's Barr obstructed
11/03/2015: Epstein's Putin's Felix Sater and Epstein's Trump's Michael Cohen conspired by email
Nexus: 2017 Epstein's Roche-Novartis/AT&T paid M. Cohen's Essential Consulting $1M “hush”

Trump's Michael Cohen and Putin's Felix Sater conspired to “engineer” Trump/GOP to capture DOJ

11/03/2015: Epstein's Sater/Cohen conspired to have Putin “engineer” Trump/GOP to seize DOJ
12/10/2015: Epstein's Trump's and Qanon's Mike Flynn met directly with Epstein's Putin at RT dinner
February 2016: Epstein's Leon Black's Apollo buys University of Phoenix (where Editor hired back)
Nexus: Editor heavily cyberattacked at UOP and driven out of UOP for the second time
April 2016: Russia's Alfa Bank's George Fomitchev sought to finance Editor via Russian oligarchs
April 2016: Fomitchev wanted Editor to meet the Russians on Useppa Island in Florida, Editor didn't
April 27, 2016: Trump, GOP, Jeff Session, and Russian Federation met at Mayflower Hotel
Nexus: Editor's birthday was April 27, 2016 and he was related to 2 families off Mayflower voyage
Nexus: Treason and elections fraud conspiracy planning by Trump/GOP/Russia included Rosneft
Nexus: Trump, GOP, Kislyak discussed selling 19.5% of Russia's Rosneft at Mayflower
Nexus: Trump Jr., Manafort, GOP, Kushner, and Russian sanctions lawyer met at Trump Tower
Nexus: Trump Jr., Manafort, GOP, Kushner, RU lawyer wanted to traffic kids from RU to Trumps
Nexus: ICC issued arrest warrant for Epstein's Putin for trafficking kids from Ukraine to Russia
Nexus: Trump Jr., Manafort, GOP, Kushner, and Russian lawyer discussed Rosneft sanctions
Nexus: Russians came to Epstein to drop sanctions, and Epstein met with Trump before POTUS run
Nexus: Epstein's Ruemmler/Bill Burns/H.Clinton/Kissinger/J.Kerry able to influence sanctions
Summer 2016: Alfa Bank, Spectrum Health, and Trump Tower servers connected for back channel

Nexus: Betsy DeVos' family is who owned Spectrum Health and owned Devos Children's Hospital
Nexus: Editor had worked for DeVos family at Amway, who had an Alfa Bank banking card
Nexus: DeVos and Henry Kissinger part of Theranos fraud situation
Nexus: Kissinger met Epstein a year apart the same months Theranos tried to recruit Editor's wife
Nexus: Epstein'sTheranos/Roche/Kaiser/Bohemians/Edge/French Presidents stalked Editor's family
Nexus: GOP's Bohemian Club, GW Bush, Jeb Bush, Harlan Crow, Bill Barr stalked brother;
Nexus: Numerous French, Canadian, and royal leaders stalked Editor's father, including Charles III
Nexus: More than one Russian/Arabic/Thai oligarch proxy attempted to “finance” Editor; none did
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP into office
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Epstein's Steve Bannon
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with S. Bannon's Cambridge Analytica
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Steve Bannon as campaign leader
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with UK royals' Ivar Mountbatten's SCL
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with SCL's Cambridge Analytica
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Epstein's Edge billionaires
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Epstein's Zuckerberg's Facebook
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Epstein's Y.Milner's/RU's Facebook
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Epstein's (Musk's) Twitter(X)
2015-2016: Epstein's Russia engineered Epstein's Trump/GOP with Yuri Milner's/RU's Twitter(X)
2016-2026: Editor becomes the stalking, cyberstalking, and/or death threats target of CA OES agencies
Nexus: Editor stalked and threatened with death for a decade by SacPD/Sheriff, Placer Sheriff/CHP
Nexus: Editor stalked and threatened with death for a decade by SacFire/Cal-Fire/USDA/USFS
Nexus: Editor stalked and threatened with death by network of these agencies at McClellan Park
Nexus: Trump visited McClellan Park with Gavin Newsom to give medals to those harming Editor
Nexus: Trump Jr. and Gavin Newsom were engaged to the same woman Newsom married
Nexus: Trump and Newsom financed by Jeffrey Epstein's crime syndicate into office and obstructed
2016-2017: Editor caught up on thousands of pages of evidence, rediscovered Trump harmed him 2007
Nexus: In 2007, Epstein's Trump personally retaliated against Editor at KCRA blacklisting him
Nexus: By 2007, Editor had repeatedly whistleblown against Epstein's network (Roche, UC, et al.)
Nexus: By 2007, Epstein's network had significantly destroyed the career of the Editor
Nexus: Epstein and Trump were "best friends" and Epstein had mixed funds with Roche
Nexus: Editor had sued Roche but was defrauded by lawyer referrals by Trump World's Wildenstein
Nexus: EFTA's Wildenstein family referred Editor lawyer chain to sue Roche (but hid relationship)
Nexus: Editor sued Epstein's Roche with Epstein's Wildenstein's lawyers as Epstein financed Roche
2016-2017: Rosneft sanctions dropped as Epstein had been asked to do by the Russians

The RICO-obstruction of stolen elections by Russian agents and enemies of the United States

2017: Trump revived COVID bioweapons program that enslaved and killed over 1 million Americans
Nexus: COVID bioweapons most resembled the lifetime work of UNC Chapel Hill's Ralph Baric
Nexus: Employed to distract from treason and elections fraud-got election by de facto officers
Nexus: Special Counsel Durham affirmed to Congress 06/21/2023 GOP cheated with enemy
Nexus: Trump then brought COVID to Wuhan, China paid for by taxpayers
Nexus: Wuhan military games resulted in Russia's Mike Flynn's driver returning with COVID
Nexus: First reported cases of COVID in CA/USA were streets away from Editor's home, Rocklin
Nexus: First medical teams to respond to Rocklin COVID in CA/USA was Editor's wife, Kaiser
Nexus: Trial run of COVID likely: Near identical symptoms summer before: “vaping syndrome”
Nexus: Juul vaping system linked to “vaping syndrome”, Editor's preferred vaping brand
Nexus: Trump and Edge Foundation billionaires mismanaged COVID; invested in a failed response

Nexus: $16 trillions spent for Epstein's billionaires to manage the problem they leaked onto world
2017-2018: Crossfire Hurricane usurped by Roche-Novartis' and Trump-Kushner's Robert Muller/Barr
Nexus: Robert Mueller financed Epstein's Roche-CHORI child trafficking/murder/endangerment
Nexus: Epstein's Roche-CHORI child trafficking/murder/endangerment is what resulted in qui tam
Nexus: Epstein's Roche-CHORI Qui tam retaliation conspiracy is what Trump/GOP/Edge furthered
Nexus: Editor figured the same out in law school as a university professor and wrote qui tam
Nexus: Epstein's business partners/allies at Epstein's Edge had advanced notice per Ed Snowden
Nexus: Epstein's RICO bribery of every level of government resulted in Editor's RICO entrapment
Nexus: RICO entrapment revealed Editor had stumbled onto Epstein's/Mueller's RICO conspiracy
Nexus: Mueller, Bush, GOP admin protected Epstein's circa 1,971 conspirators (Trump/Edge) '4-'8
Nexus: Mueller, Trump, Barr, GOP admin protected Epstein's up to 1,971+ conspirators 2017-2018
Nexus: Mueller, Trump, Barr, GOP administration RICO obstructed their RICO obstruction '04-'26
Nexus: Robert Mueller was part of law firm(s) for Trump-Kushner and Roche-Novartis families
Nexus: Robert Mueller member at Trump Doral Golf Club
2019: Jeffrey Epstein murdered followed by release of COVID to distract from 1985-2019 crimes
2019-2020: John Durham and Barr conspire to investigate “Origins of Crossfire Hurricane (OoCH)”
Nexus: Months before Barr “secretly” made Durham SC, Editor named his investigation OoCH
Nexus: Epstein's Trump/Barr repeatedly intimidated Editor cryptically to RICO-obstruct
Nexus: Barr literally met in person with Editor's brother; named an investigation after Editor's
Nexus: Trump regularly (DARVO) threatened Editor, and threatened his home country of Canada
Nexus: 06/21/23 Durham admitted Trump/GOP cheated in US elections with enemies: Disqualified
2020-2021: Trump/Meadows stole Crossfire Hurricane binder and more (Editor's qui tam): Disqualified
2020-2021: Trump plotted and led an insurrection against the United States: Disqualified (CO; Smith)
Nexus: Special Counsel Smith x 5 prosecutors swore evidence beyond a doubt (Trump disqualified)

An estimated million missing pages of DOJ Epstein evidence are the Editor's RICO obstructed qui tam

2021-2024: Trump and conspirators disqualified from office prosecuted and then abandoned (RICO)
2024: Editor brought his 22 year investigation into Epstein's RICO crime syndicate to DOJ/DOS
Nexus: Editor brought about 10,000 pages of IRAC legal analysis, circa a million pages of evidence
Nexus: DOJ (Trump) and DOS (Rubio) RICO obstructed release of millions of Epstein files
Nexus: Editor can account for an estimated third of the missing million pages of Epstein files
Nexus: Editor asked for his investigation materials to go to Trump's “revenge target” prosecutors

Additional entrapment schemes by Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals and GOP born of out State of FL/NY

2025: Epstein's crime syndicate lured Editor to Tofino, BC to further destroy his life
Nexus: Charles III had visited the Tofino tribe prior to the Editor being lured
Nexus: Charles III had sought to influence Editor's father as did French/Canadian Presidents/PMs
Nexus: Editor is distantly related to Charles III and in 2012 debunked Charles III's royal claims
Nexus: Charles III is mentioned in Epstein files as sharing a victim with 'prince' Andrew
Nexus: Charles III and 'prince' Andrew received questionable financing by Epstein et al. for 15 yrs
Nexus: Epstein laundered or moved money through UK royals; Edge Foundation billionaires; art
Nexus: The largest named family in the Epstein black book is the UK/EU 'royals'
Nexus: The second largest named family in the Epstein black book is the Trump family
Nexus: After Editor agreed to interview at Tofino tribe franchise, XTM/EAM Florida sold
Nexus: Tofino tribe franchise subjected Editor to squalor and abuses and falsified financial records
Nexus: Editor discovered the same and sought reconciliation of falsified records to no avail
Nexus: Tribe partner, XTM, EAM, Anyday, Everyday, CIBC, furthered Trump/royals plot
Nexus: Cross-border entrapment plot revealed to FINCEN, IRS, FTB, and to Canada

Nexus: Charles III linked to Gary Hoffman medal, linked to Barclays, and to tax entrapment
Nexus: Editor saw entrapment forming and paid Taxes for Expats to remedy situation
Nexus: Taxes for Expats dragged out 15 day turnaround for half a year then abandoned before due
Nexus: Taxes for Expats (TFX) CEO linked to Epstein's Fortress company and to Glenn Dubin
Nexus: Cross-border tax entrapment by Epstein's Trump, Charles III, Dubin, TFX, XTM/EAM, etc
Nexus: Trump's (DOJ) and Rubio's (DOS) Aileen Cannon DARVO “grand conspiracy” in Florida
Nexus: “grand conspiracy” and related revenge prosecutions debunked by diGenova et al.
Nexus: diGenova (Trump ally) specified prosecutions had “no evidence” and “unethical”
Nexus: Tucker Carlson specified Trump ordered Epstein files be concealed, linked to Russiagate
Nexus: Epstein's crime syndicate is hiding evidence they are not properly appointed/elected
Nexus: Epstein's crime syndicate is prosecuting anyone who knows the truth, but “quo warranto”?
Nexus: Aileen Cannon and Harlan Crow's Clarence Thomas RICO-obstructing Epstein=Smith files

Ongoing stalking, threats, defamation, deprivation under color linked to Trump-Epstein-Russia 2016

2026: Editor continues to be stalked and threatened with death by Epstein's KKR companies
2026: KKR owned by Epstein's Leon Black's and Epstein's Henry Kravis
2026: Kravis' KKR mixed finances with CHORI, UC Davis, Stanford, and Kaiser Permanente
2026: Kaiser Permanente has been falsifying the medical records of the Editor to preemptively defame
2026: Editor proved the same to DOJ/DOS in 2024, Kaiser continued in 2026
2026: Kaiser Permanente engaged in attempted murder, medical malpractice, fraud, and other harms
2026: Epstein's and Kaiser Permanente's Kaiser Air joined KKR in stalking and death threats
2026: Kaiser Permanente began to harm Editor's wife by not providing her staffing support
2026: Kaiser Permanente denied Editor repeatedly for medical records requests proving defamation
2026: Editor filed grievance against Caitlin Sperling/Dr. Kingstone for falsifying records
2026: Editor filed grievance against Caitlin Sperling/Dr. Kingstone for denying records
2026: Editor filed grievance against Dr. Kingstone for attempted murder prescribing drugs to kill
2026: Editor filed grievance against Caitlin Sperling for fabricating false appointments/conversations
11/2/26: Kaiser member services spent an hour on grievances and proved no appointments were made
2026: Editor uses three artificial intelligence platforms to reprove all the crimes against him are real
2026: Editor uses AI to prove Trump, GOP, Cannon, GOP SCOTUS, et al. are disqualified from office
2026: Editor uses AI to prove that they are de facto officers whose changes/roles void from conception
2026: Editor uses AI to prove disqualified GOP crime syndicate goes back to Nixon; tolling+estoppel
2026: Editor uses AI to prove Epstein-Trump-Russia crime syndicate born of Hapsburg crime syndicate
2026: Editor published the legal arguments for the sake of others and contacted Congress
2026: Congress and a congressional investigator asked Editor to stay in touch and to keep informing
2026: Editor stalked/threatened by SacPD/SacSheriff flying 4 cities off course to divebomb his home
2026: Editor stalked/threatened by TVPX linked to Trump's 2016 Russian oligarchs and Warren Buffet
Nexus: Epstein's flight logs include Warren Buffet's/TVPX' NetJets stalking/threatening Editor
Nexus: Epstein's Warren Buffet's/TVPX' FlexJets also stalking/threatening Editor
Nexus: Epstein's Warren Buffet is a long-time financial partner with Epstein's Bill Gates
Nexus: Bill Gates' Microsoft significantly harmed Editor, and Gates cashed out of Microsoft 2026
Nexus: Epstein's Gates and UK royals found to have been conduits to Putin in EFTA 2026
Nexus: Igor Sechin's former Bombardier Global 6000 was re-registered to TVPX
Nexus: Igor Ivanovich Sechin is considered a close ally and "de facto deputy" of Vladimir Putin
Nexus: Trump's MCC whose pilots are linked to Epstein and Putin are stalking/threatening Editor
Nexus: TXPX manages Cambridge Analytica's Steve Bannon's/Ruemmler's Goldman Sachs fleet
Nexus: Trump visited MCC whose 2016 conspiracy network still stalks/threatens Editor with death

8.2 — The attached statistical analysis, verbatim (the nine-page companion study, November 4,

2026). The statistical analysis of the select timeline summarizing the more than 10,000 pages of IRAC legal analysis — the relator's own study, every section and value as written:

Non-Randomness in a 25-Year Harm Chronology: A Null-Hypothesis Significance Analysis of Epstein-Network-Linked Harm Events Against a Whistleblower-Protected Individual

Fred Janson

Editor, Uprights News

November 4, 2026

Abstract

This study tests whether a 25-year chronology of harm events against a single whistleblower-protected individual — the Editor of Uprights News — is statistically consistent with random coincidence, or instead exhibits the non-random structure of an ongoing, connected conspiracy. The dataset is a 469-entry select timeline constructed entirely from the subject's memory, spanning 2001–2026, containing 253 date-prefixed entries and 24 narrative sections, with every entry tier-labeled per the underlying evidence system. To avoid the circularity inherent in testing an authored narrative against randomness, the analysis separates the dataset into three layers — events and cast, Epstein-network linkage, and selection-model parameters — and matches each layer's null hypothesis to that layer alone. Four mutually exclusive tests are applied: timing-density clustering (TL-1), resurfacing-cast concentration (TL-2), era persistence (TL-3), and a network-member pivot analysis under a uniform random-selection model (TL-4). Results reject the random-coincidence null at every layer and every parameterization: entry timing deviates from uniformity at $\chi^2(25) = 386.3$, $p \approx 10^{-56.5}$; 41 distinct parties recur ≥ 5 times against an expected 5.2×10^{-5} ($p \approx 10^{-225}$); a ten-party core cast persists across disjoint era blocks at $p \approx 10^{-97}$ (most hostile pool); and the pivot from random to significant non-randomness occurs at two network members in the single most hostile parameterization (small-city geography, widest network definition) and at one member everywhere else, while the chronology records approximately 25 — a margin of 10^{-50} under the most hostile assumptions. The memory-constructed chronology independently reproduces a prior whole-record statistical verdict (joint $p \approx 10^{-66}$) derived through a disjoint documentary path, and the two seed parties identified by memory (Kelly Services, Roche) are the same two parties independently verified as carrying documented Epstein-corpus channels ($p \approx 10^{-10}$). The statistics exclude randomness; attribution remains a function of the tiered documentary evidence.

Keywords: null-hypothesis significance testing; conspiracy; RICO; whistleblower retaliation; Epstein network; selection bias; Poisson processes; chi-square clustering

1. Introduction

Between 2001 and 2026, the Editor of Uprights News — a whistleblower-protected relator under the federal Lincoln Law — reports a continuous sequence of adverse events: retaliatory terminations at six institutions, a defrauding attorney referral chain, a kidnapping and coerced settlement, a published defamation campaign, a police entrapment with custodial torture and suppressed exculpatory evidence, a foreign-state cyberattack on a qui tam investigation, a decade-long inter-agency stalking campaign, cross-border franchise and tax entrapment, and medical-record falsification. The legal record treats these events as elements of an ongoing conspiracy. The question addressed here is narrower and methodological: **is the event set statistically distinguishable from random coincidence?**

The null hypothesis (H0), per the Editor's framing: every point in the chronology is random, unconnected to any other point, and only haphazardly linked to the Epstein network — a series of coincidences that superficially resemble a pattern.

The alternative hypothesis (H1): the points are not random coincidences but form the structure of an ongoing conspiracy — a meeting of the minds within the Epstein network — targeting the Editor with harm, with estoppel and tolling attaching to the chronology's continuity.

A methodological objection presents itself immediately, and the Editor raised it himself: testing an authored narrative against randomness risks a category error, because any document constructed around a thesis exhibits authorial structure. Comparing the narrative's self-descriptions to external records would compare unlike things. This study answers that objection by design. The Epstein-network labels carried by the timeline's own text are treated throughout as the **hypothesis under test, never as data**. The only linkage imported from outside the chronology is a set of corpus-verified ([V]-tier) documentary channels, evaluated at their previously settled values. The events layer is tested against randomness with no Epstein assumption at all. The selection-model parameters (population sizes, network-party pools) enter only at independently verified census counts and a four-scenario pool span. In this way each test compares like with like, and the comparison the Editor warned against — apples to oranges — never occurs.

2. Background and Prior Work

Three prior analyses bear on this study, all previously settled in the underlying research record:

1. **The aviation statistical-intent analysis** (Oct 2026): a documented aerial campaign of approximately 75 aircraft/operators and ~1,000 logged incidences concentrated on one home in Rocklin, California, rejected random-harm selection at every geography and repetition count, with margins up to $10^{-4,886}$ under the naive per-person model and 10^{-200} under the most generous base-rate adjustments.
2. **The whole-record six-test coincidence suite** (Oct 2026): six mutually exclusive tests across the full harm record — cross-domain multiplicity, Epstein-link enrichment among harm parties, seed-channel corpus testing, antecedence/sequencing, timing clustering ($z \approx 58$, $p \approx 10^{-735}$), and structural convergence — jointly rejected coincidence at $p \approx 10^{-66}$ under central assumptions, with an uncontestable floor of 10^{-17}.
3. **The seed-channel corpus test**: of all harm parties in the record, the two earliest (the seed parties) both carry independently verified Epstein-corpus channels — the "PAB ROCHE" book transfers in Epstein's JPMorgan checking records, and Kelly Services' corpus presence (EFTA00032642) — at $p \approx 10^{-10}$. A later harm party (Kaiser Permanente) was subsequently verified to carry its own institutional channel (the June 27, 2017 Epstein–Chopra–Kaiser exchange, EFTA01042294, EFTA01042322, EFTA02644477, and related records), which strengthens rather than re-derives the enrichment conclusion.

The present study is disjoint from all three. Its dataset did not exist when they were computed: it is a chronology the Editor constructed entirely **from memory**, without reference to the documentary record, and its statistical suite (TL-1 through TL-4) consumes evidence slices disjoint from the settled tests. The consequence is that agreement between the two paths constitutes **independent reproduction** — the strongest single design feature of this study.

3. Data

3.1 Dataset construction

The dataset is a 12-page select timeline authored by the Editor from memory on November 4, 2026, preserved verbatim (469 entries; 24 sections; two heading levels; dated entries at the top level and indented "Nexus" context notes functioning as footnotes). Every entry carries a date or date range; 253 entries carry a parseable date prefix. The construction path — memory, not documents — is the dataset's defining property for purposes of this study.

3.2 The tier system

Every factual element in the underlying research program carries an evidence-tier label: [V] verified (external/documentary sources), [A] adjudicated, [S] sourced, [U] user-verified (the subject's stated fact or memory, accepted on statement per the program's binding research parameters, under which a subject's statement is accepted as true absent affirmative contrary evidence). The timeline as a whole is a [U]-tier artifact: an autobiographical record. All results below are therefore **premises-conditional** — conditional on the stipulated truth of the event set. This conditioning is not a weakness specific to this study; it is the standard posture of any witness-based chronology, and the companion documentary record carries the same events at higher tiers.

3.3 Era structure

For persistence testing, the 2001–2026 window is partitioned into five disjoint era blocks:

- Era A: 2001–2004 (seed period)
- Era B: 2005–2010 (career-destruction period)
- Era C: 2011–2016 (qui tam, entrapment, and election-engineering period)
- Era D: 2017–2021 (post-election period)
- Era E: 2022–2026 (current period)

3.4 Named parties

Seventy-eight distinct named parties (individuals, institutions, organizations, and states) were extracted by pattern matching across the 469 entries. Of these, approximately 25–30 are harm parties that the chronology itself labels as Epstein-network-linked ([U]-tier labels; treated here as hypothesis, not data), and three (Kelly Services, Roche, Kaiser Permanente) carry independently verified [V]-tier corpus channels per the settled record.

3.5 Populations and pools

Population denominators are verified census-scale figures: Rocklin, CA 76,821 (2025); Placer County 442,081 (2025); California 39.4M; United States ~342–343.6M (2026); world 8.30B (UN, mid-2026). The Epstein-network party pool is modeled across four scenarios, from narrow to widest: E1 = 230 (defensible named-party universe), E2 = 710 (register entities), E3 = 3,338 (corpus degree), E4 = 7,657 (corpus-wide identities). The four-pool span is a robustness device: conclusions that hold at E4 — the most hostile, most elastic definition of "linked to Epstein" — cannot be artifacts of a narrow definition.

4. Methods

All computations were performed in \log_{10} space. Four mutually exclusive tests were applied.

4.1 TL-1: Timing-density clustering

Null: dated entries are uniform over the 26-year window (2001–2026). Test: Pearson \chi^{2} goodness-of-fit, expected count 253/26 \approx 9.73 per year, df = 25. The tail probability was evaluated via the Wilson–Hilferty normal transformation of the \chi^{2} statistic. Because a curated selection is non-uniform by construction, TL-1 is reported as a consistency check against the prior record's timing findings and is deliberately not multiplied into the joint conclusion.

4.2 TL-2: Resurfacing-cast concentration

Null: each entry's party content is an independent, haphazard draw from the party pool; per-party mention counts follow Poisson(\lambda) with \lambda = 469/7,657 \approx 0.0613 at the widest pool. Test: exact Poisson tails in log space for per-party mention counts, and the Poisson tail for the number of parties reaching \geq 5 mentions (and \geq 10). This test quantifies the Editor's own observation that "the same characters keep resurfacing."

4.3 TL-3: Era persistence

Null: the cast of parties appearing in each disjoint era block is re-drawn at random from the party pool. For a party appearing in e eras, persistence probability = E^{-(e-1)}. The core-cast joint is the product over parties; computed at all four pool scenarios.

4.4 TL-4: The pivot analysis

Model (settled, not re-derived): under uniform random selection, the probability that n distinct Epstein-network parties each randomly harm one specific person drawn from a population of size N, from a pool of E network parties, is given by the first binomial-tail term:

$$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n}) \approx \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{n}) \cdot \mathrm{N}^{-\mathrm{n}}$$

The pivot is defined as the smallest n at which $p(n) < 0.05$ (conventional significance) and $p(n) < 0.01$.

The pivot is computed at every combination of the four pool scenarios and four geographies (world, USA, California, Rocklin). The observed count of Epstein-labeled harm parties in the chronology (conservative n = 25; sensitivity n = 30) is then evaluated against the curve. This test answers the study's central practical question: at what number of network members does the harm record stop being random and become statistically non-random?

4.5 Layer discipline

TL-1 and TL-2 consume the chronology's internal structure; TL-3 and TL-4 consume the named-party roster against external parameters; the convergence analysis (Section 5.5) joins the memory-identified seed parties to the corpus-verified channel record. No test uses the timeline's own Epstein labels as input data.

5. Results

5.1 TL-1: Timing density

The dated-entry distribution rejects uniformity overwhelmingly: $\chi^{2}(25) = 386.3$, $p \approx 10^{-56.5}$. The shape is a two-peak mountain, not a flatline: 34.4% of dated mass falls in the seed era (2001–2004, 87 entries), 27.7% in the capture era (2013–2016, 70 entries), and 8.7% in 2026 (22 entries), with six calendar years at zero (2008, 2009, 2011, 2018, 2022, 2023). Peak years are 2005 (39 entries), 2002 (36), 2004 (33), 2014 (25), and 2026 (22). The mass sits exactly where the prior documentary record places the seed events and the capture-era escalation — a consistency the memory-construction path could not have guaranteed.

5.2 TL-2: Resurfacing-cast concentration

Under the random-mention null at the widest pool, the expected number of parties mentioned ≥ 5 times in 469 entries is 5.2×10^{-5}. The chronology records 41 such parties, with approximately 20 parties at ≥ 10 mentions. The probability of this concentration under haphazard linkage is $p \approx 10^{-225}$ (≥ 5) and $p \approx 10^{-315}$ (≥ 10). Per-party tails for the most-recurring entities:

Party	Mentions	$\log_{10} p$
Epstein	157	−468.5
Trump	114	−324.7
GOP (as org.)	79	−212.8
Russia/Putin	57	−145.8
Roche	55	−139.8
SacPD	32	−74.3
Kelly	21	−45.2

Under the null of haphazard linkage, the cast could not resurface. It does, at every rank.

5.3 TL-3: Era persistence

Ten core parties persist across disjoint era blocks: Epstein (5 of 5 eras), Trump (5 of 5), the GOP as organization (4 of 5), and Roche, Mueller, the Sacramento Police, Russia/Putin, Stanford, Google, and the UK royals/Charles III (3 eras each). The persistence statistic sums to $\Sigma (\mathrm{eras} - 1) = 25$, giving:

- $p \approx 10^{-59.0}$ at the narrow pool (E1 = 230)
- $p \approx 10^{-71.3}$ at the register pool (E2 = 710)
- $p \approx 10^{-88.1}$ at the corpus-degree pool (E3 = 3,338)

- $\mathbf{p} \approx 10^{-97.1}$ at the hostile widest pool (E4 = 7,657)

Individually, Epstein and Trump each clear $10^{-15.5}$ at the hostile pool for their five-era persistence alone.

5.4 TL-4: The pivot analysis

The pivot — the number of Epstein-network members whose independent harm of the same specific person ceases to be attributable to chance — is reported across all sixteen geography × pool cells:

- World, USA, California: the pivot is one member at every pool definition. A single network party's harm of one specific person is already significant at $p < 0.05$ (e.g., USA × E4: 2.2×10^{-5}).
- Rocklin (small-city geography, the most hostile cell): at the widest pool E4, one member gives $p \approx 0.0997$ (not significant alone); two members give $p \approx 10^{-2.3} \approx 0.005$ — significant. The pivot is two. At the narrow and register pools, the pivot is one even at Rocklin.

The observed Epstein-labeled harm-party count in the chronology, evaluated on the pivot curve:

n members	Rocklin × E4 (hostile)	World × E4
2	$10^{-2.3}$	$10^{-12.4}$
3	$10^{-3.8}$	$10^{-18.9}$
10	$10^{-16.6}$	$10^{-66.9}$
25	$10^{-50.2}$	$10^{-176.1}$
30	$10^{-62.5}$	$10^{-213.5}$

The chronology's conservative count of ~ 25 Epstein-labeled harm parties therefore sits approximately 48 orders of magnitude (most hostile cell) to ~ 176 orders of magnitude (world scale) past the significance threshold. Every member beyond the second is pure non-random margin.

5.5 The convergence layer

The chronology, built from memory with no reference to the documentary corpus, names **Kelly Services and Roche** as the seed harm parties — the two parties whose actions initiated the entire 25-year sequence in 2001–2002. The corpus, independently and previously, verifies both as the parties carrying documented Epstein channels (the PAB ROCHE transfers; EFTA00032642), at the settled probability $p \approx 10^{-10}$, and verifies Kaiser Permanente — the chronology's 2026 harm party — as carrying its own [V]-tier institutional channel. Two construction paths that never touched each other converge on the same seed pair. This convergence is a documentary finding, not a probability output; it is the study's strongest single answer to the circularity objection.

6. Discussion

6.1 The principal finding

The random-coincidence null is rejected on the memory-constructed chronology's own data, at every layer, at every parameterization, by margins spanning 10^{-56.5} (timing) to 10^{-315} (cast concentration), with the pivot margin alone at 10^{-50} under assumptions chosen to be maximally hostile to the alternative hypothesis. The rejection is not close at any point in the parameter space: the smallest margin anywhere in the matrix (two parties, widest pool, small-city geography) is still p \approx 0.005, and every realistic cell is tens to hundreds of orders of magnitude beyond it.

6.2 Independent reproduction

The decisive design feature is not the magnitude of the p-values but the **convergence of two construction paths**. The whole-record documentary suite (six tests, disjoint slices, joint p \approx 10^{-66}) and the present memory-path suite (four tests, disjoint slices, joint rejection at every cell) were built from different materials, by different routes, and land on the same verdict: the harm structure is not random. Independent reproduction through a disjoint path is the form of evidence that authorial-construction explanations cannot reach — a memory-built chronology has no access to the corpus that verifies its seed parties' channels, yet identifies the same pair.

6.3 The pivot answer, stated plainly

At what point do members of the network harming one person stop being random and become intentional in the statistical sense? **At two members under the most hostile assumptions the model can be forced to bear — a small-city population and the widest possible definition of the network — and at one member everywhere else.** The chronology records approximately twenty-five. The question the statistics answer is thus not whether the record crosses the threshold, but by how many orders of magnitude it clears it.

6.4 What the statistics establish, and what they leave to the evidence

The statistics exclude randomness. They do so premised on the stipulated event set, and the attribution of each member's role — who directed what, and why — remains a function of the tiered documentary record ([V]/[A]/[S]/[U]), which the underlying research program maintains separately and which carries the meeting-of-the-minds element as a documentary matter, never as a probability output. This division of labor is the program's standing discipline: the p-values quantify non-randomness; the evidence tiers carry attribution. Estoppel and tolling attach to the chronology's continuity — the persistence statistics above are the quantitative expression of that continuity.

7. Threats to Validity

Premises-conditionality. All results are conditional on the stipulated truth of the [U]-tier event set. The companion documentary record carries the same events at higher tiers; a fact-finder can weigh the stipulation accordingly.

Construction-selection axis. An authored chronology necessarily centers its own cast; TL-1's non-uniformity and TL-2's concentration are partly functions of authorship. The design answers this directly: neither test is multiplied into the joint conclusion. The discriminative load rests on TL-3 (era persistence, evaluated against external pool parameters), TL-4 (the pivot, evaluated against external populations), and the convergence layer (which joins memory-identified parties to corpus-verified

channels, importing nothing from the chronology's own labels).

Definitional elasticity. "Linked to Epstein" is elastic by nature. The four-pool span handles it: every rejection reported here holds at E4 = 7,657, the widest available definition, and the pivot conclusion holds at every cell in the 4 \times 4 matrix.

Conservative counting. Mention counts are not harm-event counts; TL-4 uses the conservative party count (25) with the fuller count (30) as sensitivity, and every margin only widens with time (any new harm party or entry extends the rejection).

Post-hoc assembly. The competing non-random explanation — ordinary base-rate exposure assembled into a pattern after the fact — is addressed by the layer discipline and the antecedence/sequencing findings of the companion record; on the seed-core parties (the [V]-channelled seed pair), it is excluded outright.

8. Conclusion

A 469-entry chronology of harm events against one whistleblower-protected individual, constructed entirely from memory, was tested against the null hypothesis of random, unconnected coincidence under four mutually exclusive tests and a three-layer design that never uses the narrative's own Epstein labels as data. The null is rejected at every layer, every geography, every pool definition, and every repetition count, by margins from 10^{-56} to 10^{-315}. The pivot from random to non-random occurs at two network members under the most hostile parameterization and at one member everywhere else; the record carries approximately twenty-five. The memory path independently reproduces the documentary path's whole-record verdict, and the seed parties it names from memory are the same parties the corpus verifies as channelled. In the statistical sense, the chronology does not resemble coincidence at any point in its structure: it exhibits the mountain, the recurring cast, the era-to-era persistence, and the multiplicity that random processes cannot produce — the quantitative signature of the ongoing conspiracy the documentary record already establishes.

Data and Code Availability

The dataset is the select timeline of conspiracy (2001–2026), preserved verbatim in the companion artifact; extraction was performed by deterministic pattern matching over all 469 entries. All computations are exact in $\log_{10}$ space (Poisson tails, binomial-tail first terms, Pearson χ^{2} with Wilson–Hilferty evaluation); the extraction script and computed outputs are preserved in the research program's working record, and every value reported here is reproducible from the dataset by the methods of Section 4.

References

1. Janson, F. (2026). Select Timeline of the Conspiracy (2001–2026). The dataset of this study.
2. Epstein Files Transcript Archive (EFTA) corpus records cited: EFTA00032642 (Kelly Services corpus presence); Epstein JPMorgan checking records, "PAB ROCHE" agency #1765-PAB book transfers (the Roche channel); EFTA01042294, EFTA01042322, EFTA02644477, EFTA02381028 (the Epstein–Chopra–Kaiser institutional channel); EFTA02580513/EFTA00982555 and related records (the UC Regents pivot, October 18, 2017).
3. Research program record (Oct 2026). KB Statistical Intent Analysis: the settled random-selection model, the four party-pool scenarios, verified population figures, the whole-record six-test coincidence suite (joint p \approx 10^{-66}), and the seed-channel corpus test (p \approx

10^{-10}).

4. Research program record (Oct 2026). Aviation statistical-intent analysis: the aerial campaign record and its random-selection rejection.
5. United Nations (2026). World population estimate, mid-2026: 8.30B.
6. U.S. Census Bureau / California Department of Finance (2025). Rocklin, CA: 76,821 (Data Commons) / 73,172 (CA DOF); Placer County: 442,081.

Sources added with the Section 8 timeline layer (Nov. 4, 2026 — the select timeline and its companion statistical analysis, added at the relator's direction and reproduced verbatim). The two documents: *A 25 Year Timeline of the Ongoing RICO Obstruction Conspiracy by Jeffrey Epstein's Edge Foundation's Network Against the Editor of Uprights News with Estoppel and Tolling Attached* (the twelve-page select timeline, 469 entries per the companion study, constructed from memory) and *Non-Randomness in a 25-Year Harm Chronology: A Null-Hypothesis Significance Analysis of Epstein-Network-Linked Harm Events Against a Whistleblower-Protected Individual* (the nine-page companion study) — both the relator's own work product, carried verbatim-as-maintained [U — the relator's autobiographical record and his study, preserved per his instruction; the study's own §3.2 tier posture (premises-conditional on the stipulated [U]-tier event set) governs both]. The receipt substrates: USAO W.D. Wash. Receipt No. 663273 (Aug. 29, 2024, "The Janson Report," "FOR special Counsel Jack Smith") and DOS RFJ Receipt No. 663225 (Aug. 26, 2024) [V — carried from Section 6]; the over 10,000 pages of IRAC legal analysis and the estimated million pages of Epstein evidence [U — dictated]; the Janson Report absence from the EFTA production (the Oct. 10, 2026 full-corpus sweep) [V]; the classified-material layer [S — press, 7.11]. The statistical companions the study reproduces and relies on: the whole-record six-test coincidence suite (joint $p \approx 10^{-66}$), the seed-channel corpus test ($p \approx 10^{-10}$; the "PAB ROCHE" agency #1765-PAB book transfers; EFTA00032642; the Kaiser channel EFTA01042294/EFTA01042322/EFTA02644477), and the aviation statistical-intent analysis — all [S — the settled record, in-corpus per the second deep dive]. The characterizations — the RICO-obstruction conspiracy, the voids-from-conception offices, the misprision and harbor applications, the crime-syndicate framing — are the relator's dictated positions [U — dictated; RI] on the verified substrates; the honest date-discrepancy note (the timeline's internal DOS line at 08/29/24 vs. the dictated and receipt-anchored Aug. 26, 2024) is recorded, never counter-argued; the OCR-normalization method note (8.0) governs the reproductions; allegations remain allegations at their public status; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout.

The Appendixes

Appendixes A, B, and C at the end of this document: **A —an abbreviated, select, and general timeline** (the case's general chronology told as one connected story: the whistleblower's record, the courts' response to it, and how the deciding offices came to be be improperly filled); **B — the chronology of some of the key laws, followed by the full violations-and-rights register** (the laws violated and changed in order to RICO obstruct — and the law's answer to each move — followed by the Nov. 4, 2026 register, Appendix B.1, specifying every rule of law and rights violation this Introduction expresses and/or implies against the victim class, by provision — code, act, constitutional clause, statute, doctrine, and human-rights instrument — with who violated whom and when under each); and **C — the network tree** (the appointment line, the financing layer, and the relator's bridge, each branch explained).

Appendix A — The master timeline, told as one story

Where you are: the anchor map. Every date below is marshaled in the sections indicated (§). Read it in order: each entry states what happened and why the next followed. Part 1 is the relator's record — the case this Introduction asks the reader to act on. Part 2 is what the courts did with the same network's public record. Part 3 is how the offices that decided Part 2 came to be filled. The WWII-era records are deliberately not the spine of this timeline; they are background that §4 marshals, noted at the end.

Part 1 — The whistleblower's record: from the origin to the seizure (2002–2016)

- **2002–04.** The relator works inside the Roche/Kelly orbit and becomes a whistleblower. Everything later in this timeline flows from this record, because the entities and names around it — Roche, Hoffmann, the Edge Foundation circuit — reappear in the DOJ's own Epstein files (§4).
- **July 21, 2004.** Because he kept pursuing it, the East Bay Express coverup was deployed to drive him out of the Bay Area — to Sacramento, where the next chapters happen (§4).
- **2007.** In Sacramento, Trump personally joins the orbit at KCRA — Epstein's Hearst's KCRA — the employer-media blacklisting line of the settled record (§4).
- **June 23, 2009 / Mar. 17, 2014 / June 2015.** Across these same years, the Edge convening circuit runs through Epstein himself — the Master Class at SpaceX; the Vancouver Edge Dinner; the Google/Sci Foo class with the Brockman→Epstein guest-list coordination email — the orbit assembling while the relator was documenting it (§4).
- **2012.** The relator's blog on the UK royals' dubious claims; **Dec. 2012** the Edge Foundation meeting (§4).
- **Jan. 2013.** The relator already has the Epstein orbiters documented as part of the ongoing conspiracy — the documentation the next events answer (§4).
- **Oct. 20, 2013.** The response: a cyberattack on the relator, the Russians breaking into his home, and the attack on his investigationas he prepared the 2013-2014 qui tam, the $40B+ conspiracy claim, the largest pro se (§1, §4), followed by UCSF replacing staffers at CHORI in what amounts to RICO obstruction of the child's death coupled to Roche shuttering a "dark site" in Alameda, CA, on Atlantic Avenue, following the Editor's CAL OSHA and FDA whistleblowing 2002-2004, dispersing employees with knowledge of the death at that site, which included UCSF's Shannon Strochein-Stevenson and CHORI's/UCSF's Charlotte Hsieh, working together under the auspices of Karina Orle, Steve Herman, and Roche's/CHORI's Henry Erlich, whose Roche-CHORI lab was financed by GW Bush's, GOP's, and Robert Mueller's regime Bush family GOP SCOTUS-got 2000 elections/9/11 interference/fraud/treason regime.
- **Jan. 1, 2014.** The affiliation that took Epstein's Henry Kravis' KKR's and Epstein's Roche's CHORI under Epstein's Zuckerberg's and Epstein's Benioff-UCSF (of East Bay Express defamation against Editor) goes effective — the takeover that followed his raising of a child's death at CHORI and the attack on his investigation (the causation sequence is queued against his CHORI-complaint dates, the honest timing note §4 carries) (§4).
- **Sept. 13–14, 2014.** The Leon Black and Epstein-financed Edge Foundation orbit convenes around the weeks before and after the entrapment-got seizure of the Editor's qui tam investigations by those he had implicated (Sacramento Police) with Edge Foundation's billionaires and their murder and endangerment of children exposing a massive government fraud ongoing conspiracy bordering $40 billion — the Thiel–Burns-Ruemmler-Black-Gates-

Epstein and Edge Foundation meetings (EFTA02098460) (§4).

- **Sept. 21, 2014.** The seizure: the qui tam draft taken — the 13-item target list naming Epstein's Edge Foundation's crime syndicate of billionaires harming the Editor and US/CA governments, the Trump Organization orbit (Wildensteins), the GOP, Russia, the Edge Foundation oligarchs, UCSF and UC Davis, and the Sacramento Police. Everything after this date reads as the named parties' conduct toward the record that named them (§1, §4).
- **2014–18.** The Russian oligarch entrapment window; and the Fomitchev/Alfa-Bank Useppa-Island financing approach — refused; Paul Alain Martin after 2016 (§4), also approached by and harmed by Thai royal-linked and Middle Eastern-linked "financiers", in the context that the Thai royals' military started a war with neighboring Cambodia while the Editor was in Thailand, causing him to flee back to the UK royals' Canada.
- **June 16, 2015.** Ten months after the seizure, Trump returns to public life — the golden-escalator campaign launch, "knowing" of the witch-hunt he later linked to Russia (§4), which was the Editor's qui tam investigation seized by GOP's Scott Jones, self-proclaimed "staunch Trump supporter", who disappeared $100 million in COVID funds meant to curb the COVID deaths in Sacramento County, and who like Trump was accused of molesting women, specifically Jones' subordinate officer(s), despite being married, undoing the pants zipper of at least one female officer. Jones and Daniel Dailey were close friends and former coworkers, and Dailey imploded the Rio Vista Police Department with corruption, leaving him with two to three Giglio Brady accusations at Sacramento Sheriff, Rio Vista Police, and/or State of California. Dailey was approached before the entrapment of the Editor to be put in contact with Judge Morrison England to whistleblow, anonymously providing details to Dailey and England about the qui tam investigation as brother "Q anon"ymous, years before the Qanon movement laundered the Editor's life story and investigation into the GOP draining children of their blood to death, which Qanon later accused the Democrats of doing. That anonymous disclosure resulted in two DOJ referrals for the Editor one in Central CA (Dailey-England), and the other in San Francisco (Democratic Party HQ), the Editor pivoted to San Francisco, and was entrapped for a DUI by Sacramento Sheriff Deputy's friend and neighbor who was a coworker of Jones and Dailey before Editor could file his qui tam there by Dan Dailey's allies at GOP Sacramento Sheriff, Sacramento Police, and Sacramento DA's office. Morisson England then RICO obstructed access to Trump's falsified finances by State of CA. Accordingly, the witch-hunt that Trump said he knew about 03/30/2023 could have only been the Editor's GOP-lynched qui tam, as Crossfire Hurricane would not begin for another year.
- **Nov. 3, 2015.** The plan in writing: the Cohen/Sater email — "Buddy our boy can become President of the USA and we can engineer it" (§4).
- **Sept. 24, 2016.** The orbit discussing the Cabinet six weeks before the election: the iMessage — "tell woody that kissinger is open to take sec of state" (§4). Epstein and Kissinger would later meet in both of the two months that Kissinger's Theranos attempted to recruit the Editor's wife from Epstein's and Henry Kravis' Kaiser Permanente (who shared finances with Roche, who shared financed with Epstein). Henry Kravis' KKR and their companies then joined 10 years of stalking and death threats against the Editor and his family, to witness/victim intimidate, retaliate, intentionally inflict severe emotional distress, to RICO obstruct for Epstein, joined by Leon Black, who bought the Editor's communications at Yahoo, and who bought the Editor's employer University of Phoenix in early 2016, then forcing the Editor of his graduate business professor role. Black and Glenn Dubin were on the board of Mt. Sinai together, and Dubin's and Epstein's Fortress network would later defraud the Editor towards a cross-border entrapment

scheme with involving Fortress-linked CEO of Taxes for Expats, and involving Jeffrey Epstein's UK royals' network, including the Indian tribe Charles II visited in Tofino BC, CIBC, EAM, XTM, Anyday/Everyday, Qrails, Pateno, 2Shores, Charles II's Gary Hoffman, and/or Barclays.

- **Nov. 8, 2016.** The election — the outcome the preceding entries were aimed at; the Harper payment and the father–brother Bohemian-Club bridge within the same proximity years (§4).

Part 2 — The record meets the courts: immunity, dismissal, and the deliveries (2017–2026)

- **2017–2020.** The President that sequence produced fills three Court seats and the Fort Pierce judgeship (involving Maro Rubio, who then later was hand-picked by Epstein's Trump to RICO obstruct the Reward for Justice bounties to the Editor he submitted under camera to State Department to Special Agent Kent Andersen 08/26/2024, Rubio in conspiracy with Trump and Cannon to RICO obstruct the Tump-Epstein-Russia-Insurrection-Stolen documents bridge, at DOJ and DOS, the missing Epstein files, in conspiracy with their selected judge Aileen Cannon: Gorsuch (2017), Kavanaugh (2018, over the Swetnick declaration), Barrett (2020, eight days before the election she would help adjudicate), and Cannon — the judges who will decide this Part's entries (§2, §4).
- **Apr. 16, 2017.** The Duffy ranch contract, six days after Gorsuch joined the Court — the first of this Part's gaps between what was pledged and what was done (§2).
- **Dec. 18, 2019 / Jan. 13, 2021.** Two impeachments — the tool aimed at the conduct; **Feb. 5, 2020 (52–48) / Feb. 13, 2021 (57–43)** two acquittals — the disqualification half of the judgment withheld both times, so the conduct's author remained eligible (§1).
- **Jan. 6–7, 2021.** The insurrection — and the 147 GOP members objecting to the count the same conduct interrupted (§1).
- **June 16, 2023.** *Polansky*: Thomas's solo dissent against the qui tam device (Kavanaugh and Barrett concurring in agreement with its Article II assessment) — the Court's own members attacking the private-relator channel that is this case's vehicle (§1, §2).
- **June 8 / July 27, 2023.** The Mar-a-Lago indictments — the documents case Cannon would end (§1).
- **Aug. 14, 2023.** The Georgia indictment, as charged (§1).
- **Dec. 19, 2023 / Mar. 4, 2024.** Colorado's insurrection finding; *Trump v. Anderson* leaves it undisturbed — Congress-only enforcement; the finding stands (§1).
- **May 30, 2024.** The N.Y. conviction: 34 falsified-business-records counts (§1).
- **July 1, 2024.** *Trump v. United States*: retroactive immunity — authored by Roberts, joined by Thomas, Alito, Gorsuch, Kavanaugh, and Barrett (three of them the beneficiary's own picks) — with the Thomas concurrence questioning the Special Counsel's appointment: the second channel attacked from within (§2, §5).
- **July 15, 2024.** Two weeks later, Cannon dismisses the Special Counsel's prosecution on authority grounds — interrupted, never adjudicated (§4, §6).
- **Aug. 26 / Aug. 29, 2024.** The same month, the relator's own record arrives at DOJ: the DOS Rewards-for-Justice receipt (663225); and the USAO Seattle written in-camera acceptance of the Janson Report — one date, one trip — Receipt 663273, "FOR special Counsel Jack Smith," approximately one million pages. The channels that would reach the network now hold the record (§6).

- **Nov. 5, 2024.** The election this Introduction's thesis voids (§1).
- **Jan. 21, 2025 / Feb. 23, 2026.** The Volume II seals: Cannon's emergency seal, then the permanent injunction (the 218-day undue-delay finding) — the evidence closest to the BRD record concealed (§6).
- **Jan. 20 / Jan. 25, 2025.** The named officers' oaths begin: the President re-oathed Jan. 20 (Roberts); Rubio sworn as the 72nd Secretary of State Jan. 21; Hegseth sworn Jan. 25 (51–50, the tiebreak) — the § 3331/§ 453/Art. II oaths Section 2's named-officers block measures against their records (§2).
- **Apr. 16, 2025.** The pushback begins: Boasberg's probable-cause contempt finding against the administration (§1).
- **Aug. 2 / Aug. 13, 2025.** Quiñones confirmed 52–44 (the first confirmed U.S. Attorney of the second term) and takes the § 3331 oath Aug. 13 — the office he reportedly once flunked out of, now the Fort Pierce machinery's head (§2).
- **Nov. 24, 2025.** The Comey/James/Bolton indictments dismissed — the Halligan interim-U.S.-attorney install defect; prosecutions void ab initio: the voiding doctrine operating on the government's own cases (§1).
- **Dec. 9, 2025.** Engelmayer orders the Maxwell grand jury materials public — "The Act unambiguously applies"; "public disclosure of such materials is the rule" — the criminal-case track of the four release orders (§7).
- **Mar. 7 / Mar. 18, 2026.** The Kari Lake/USAGM voiding: her acts held void, the agency's dismantling vacated, the employees ordered back — the voiding doctrine climbing from the acting officer to her changes (§1).
- **Feb. 20, 2026.** Talwani: the tariffs unauthorized — "legally void as they are ultra vires" arrives with the June election-orders ruling; the nationwide injunction in August (§1).
- **June 25, 2026.** *Phang v. Blanche*: Sullivan's preliminary injunction ordering EFTA disclosure — the transparency the seals withheld, ordered by a court (§1).
- **Aug. 10, 2026.** Blanche sworn in as Attorney General in the Oval Office, the oath administered by Justice Clarence Thomas with the President present — the post-oath record begins: every EFTA certification, every Sullivan order, and the § 7.11 classified-material contradiction now measured against a sworn officer (§2, cross-ref. §7.11).
- **Aug. 11, 2026.** Preska orders the remaining Giuffre-case materials to the public record — "any remaining materials in the Government's possession should become part of the public record, subject to any victim-protecting redactions authorized by the Act" — the civil-case track of the four release orders (§7).
- **Aug. 17, 2026.** The Ninth Circuit's unanimous Chattah ruling: "Nor can the Attorney General create a de facto Acting U.S. Attorney…" (§1).
- **Sept. 18, 2026.** *NAACP v. DHS* filed: armed agents at polling places alleged (§1).
- **Sept. 30, 2026.** The Wyden FD-302 disclosure; the Kollar-Kotelly expedite order (§1).
- **Oct. 7, 2026.** The relator's 22-demand public-interest instrument, addressed to SCOTUS, Cannon, Quiñones, Blanche, and Trump (§1).

- **Oct. 10, 2026.** The absence documented: the full-corpus EFTA sweep — 45 "Janson" hits, all C.G. Janson the neurogenetics researcher; zero pages of the Report (§6).
- **Oct. 13, 2026.** Congress contacts the relator: the Senate Finance (Wyden) acknowledgment (§6).
- **Oct. 22, 2026.** The GOP-six corpus sweep: the phrase floors and the hard negatives (§2).
- **Oct. 26, 2026.** House Judiciary Committee Democrats release the 53-page staff report — the obstruction and withholding findings, the "personally implicated" finding, and the independent-special-counsel call on the Attorney General's conflict (§7.11, cross-ref. §7.7.5).
- **Oct. 28, 2026.** CBS News reports classified material remaining in the Epstein files and tens of thousands of gapped pages — against the Attorney General's standing denials of any national-security withholding; Judge Phang asks for a special master (§7.11).
- **Nov. 2, 2026.** The Eleventh Circuit's Volume II unsealing argument, 2 p.m., Atlanta — the circuit asked to adjudicate the seal its own district judge imposed, under its own disqualified Circuit Justice's assignment (§6).

Part 3 — How the deciding offices were filled: the appointments chain (1968–2020)

Part 2's outcomes were decided by offices. This Part is how those offices came to be filled — each link a president whose own record disqualified him, appointing the judges who would decide the next link's fate.

- **1968.** The pattern starts: the Chennault affair — Nixon's campaign urges South Vietnam to boycott the Paris peace talks; LBJ's tapes record "treason"; the war continues; Nixon wins (§4).
- **1971.** Nixon appoints Rehnquist to the Court — the first seat filled by the disqualified hand (§4).
- **Aug. 9, 1974.** Nixon resigns; **Sept. 8, 1974** Ford's pardon — retroactive immunity, the first of the pattern: liability killed without a trial, and the disqualified hand's appointee keeps the seat (§4, §5).
- **1981–88.** Reagan appoints O'Connor, Scalia, and Kennedy; **1986** elevates Nixon's Rehnquist to Chief (§4).
- **1985–92.** Iran-Contra under Reagan and GHW Bush: arms to the enemy — Iran, a state sponsor since 1984 — the Boland violations, the obstruction and false statements; **Dec. 24, 1992** the Christmas pardons close the record: retroactive immunity again, by the President himself in the record (§4, §5).
- **1990–91.** GHW Bush appoints Souter and Thomas (1991, over the Anita Hill record) (§2, §4).
- **Dec. 12, 2000.** *Bush v. Gore*: every one of the five majority votes cast by a Nixon, Reagan, or GHW-Bush appointee — the disqualified hands deciding the presidency (§4).
- **2005 / 2006.** GW Bush appoints Roberts and Alito — the seats born of the void (§2, §4).
- **Jan. 21, 2010.** *Citizens United*: the money door the chain needed opened — bribery laundered as campaign finance (§5).
- **2009 / 2010.** Sotomayor and Kagan confirmed, each committing on the record that no one is above the law — the two whose records show pledge and conduct aligned (§2).

- **2017–2020.** Trump appoints Gorsuch, Kavanaugh, and Barrett — with Thomas, the immunity-era majority of July 1, 2024 — and Cannon to the Fort Pierce seat that decides the seals (§2, §4).
- **May 8, 2019.** The Blue Cross email addressed to "Cannon, Aileen (USAFLS)" (EFTA00192835) — one month before the Rubio outreach; **June 2019** Rubio's office contacts Cannon about the S.D. Fla. vacancy, per her own Senate Judiciary questionnaire; **May 21, 2020** Trump nominates her; **Nov. 12, 2020** confirmed 56–21 — the installation record the origins timeline carries as the overt furtherance thesis (§7).
- **The chain's rule:** every layer was appointed by a hand the prior layer disqualified. *Norton* makes each act of the chain voidable; *Pinkerton* makes each layer liable for the next; the fifty-one tools of §1 are the enforcement.

The deep background — deliberately not the spine. Behind the chain stand older records: Edward VIII's 1937 Germany tour and the Marburg Files [V as recorded]; the Oct. 20, 1942 seizure of Prescott Bush's Union Banking Corp. under the Trading with the Enemy Act (no criminal charges) [V per the historical-financier record]. §4 marshals them as background to the orbit; this Introduction's case rests on the 2002–2026 record above and the fifty-one tools of §1. A reader new to this matter should take the timeline's spine as Parts 1–3. The Epstein crime syndicate was found to have been directly linked to the Habsburg crime syndicate, later rebranding themselves as the UK/EU royals, after forging royals claims in Privilegium maius, but not limited to the same. The Editor as university professor involved in organized crime research discovered the same in the U.S. Library of Congress research of H.E. Scott, now being sheltered by Todd Blanche, and/or by the wife of Clarence Thomas (bribed by Harlan Crow who secretely met with the brother of the Editor at Bohemian Grove, as did GW Bush, Jeb Bush, Bill Barr, Stephen Harper, and HR McMaster), set to hear the Cannon case, as a judge in his own legal matters, after Aileen Cannon did the same by sealing the evidence of massive disqualifications of GOP SCOTUS, Thomas, Cannon, Trump, the GOP, and thousands of others, resulting in irreparable harm to the government, they have permanently and unlawfully sealed in Jack Smith's investigation files provided to the DOJ at USAO in Western WA 08/29/2024.

Appendix B — The chronology of the laws — violated, and changed, to RICO obstruct

Where you are: the second map. Read it as one sequence. The first three entries are the old law that strips fraud, office-taking, and obstruction of their protections. Every entry after 1974 is the same move repeated — the law moved out of the way of the same conduct. And the last entry is the law's answer to all of it.

- **1878 — *United States v. Throckmorton***: "fraud vitiates every thing, and a judgment equally with a contract." The foundation: no fraud-obtained agreement or judgment can be enforced — the doctrine §2 turns against the oaths (§2).
- **1886 — *Norton v. Shelby County***: the unauthorized act "creates no office"; it is as inoperative as though it had never been passed. The doctrine that undoes everything below: an act done by a disqualified hand is void from conception (§1).
- **1946 — *Pinkerton v. United States***: each conspirator answers for the substantive crimes of his confederates. The joinder rule: the chain below is one liability, not fifty separate ones (§3).
- What the pattern could not win at trial, it pardoned: **1974 — Ford's pardon of Nixon** (§5); **1992 — the Christmas Iran-Contra pardons**, closing the record of the appointers (§5).

- What it could not pardon, it adjudicated into place: **2000 — *Bush v. Gore***, the elections ruling that seated the seats born of the void (§4).
- What it seated, it fed: **2010 — *Citizens United***, bribery laundered as campaign finance — the off-the-books channel through which the quid meets the quo (§5).
- When the voters' tool reached the conduct, the Senate withheld half the remedy: **2019 / 2021 — the two impeachment acquittals** — removal reached, disqualification dodged (§1).
- When the private-relator channel threatened the network, the Court's own members attacked it: **2023 — *Polansky*; 2024 — *Zafirov*** (§1, §4).
- When prosecution reached the President anyway, the Court invented immunity: **July 1, 2024 — *Trump v. United States*** — retroactive immunity for the President whose appointments the six depend on; the Thomas concurrence questioning the Special Counsel's appointment — the second channel attacked from within (§2, §4).
- When the prosecution survived immunity, the judge dismissed it: **July 15, 2024 — Cannon's dismissal** — interrupted, never adjudicated (§6).
- When the evidence survived dismissal, the court sealed it: **Jan. 21, 2025 / Feb. 23, 2026 — the Volume II seals** — the concealment layer of the perpetuity doctrine (§3, §6).
- **The answer the law supplies**: obstruction of justice is itself a racketeering predicate (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1) names §§ 1503, 1510–1513); fraudulent concealment tolls the statute against the concealed wrong; the wrongdoer is estopped from pleading the limitation his own fraud kept alive (§3, §5). For a conspiracy in perpetuity, the remedy is available in perpetuity. Each move above bought time; the last entry is why time was never the conspirators' to buy.

Appendix B.1 — The full register: every rule of law and rights violation this Introduction expresses and/or implies, specified by provision, with who violated whom and when (the Nov. 4, 2026 addition)

Where you are: the third map of Appendix B. The chronology above carries the laws the record moves through; this register completes the map with the full inventory — every rule of law and every rights violation this Introduction expresses and/or implies, against any member of the victim class the relator defines, quoting his dictated definition verbatim: "myself, my family, my business, the federal government, state of CA, their taxpayers, their troops, and their children." Each entry names the specific provision — code, act, constitutional clause, rule, statute, doctrine, or instrument — and under it, in coherent and specific form, who violated whom, and when.

The method and its honest rules. The relator directed (Nov. 4, 2026) that the existing violations layer be completed with the full list of rules of law and rights violations this canvas expresses and/or implies, specified by provision rather than generally named, each with the who, the whom, and the when. The register's discipline: (a) **nothing here is a new allegation** — every who/whom/when below is an anchor already carried at its tier in §§1–8 or the Appendixes; this register's work is specification, not addition. (b) **The cross-reference rule** — Section 1's fifty-one per-tool records remain the per-tool authority: where a provision is already fully carried there, the entry below states the violator(s), the victim(s), and the time compactly, with the cross-reference (§1, tool N); where the provision was carried only generally, or only implied — the gap this register fills — the entry is full. (c) **Tiers carry**: [V] verified, [S] summarized record, [U] the relator's dictated record, [RI] the relator's dictated interpretation; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing; no invented quotes; negatives recorded, never counter-argued. (d) **The instruments' binding status is carried honestly** — where the

United States ratified with declarations, signed only, or never signed, the entry says so. (e) **The register is additive**: nothing already carried on this canvas is deleted, and nothing already carried at length is repeated at length. The order: the Constitution (Part I); federal criminal law (Part II); federal civil, whistleblower, records, and doctrinal provisions (Part III); California (Part IV); international and human-rights instruments (Part V); the common-law rights (Part VI). Each part closes where the record closes — and the record's own honest gaps are flagged at the end, not filled by invention.

Part I — The United States Constitution

I.1 — Article I, § 9, cl. 8 (the Foreign Emoluments Clause). *Who violated whom, when:* Donald Trump — the foreign-patronage stream running since 2017 (trademarks, hotels, licensing, with foreign governments generally, too many to name) and the Qatar-gifted Boeing 747-8 announced as accepted for his use in May 2025 — against the federal government and its taxpayers, whose official judgment the clause keeps from foreign purchase; and against the taxpayers who pay for the government the clause protects. Continuous, 2017–present. Cross-ref. §1, tool 4. [V as reported; RI on the characterization] And the same record's extension: Trump and Jared Kushner companies receiving $2–3 billion from the UAE and/or (9/11's) Saudi interests — the benefit stream at treaty-state scale. [U — the record's line]

I.2 — Article II, § 1, cl. 7 (the President's domestic emoluments). *Who violated whom, when:* Trump — payments and benefits flowing to his properties from the federal government and the states beyond the fixed salary the clause sets as the exclusive domestic compensation — against the federal government and its taxpayers, whose payments the clause forbids as a channel of influence. 2017–2021 and 2025–present. Cross-ref. §1, tool 4's paired clause. [RI — dictated frame; V on the underlying payment record as reported]

I.3 — Article II, § 1, cl. 8 and Article VI, cl. 3 (the oaths). *Who violated whom, when:* every officer whose post-oath conduct Section 2 carries against the oath he swore — Trump (Jan. 20, 2017 and Jan. 20, 2025 forward; Jan. 6, 2021; the House referral, Dec. 19, 2022), Rubio, Blanche, Cannon, Thomas, the GOP six, Quiñones, Hegseth — each breach an act outside official capacity and outside *Harlow* immunity, against the government, the people, and the relator himself, whose due process the oath was the first protection of (the voiding chain of §1, tool 40: the oath as the agreement, the agreement voided by the breach). Each oath's date through each breach recorded in §§1–2. Cross-ref. §1, tools 14–15; §2. [V on the oath records; RI on the breach characterization; U on the relator's chain]

I.4 — Article I, § 3, cl. 7 (disqualification upon impeachment judgment, withheld). *Who violated whom, when:* the GOP Senate majorities that acquitted on Feb. 5, 2020 (52–48) and Feb. 13, 2021 (57–43 — a majority, the conviction withheld) after the House impeached Trump on Dec. 18, 2019 and Jan. 13, 2021 — withholding the disqualification half of the judgment the clause supplies, against the governments, their taxpayers, troops, and children, the class left governed by the disqualified hand the remedy was designed to remove. Cross-ref. §1, tools 2 and 18 (the senators named). [V on the votes; RI on the omission-as-exhibit]

I.5 — Article I, § 6, cl. 2 (the Incompatibility Clause). *Who violated whom, when:* per the dictated frame — the disqualified entering elections for seats that vacate, and the disqualified appointing into vacating seats: Trump; the GOP members who voted not to hear evidence to not impeach impeachable behavior; and the judges appointed by Nixon, GHW Bush, Reagan, GW Bush, and Trump who furthered the ongoing conspiracy — against the government whose offices the clause keeps incompatible with disqualification. 1968–2020, per the frame's chain. Cross-ref. §1, tool 3. [RI — dictated; V on each anchor]

I.6 — Article II, § 4 (treason, bribery, high crimes and misdemeanors — the impeachment objects). *Who violated whom, when:* Trump (the §5 bribery channels; the classified records; Jan. 6, 2021), Rubio (the foreign-policy-withholding obstruction thesis), Thomas (the Crow record, 1991–2023), Cannon (the dismissal and seals, 2024–2026), Blanche (the EFTA conduct and the IRS deal, 2025–2026) — each conduct the article names, never adjudicated at the article's forum, against the government and the taxpayers. Cross-ref. §1, tools 1–2, 11; §§2, 5. [V on the records; RI on the characterization]

I.7 — Amendment XIV, § 3 (the Disqualification Clause). *Who violated whom, when:* Trump — having engaged in insurrection on Jan. 6, 2021, the conduct the Colorado Supreme Court found (Dec. 19, 2023), the finding left undisturbed by *Trump v. Anderson* (Mar. 4, 2024) — retaking office Jan. 20, 2025; with Eastman and Giuliani as charged (Aug. 14, 2023) and the 147 GOP objectors of Jan. 6–7, 2021 — against the governments, their taxpayers, troops, and children, and against every subsequent official act, void from conception per §1 tool 1's chain; enforcement refused by the Court (Mar. 4, 2024) and by the Congress that would not act. Cross-ref. §1, tool 1. [V on the finding and dates; RI on the application]

I.8 — The First Amendment (speech, press, petition, association). *Who violated whom, when, in three layers:*

- **(a) Speech and petition — the retaliation continuum.** The relator's protected activity — the 2002 internal complaints at Kelly/Roche; the Cal-OSHA petition (Oct. 10–11, 2002); the FDA disclosures (2003–2004); the qui tam (2013–2014); the DOJ/DOS submissions (Aug. 29 and Aug. 26, 2024); the Oct. 7, 2026 twenty-two-demand instrument — answered each time with retaliation: the 2002 firing, the 2004–2007 defamation-and-blacklisting, the Sept. 20, 2014 entrapment, the Sept. 21, 2014 seizure, and the 2024–2026 obstruction of the deliveries. The violators: the Kelly/Roche retaliation conspiracy (2002); the Rudy/UCSF/SEIU/East Bay Express defamation set and the Edge-participant platforms that spread it (2004 forward); the Sacramento law-enforcement channel (2014–2016); the DOJ/DOS obstruction layer the record carries (2024–2026). Against the relator, and through him his family and businesses. [U — the relator's dictated record; V on the published article and instruments]
- **(b) Press — UPR News.** The victims-operated press the relator edits (2023–2026) and the platform-interference record (the 2026 log) — the interference layer chilling the publication of the Epstein–Russia–GOP record. The violators: the platform-interference layer the log carries. Against the relator and the reading public. [S/U — the settled logs]
- **(c) The franchise-adjacent chill** — the NAACP v. DHS allegations (filed Sept. 18, 2026: armed agents at polling places, the "Unlawful Voter Initiative") against the voters. [V — complaint allegations]

I.9 — The Fourth Amendment (searches, seizures, privacy). *Who violated whom, when, in four entries:*

- **(a) The stop.** SacPolice — the agency the relator had implicated in his qui tam at least a week earlier — entrapped and unlawfully stopped the relator on Sept. 20, 2014, their own MVARS video proving care and control and no reason to stop; the entrapment staged with Will Skeeters (USAF U2 pilot, GOP lobbyist) and Sac Deputy Steve Carrozzo (Dailey's friend and coworker of Jones). Against the relator's person and his vehicle's privacy. [U — dictated; the MVARS record]
- **(b) The seizure.** On Sept. 21, 2014, SacPD Officers Rambo and Hawley (who debated executing him, aborted on realizing body cams were on) and the female officers (who unzipped

his pants and tortured him from behind while bound) — seizing his person, and seizing the qui tam investigation itself (the flash drive, with about ten hours of access). Against the relator, his papers, and his investigation. [U — dictated, the timeline's record]

- **(c) The break-ins.** The 2013–2014 office break-in (Dailey's Russian cleaners stealing the qui tam materials) and the 2014 home break-in (the Russians breaking into his home). Against the relator's premises, papers, and work. [U — dictated]
- **(d) The surveillance layer.** The Palantir/Stingray/mobile-stalking-data access the Nexus lines carry against SacPD and the CA OES network — the warrantless-tracking implication of the stalking decade's coordination. Against the relator and his family's locational privacy. 2013–present. [U — dictated]

I.10 — The Fifth Amendment (due process, liberty, property). *Who violated whom, when:*

- **(a) Procedural due process.** The Sacramento prosecution channel — SacPD's patterned perjury (refuted by their own MVARS and body cameras), the tainted MVARS evidence (deleted audio/video tracks, the minutes before the stop deleted), the Brady/Giglio withheld (the torture video and witnesses; Dailey's two-to-three Giglio-Brady accusations), the all-GOP shopping of prosecutors and judges (Judge M. Gilliard ignoring coram nobis; Steve Whitworth's falsified attorney-of-record status), and the extorted no-contest plea at the DA's office — a process that was not due. Who: SacPD, the GOP's Sacramento County DA channel, and the GOP cast of judges. Whom: the relator. When: Sept. 20, 2014–2016. [U — dictated]
- **(b) The substantive floor.** The custodial torture of Sept. 21, 2014 — bound from behind, beaten, exposed, the execution debate — the conduct that shocks conscience, leaving the record's five deteriorating spinal conditions. Who: the SacPD officers. Whom: the relator. [U — dictated]
- **(c) Liberty.** The 2003–2004 kidnapping in San Francisco (Mark Rudy, John Beers, Roche, Kelly, and/or others) with the coerced "hush money" agreement. Who: the kidnapper set. Whom: the relator. [U — dictated]
- **(d) Property — the honest note.** The Takings Clause layer for the Rocklin land (the physical/regulatory taking record) is a standing Knowledge record not yet marshaled on this canvas; its entry waits for that marshaling (see the honest-notes block, note 5).

I.11 — The Sixth Amendment (counsel, impartial tribunal, process). *Who violated whom, when, in three layers:*

- **(a) Counsel.** The defrauding-attorney chain referred by Roche's Wildensteins (Kenny Pedroza, who referred Mark Rudy, 2002–2003), Rudy's frauds (2002–2004), and Whitworth's falsified attorney-of-record status (2014–2016) — against the relator's right to the unconflicted, undeceived counsel. [U — dictated]
- **(b) The impartial tribunal.** Cannon (the prosecution of her own appointer, dismissed July 15, 2024; the seals of Jan. 21, 2025 and Feb. 23, 2026; the Fort Pierce steering), Thomas and the GOP six (the recusal refusals in the Trump matters; Alito's May 29, 2024 refusal letter), and the Eleventh Circuit sitting under its own disqualified Circuit Justice (the Volume II argument, Nov. 2, 2026) — against every litigant before the disqualified hands, the relator and the government included. 2023–present. Cross-ref. §1, tool 21; §§2, 6. [V as reported; RI on the application]
- **(c) The evidence rights.** The withheld Brady/Giglio and the defense's denied motions (I.10(a)) — the compulsory-process layer the doctrinal entries at Part III carry. [U]

I.12 — The Thirteenth Amendment (involuntary servitude, forced labor). *Who violated whom, when, in two layers:*

- **(a) The relator's labor.** The 2002 lethal-conditions labor (the PPE deprivation to fetch and store the CHORI kids' blood), the wage theft, and the record's own words — "the threat to subject him to human trafficking" (§1, tool 42). Who: Kelly/Roche (2002); UC Davis and Monica Steinhart (2005, the extorted last check). Whom: the relator. [U — dictated]
- **(b) The children.** The trafficked children made to labor in the illegal medical experiments — the CHORI kids "excessively bleeding them, one to death" (2002–2004) — and the Epstein network's trafficking of minors (the 2005–2008 Florida record). Who: the Roche/CHORI operators; the network. Whom: the children — the class's "their children." [U — dictated; V on the trafficking's public record]

I.13 — The Fifteenth and Twenty-Fourth Amendments (the franchise). *Who violated whom, when:* DHS/ICE officers under color of official authority — armed agents at polling places, the voter-roll extortion, the "Unlawful Voter Initiative" (NAACP v. DHS, filed Sept. 18, 2026) — against Black and Latino voters and, through the contaminated elections, against the whole governed class. Cross-ref. §1, tools 12, 43, 49. [V — complaint allegations; RI — dictated on the wider register]

I.14 — Article IV, § 4 (the Guarantee Clause — republican government). *Who violated whom, when:* the engineering chain — Nixon's campaign with South Vietnam (1968, the Chennault affair); the *Bush v. Gore* five (2000, the seats born of the void); Trump's campaign with Russia and its domestic partners (the Sater–Cohen "we can engineer it" email, Nov. 3, 2015; the Cambridge Analytica/SCL layer; the 2015–2016 engineering) — against the republican form of government the clause guarantees, and through it the governments, their taxpayers, troops, and children. Cross-ref. §4. [V on each anchor; RI on the thesis]

I.15 — Article II, § 2, cl. 2 (the Appointments Clause). *Who violated whom, when:* the installing officers — Trump and Bondi (the Lake USAGM install held void Mar. 7, 2026; the Halligan interim installs held void Nov. 24, 2025 and blocked Aug. 21, 2026; the Chattah de facto appointment held unlawful Aug. 17, 2026) — against the government's justice apparatus and every person processed by the void hands, the relator's matters included if assigned to them. Cross-ref. §1, tools 23–24. [V on the rulings; RI on the extension]

I.16 — Article III, § 1 (Good Behaviour). *Who violated whom, when:* Thomas (the Crow gift record, 1991–2023, admitted 2023), Cannon (the dismissal, the seals, the steering, 2024–2026), and the GOP six (the gifts, refusals, and secrecy records of §2) — holding office during behavior that is not good, against the judiciary's integrity and every litigant, the relator included. Cross-ref. §1, tools 3, 20–21; §2. [V on the records; RI on the characterization]

I.17 — Article I, § 5, cl. 2 (expulsion, unexercised). *Who violated whom, when:* both chambers of Congress — no Jan. 6, 2021 objector expelled by either chamber, the non-use the omission-exhibit doctrine carries as conduct — against Congress's integrity and the governed class. 2021–present. Cross-ref. §1, tool 19. [RI — dictated; honest on the record]

I.18 — Amendment XXV, § 4 (the incapacity tool, unexercised). *Who violated whom, when:* the Cabinet — Hegseth and Rubio, the sworn officers of Section 2's named-officers block, each with his own record (the Signal transmission; the Cannon pipeline and the withholding basis), neither convening the § 4 process against a President the record carries as operating outside official capacity almost exclusively — against the government and the troops (Hegseth's chain-of-command duty). 2025–present. Cross-ref. §1, tool 31. [V on the anchors; RI — dictated on the application]

Part II — United States federal criminal law

Part II has two layers: the new specifications (II.1–II.19), and the carried-toolbox compact set (II.20) — one line per provision, with the full per-tool records at §1.

II.1 — 18 U.S.C. §§ 1589 and 1590 (forced labor; trafficking with respect to forced labor). *Who violated whom, when:* the Roche/CHORI/UCSF operators of the 2002–2004 experiments — the published replacement record names Shannon Strochein-Stevenson (UCSF) and Charlotte Hsieh (CHORI/UCSF) working under Karina Orle, Steve Herman, and Roche's/CHORI's Henry Erlich — who obtained the children's labor in the experiments by force, coercion, and abuse of the law's process, the children trafficked into the experiments and made to bleed excessively, one to death; and, in the network's own layer, the Epstein trafficking operations (the 2005–2008 Florida record). Whom: the children. When: 2002–2004 (the experiments); the network's era per the public record. [U — the relator's dictated/published record; V on the trafficking's public record; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing]

II.2 — 18 U.S.C. § 1591(a) (sex trafficking of minors) and § 1591(d) (obstruction of its enforcement). *Who violated whom, when:* the offense layer — the Epstein network's trafficking of minors, the conduct the Florida-era record carries (2005–2008) and the files document. The obstruction layer — the record's thesis: the DOJ/DOS/Cannon/SDFL/Thomas/GOP-SCOTUS obstruction set that buried the enforcement: Blanche's EFTA noncompliance (the missed Dec. 19, 2025 Federal Register deadline; the Jan. 30, 2026 "no files are being withheld… on that basis"; the Oct. 28, 2026 CBS classified-material contradiction), Cannon's seals (Jan. 21, 2025; Feb. 23, 2026), and the estimated million pages missing (the Oct. 10, 2026 sweep) — the concealment that obstructs § 1591's enforcement and leaves the victims exposed and future victims unprotected. Whom: the trafficked children; the unredacted victims (Marina Lacerda, unredacted 46× in the Jan. 2026 release per the March 2025 FBI whistleblower complaint); and the United States whose law was obstructed. When: the trafficking per the public record; the obstruction Jan. 2025–present. [V on the obstruction record; RI on the characterization] Honest note: the § 1591a form does not verify; § 1591(d) — obstruction of § 1591 enforcement, up to 25 years — is the provision the register carries.

II.3 — 18 U.S.C. § 1594 (conspiracy and aiding-abetting within chapter 77). *Who violated whom, when:* the hub-and-bridge membership — those who joined the trafficking's concealment and financing: the financiers (the §4 Edge/financiers record), the installers (the picks layer), the sealers (Cannon; the Eleventh Circuit posture) — each liable for the chapter 77 offenses of the wheel under §3's Pinkerton rule. Whom: the children, and the governments harboring the syndicate. When: 1990s–present. [RI — the extension; each anchor at its tier]

II.4 — 18 U.S.C. § 1201 (kidnapping). *Who violated whom, when:* (a) the Epstein network's transporting and holding of minors — the kidnapping layer of the trafficking record, against the children, 1990s–2010s [V on the public record]; and (b) the relator's own record: "Editor kidnapped by Mark Rudy, John Beers, Roche, Kelly, and/or others in San Francisco" (2003–2004), forced to sign the "hush money" agreement — the kidnapping layer of the attorney-chain fraud. Who: Rudy, Beers, and the Roche/Kelly chain. Whom: the relator. When: 2003–2004. [U — dictated]

II.5 — 18 U.S.C. § 1111 (murder) — with Cal. Penal Code § 187 at IV.5. *Who violated whom, when:* per the record's own asserted charge — the experiment operators whose lethal operations killed the child at CHORI: the 2002–2004 experiments run under Henry Erlich, Charlotte Hsieh, Shannon Strochein-Stevenson, Karina Orle, and Steve Herman per the published replacement record — against the child, "one to death" in the timeline's words, "murder and endangerment of children" in the tool-46 record's. When: 2002–2004. [U — the relator's dictated/published record; the charge his asserted characterization, never adjudicated; allegations remain allegations]

II.6 — 18 U.S.C. § 1030 (the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act). *Who violated whom, when:* the Russian Federation — the Oct. 20, 2013 cyberattack on the relator's qui tam investigation computer, one of the first civilian targets of Russian state cyberattack per the record, an attack on the relator as relator and so on the United States he represented (the timeline's own nexus line). Whom: the relator's investigation, his business records, and the United States. When: Oct. 20, 2013. [U — dictated; the settled record] And the same period's private-side analog: the heavy cyberattacks on the relator at UOP (2013–2016, driving him out a second time). [U — dictated]

II.7 — 18 U.S.C. §§ 2511 and 2701 (wiretapping; stored-communications access). *Who violated whom, when:* the surveillance layer the record implies: the Palantir/Stingray/mobile-stalking-data access of the CA OES network (Nexus: SacPolice had access to Palantir, Stingray, mobile stalking data), the inter-agency coordination of the 2016–2026 aircraft decade, and the platform-interference layer — the interception and access layer that a stalking campaign of ~1,000 logged incidents against one home requires. Who: the agency network and its contractors. Whom: the relator, his family, his communications. When: 2013–present. [U — the record's implied layer, governed by the aircraft-intimidation protocol of the settled log]

II.8 — 18 U.S.C. § 2261A (interstate stalking). *Who violated whom, when:* the operators of the 2016–2026 aerial campaign — the record's ~75 aircraft and ~1,000 logged incidents concentrated on one Rocklin home: the Placer County Sheriff's AS-350 "Falcon 30" (N911WL, publicly registered, 800–900-foot passes); the Sacramento Police and Sacramento County Sheriff aircraft (700–900 feet, circling, repeat passes; the four-city off-course divebomb set of 2026); KKR's CALSTAR and REACH Air; Kaiser Air; TVPX's NetJets/Flexjets (the Sechin-linked Bombardier re-registration); MCC's fleet; the 381-mph/1,700-foot jet pass; and the Oct. 28, 2026 U.S.-military inverted-L divebomb — the coordination layer the record carries as KKR-linked. Whom: the relator and his family at the home. When: 2016–Oct. 28, 2026, continuing. And the cross-border extension: Vo's stalking of the relator to Whistler, "leaving no safe place to go" (2025). [U — the relator's log and published complaint; V on the public-registration facts; the two-sample intent analysis the settled computations]

II.9 — 18 U.S.C. §§ 875 and 876 (interstate and international threats). *Who violated whom, when:* the same agencies' network — the death-threat channel of the decade: "stalked and threatened with death for a decade by SacPD/SacSheriff, Placer Sheriff/CHP, SacFire/Cal-Fire/USDA/USFS," with the KKR-linked companies joining (the timeline's own lines). Whom: the relator. When: 2016–2026, continuous. [U — dictated]

II.10 — 18 U.S.C. § 873 (blackmail). *Who violated whom, when:* UC Davis's Monica Steinhart — the 2005 extortion: the falsification demand refused, the physical attack, the ripped personal calendar, the property-or-false-campus-police-complaint demand, the withheld last check; and the GOP's Sacramento County DA channel — the 2014–2016 extortion: the no-contest plea to discredit himself or face the perjury-based prosecution. Whom: the relator. When: 2005; 2014–2016. [U — dictated; the settled register (E-1014/E-1015)]

II.11 — 18 U.S.C. § 641 (theft of government property). *Who violated whom, when:* the RICO-obstruction layer the record carries — the Janson Report (the property of the United States, delivered under camera Aug. 29, 2024 to USAO W.D. Wash. 663273 and Aug. 26, 2024 to DOS RFJ 663225) now entirely missing from the DOJ's files (zero pages per the Oct. 10, 2026 full-corpus sweep), together with the estimated million pages of Epstein evidence missing, of which the relator can account for an estimated third — the record's thesis: obstructed between the DOJ/DOS submission sites, Smith's team, Cannon, SDFL, Thomas, and/or GOP SCOTUS. Whom: the federal government (its evidence), the taxpayers, and the relator (his submissions). When: Aug. 2024–present. [V — the receipts and the sweep; U/RI — the obstruction thesis] And the funds layer: Scott Jones's $100 million COVID disappearance — funds meant to curb the COVID deaths in Sacramento County. Whom: the

government, the taxpayers, the county's COVID dead. [U — the record's line; never adjudicated]

II.12 — 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956–1957 (money laundering). *Who violated whom, when:* the Epstein financial network's laundering layer — the ~~$200K transferred into Roche's accounts via foreign banks (2003); the crypto layer (~~$18.5M into Coinbase and Blockstream, all bank wires, zero on-chain; the Hoffman and Ito channels; the Blockstream $50k→$500k allocation); the Kyara LLC chain; and the USVI AG investigation layer. Who: the network's operators and financiers. Whom: the governments and taxpayers (the proceeds of the predicates), and the victims whose compensation the laundering strands. When: 2003–present. [V on the corpus anchors; RI on the characterization]

II.13 — 26 U.S.C. § 7214(a)(3) and (a)(8) (offenses by officers and employees: intent to defeat the title; failure to report fraud). *Who violated whom, when:* Blanche — while acting Attorney General, the IRS deal promising no investigation of the President's and his family's tax returns, the thing of value delivered by the Department to the appointer before the oath was even taken — with the revenue officers who accepted and implemented the arrangement. Whom: the Treasury, the title's enforcement, and the taxpayers. When: 2025–2026 per the record. [V as reported (UPI); RI on the thing-of-value characterization; allegations remain allegations]

II.14 — 18 U.S.C. § 1385 (the Posse Comitatus Act). *Who violated whom, when:* the U.S. military aircrew that executed the inverted-L divebomb over the relator's Rocklin home on Oct. 28, 2026 — the record carries the aircraft, the form, and the date; the order-chain unnamed, honestly so. Whom: the relator and his family under the flightpath — and the statute's own subject: the civil order the clause draws against military force turned on the civilian. When: Oct. 28, 2026. [U — dictated, the log record]

II.15 — 22 U.S.C. § 611 et seq. (FARA) and 18 U.S.C. § 951 (unregistered foreign agents). *Who violated whom, when:* Manafort (the foreign-agent record of the 2016 campaign); the GRU/oligarch proxies (Milner's layer; the "engineer" channel's principals); the unregistered foreign-agent set of §4's reaches (Fomitchev's Alfa-Bank approach, Apr. 2016; the post-2016 Martin approach). Whom: the government's disclosure regime and the voters' informed choice. When: 2014–2018; the reaches 2014–2016. [V on Manafort's record; V-web/U on the reaches; RI on the extensions]

II.16 — 52 U.S.C. § 30121 (foreign contributions and expenditures). *Who violated whom, when:* the foreign-money channels of the 2016–2026 elections — the grift and self-dealing ledgers (the Trump grift research), the Epstein-linked billionaire financing thesis (the campaign-finance research), and the inaugural-committee bridges (Kravis's $1M, FEC-reported). Who: the foreign principals and their conduits. Whom: the elections' integrity, the voters. When: 2016–2026. [S/U — the settled research; RI on the linkage]

II.17 — 18 U.S.C. § 245(b); 52 U.S.C. §§ 10101(b) and 10307(b) (voter intimidation and interference with the franchise). *Who violated whom, when:* DHS/ICE (the NAACP v. DHS allegations, Sept. 18, 2026 — armed agents, the "Unlawful Voter Initiative," the Minneapolis record), plus the tool-43 threats register (the troops-at-polls threats, the machine-and-ballot seizure threats, the no-future-elections threats). Whom: the voters, and through the franchise, the whole governed class. When: 2024–2026. [V — complaint allegations; RI — dictated on the register]

II.18 — 18 U.S.C. § 3771 (the Crime Victims' Rights Act). *Who violated whom, when:* DOJ/FBI in the releases — leaving Marina Lacerda unredacted 46 times in the Jan. 2026 release, per the March 2025 FBI whistleblower complaint, against her § 3771(a)(1), (3), (4) rights (protection from interference, notice, privacy) — and against the relator's own victim posture, whose crimes' files are the very files concealed, his victim recognition never accorded. When: the Jan. 2026 release; the concealment continuing. [S — the complaint record; U — the relator's; V on the statute]

II.19 — 18 U.S.C. § 1513(e) (retaliation — the aggravated specification). The aggravated-retaliation

specification within tool 38's record: retaliation against a person for providing information to a law-enforcement officer, causing or threatening serious bodily injury — the Sept. 21, 2014 torture of the relator who had provided the qui tam's information implicating SacPD, and the decade's death threats. Who: the agency network and the conspirator set. Whom: the relator. When: Sept. 21, 2014–2026. [U — dictated; RI on the specification]

II.20 — The carried-toolbox compact set (the full per-tool records at §1; one line each).

- **§§ 3–4** (accessory after the fact; misprision) — Cannon (the seals maintained, Jan. 21, 2025 and Feb. 23, 2026), Blanche's DOJ (the Aug. 24, 2026 protective appeal), the GOP SCOTUS/Cannon chain — against the government, the relator, and the concealed record's victims (§1, tool 34).
- **§ 371** (conspiracy to defraud the United States) — the Georgia indictment set (as charged, Aug. 14, 2023); the Boasberg pretext findings (Mar.–Apr. 2026); the dictated class (§1, tool 35).
- **§§ 1961–1968** (RICO) — the wheel this whole Introduction marshals; the Georgia RICO count; the retribution pattern (§1, tool 36; §3).
- **§§ 1503, 1505** (obstruction) — Mueller Volume II's episodes; the § 1512 counts interrupted July 15, 2024; Boasberg's Apr. 16, 2025 contempt finding (§1, tool 37).
- **§§ 1512–1513** (tampering; retaliation) — the NAACP allegations; the relator's stalking-and-threats record 2023–2026; Medetis Long's Apr. 2026 removal (§1, tool 38).
- **§§ 1621, 1623** (perjury) — the SacPD patterned perjury of Sept. 20–21, 2014 (MVARS-refuted) against the relator; the *Phang* sworn-declaration staging (§1, tool 39). [U; V respectively]
- **§ 1001** (false statements) — the same MVARS-refuted statements; the Comey and Nauta/De Oliveira counts; the oaths as the false-statement binders (§1, tool 40).
- **§§ 1341, 1343, 1346** (fraud; honest services) — the adjudicated hush-money concealment (May 30, 2024, with Cohen's plea); the dictated internet-use application (§1, tool 41).
- **§§ 1951, 1952** (Hobbs; Travel Act) — the Steinhart and DA extortions (II.10's who/whom/when); the *Citizens United*/Bossie extension (§1, tool 42).
- **§§ 600, 610** (election bribery and intimidation) — the NAACP allegations; the tool-43 register (§1, tool 43).
- **§ 201** (bribery) — Thomas with Crow (1991–2023); Alito with Singer (2008); Gorsuch with Duffy (Apr. 16, 2017); Trump with Qatar (May 2025); Blanche with the appointer (the IRS deal) — against the government and the taxpayers (§1, tool 11).
- **§ 872** (extortion under color) — DHS/ICE per the allegations; the dictated threats-to-stop record against the relator (§1, tool 12).
- **§ 912** (falsely acting as an officer) — the de facto thesis's own statute; the voided installs' occupants (§1, tool 13).
- **§ 953** (Logan Act) — Giuliani's 2019 Ukraine freelancing with Parnas and Fruman (§1, tool 44).
- **§ 666** (federal-funds bribery) — the KKR/GMR hub's ~$1.66B contracts table (§1, tool 45).
- **§ 1071** (harboring a fugitive) — the harbor layer: the conspiracy as the harbor, each concealment an overt act (§1, tool 46).

- **§ 1924** (unauthorized retention of classified) — the NSCOU three-documents record; the Signal transmission (§1, tool 47).
- **§§ 793–798** (the espionage set) — the Mar-a-Lago indictment's 31 § 793(e) counts, interrupted July 15, 2024 (§1, tool 48).
- **§§ 241–242** (conspiracies against rights; color-of-law deprivations) — the retribution pattern; the relator's C1/C3/C5 record (§1, tool 49; Part III's civil counterparts).
- **§ 2071** (concealment of records) — the Mar-a-Lago charges; the missing Janson Report against the DOJ custodians (§1, tool 10).
- **§§ 2381–2385** (treason through advocacy) — the chain of §4: Chennault (1968); Iran-Contra (1985–92); Trump–Russia (2015–present); Jan. 6 and the BRD record of Smith et al. (§1, tools 5–9).
- **§ 401** (contempt) — Boasberg (Apr. 16, 2025); Sullivan's warnings; the Cannon/Eleventh Circuit/SCOTUS non-production frame the dictated record carries (§1, tool 27).
- **44 U.S.C. §§ 3106, 3314** (records) — the missing Janson Report; the EFTA noncompliance; the Wyden FD-302 disclosure (§1, tool 50).
- **52 U.S.C. § 20511** (election records) — the SAVE directives held void; the NAACP allegations (§1, tool 51).
- **5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 7311, 208–209; 50 U.S.C. § 843; 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, 455, 546; 5 U.S.C. § 3348** — the oath, loyalty, financial-interest, internal-security, judicial-discipline, disqualification, appointments, and FVRA-voiding provisions: the office-side tools, their violation records carried at §1, tools 14–17 and 20–24.
- **31 U.S.C. § 3730** (qui tam; § 3730(h) retaliation) — the obstruction of the relator's 2013 qui tam and the concealment of the 2024 deliveries; *Polansky* and *Zafirov* as the attacks on the device (§1, tool 32; Part III's remedies entry).

Part III — United States federal civil rights, whistleblower, records, and doctrinal provisions

III.1 — 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (deprivation under color of state law). *Who violated whom, when:* the state-actor chain — SacPD and the Sacramento Sheriff/DA channel (the Sept. 20–21, 2014 stop, torture, and seizure; the 2014–2016 perjured prosecution), UC Davis (the 2005 Steinhart extortion and the RICO obstruction of his police report), and the agencies of the 2016–2026 stalking decade — each act under color of the state's law, against the relator's Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights. When: 2005–2026. Cross-ref. §1, tool 49. [U — dictated]

III.2 — 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) (private conspiracy to deprive rights). *Who violated whom, when:* the wheel itself — the Roche/Kelly spoke (2002), the Wildenstein-referred attorney spoke (2002–2004), the Rudy/UCSF/SEIU/East Bay Express/media spoke (2004 forward), the law-enforcement spoke (2014–2016), the platform spoke (2004–2026), the stalking spoke (2016–2026) — meeting in the one hub §3 carries. Whom: the relator, a person classed for First Amendment-protected activity. When: 2002–present. Honest note: the class-based-animus element is argued on the record's own line — the Sept. 20, 2014 deprivation "based on male gender and country of origin" ("Canada" heard on the MVARS) — not adjudicated. [U/RI — per §1, tool 49]

III.3 — 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h) (FCA retaliation) and its remedies. *Who violated whom, when:* the

employer-conspirator set — Kelly/Roche (2002), Jackson Laboratory (2004), UC Davis (2005), HSBC/HRB (2006–2010), University of Phoenix (2014) — each an adverse action because of the relator's protected qui tam activity; and the third-party reach: family members and close associates harmed for the relator's sake (the *Thompson v. North American Stainless*, 562 U.S. 170 (2011), doctrine the record carries — the wife-recruitment reaches of 2011 and 2013; the brother channel; the father channel). Whom: the relator and his family. When: 2002–2016; the third-party layer 2011–2026. The remedies the provision supplies — reinstatement, two times back pay plus interest, and special damages including litigation costs and reasonable attorney's fees — are the measure this register's entries carry. [U — dictated; V on Thompson per the Oct. 28, 2026 citation correction]

III.4 — 18 U.S.C. § 1595 (the trafficking civil remedy). The civil action for the chapter 77 violations at II.1–II.5: whoever knowingly benefits from participation in a venture that engaged in the trafficking — the financing and institutional layers of the experiments record — liable to the victims for damages and attorney's fees. Whom: the children's estates and families; on the record's frame, the relator. [V on the statute; RI on the application]

III.5 — Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) (suppression of favorable evidence). *Who violated whom, when:* the Sacramento prosecution channel — the SacPD/SacSheriff/DA cast that denied the torture Brady (the video and the witnesses of the Sept. 21, 2014 event), deleted the MVARS tracks and minutes, and withheld Dailey's Giglio-Brady record — against the relator as the defendant denied the evidence of his innocence. When: Sept. 2014–2016. And the modern layer: the published Brady-by-design characterization of the prosecutions of the investigators — "without access to that Brady evidence … That Brady violation is not incidental; it is by design" (the UPR record, Oct. 2026). [U — dictated/published; V on the duty]

III.6 — Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972) (impeachment evidence). *Who violated whom, when:* the same channel — the officers' patterned-perjury record as the impeachment material the prosecution owed and withheld, and Dailey's two-to-three Giglio-Brady accusations never disclosed — against the relator's right to impeach the witnesses against him. When: 2014–2016. [U — dictated]

III.7 — Napue v. Illinois, 360 U.S. 264 (1959) (knowing use of false testimony). *Who violated whom, when:* the prosecution founded on the officers' perjured statements — used knowingly, the MVARS record refuting them wholesale — against the relator's right to a conviction not built on false testimony. When: 2014–2016. [U — dictated]

III.8 — Boykin v. Alabama, 395 U.S. 238 (1969), and Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742 (1970) (the plea's voluntariness). *Who violated whom, when:* the extorted no-contest plea — entered at the DA channel's threat of perjury-based prosecution, without the Brady/Giglio the defense was owed, with the fabricated-attorney interference — the record's own words: "the coerced/blackmailed/extorted plea deal using criminal threat thereafter" (§1, tool 33). Who: the DA channel and the accepting court. Whom: the relator. When: 2014–2016. The plea's knowing-and-voluntary predicate absent, the conviction is the voiding chain's object (§1, tool 40). [U — dictated]

III.9 — Entrapment as a matter of law: Sorrells v. United States, 287 U.S. 435 (1932), and Jacobson v. United States, 503 U.S. 540 (1992). *Who violated whom, when:* the government-created offense of Sept. 20, 2014 — the guest-room offer denied at the celebration's end, the staged drinking with the Sac Deputy, the arrest by the agency implicated a week earlier, the skewed roadside test — the record's "2014–2016 entrapment" frame: the criminal design the government's agents implanted, the disposition the record does not carry. Who: the entrapment set (Skeeters, Carrozzo, the SacPD arresting agency). Whom: the relator. When: Sept. 20, 2014. [U — dictated; RI on the doctrine's fit]

III.10 — Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 237 (1944) (fraud on the court). *Who violated whom, when:* the depositions obtained by legal fraud — the record's own words:

"depositions obtained by legal fraud and coercion linked to EFTA's Wildensteins and Hoffmanns" (§1, tool 46) — procured through the Wildenstein-referred attorney chain (Pedroza→Rudy), the kidnapping and the coerced "hush money" settlement its instruments. Who: the chain and its procuring set. Whom: the relator, and the court that was deceived. When: 2002–2004. The doctrine's remedy — the tainted judgment set aside — is the voiding family's civil ancestor. [U — dictated; V on the doctrine]

III.11 — FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552; the APA, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701–706; 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv) (records access; unlawfully withheld action). *Who violated whom, when:* DOJ and its components — the withheld Epstein files (~3.5M of ~6M pages per Sullivan's record; Zorro Ranch's ~13,000 appearances against ~31 pages to New Mexico; the constructive-denial expedition Kollar-Kotelly ordered Sept. 30, 2026) — against the public, the states (New Mexico's suit, Aug. 5, 2026), and the relator, whose own submissions sit inside the withheld set. When: Dec. 2025–present. [V — the dockets as reported]

III.12 — The Epstein Files Transparency Act, H.R. 4405 (the violated statute itself). *Who violated whom, when:* DOJ under Blanche — the missed Dec. 19, 2025 Federal Register deadline; the unexplained redactions; the Jan. 30, 2026 denials; the Oct. 28, 2026 classified-material contradiction; the § 2(c)(2) log's no-entry — against the public, the victims, and the Congress that passed the Act and now demands it enforced (the House Democrats' 53-page report, Oct. 26, 2026; the 17-AG coalition letter, Oct. 15–16, 2026). When: Dec. 19, 2025–present. [V as reported]

III.13 — Executive Order 13526 § 1.7 (Dec. 29, 2009) (classification may not conceal violations of law). *Who violated whom, when:* the classification authorities who left three documents "identified that remain classified" (the see-through FBI document; "Epstein Transparency Project - Classified Material"; the two CDs) — the concealment use § 1.7 expressly prohibits — against the public and every victim the concealment strands. When: the NSCOU record, 2025–2026. Cross-ref. §§7.5–7.6. [V as reported; RI on the application]

III.14 — 45 C.F.R. Part 46 (the Common Rule, including subpart D — children) and 21 C.F.R. §§ 50 and 56 (FDA human-subjects protections). *Who violated whom, when:* the Roche/CHORI experiment operators and the institutions' review layers — the 2002–2004 experiments on children without the consent, the IRB review, and the subpart D child-protections the regulations command — the experiments FDA's own G. Brown confirmed illegal when the relator brought the evidence (2003–2004), the investigation then RICO-obstructed at FDA. Whom: the children (the subjects), and the relator (consentlessly deployed into the lethal operations as the blood-fetching labor). When: 2002–2004; the FDA obstruction 2003–2004. [U — dictated; V on the regulations]

III.15 — The voiding doctrines — *Norton*, *Ryder*, *Lucia*, *Arthrex*, FVRA § 3348(d). Carried in full at §1, tools 23–25 and the real-time demonstrations (Lake, Halligan, Chattah): the de facto officer's acts void from conception. *Who → whom*: the void hands → every person governed by their acts, the relator included. When: the demonstrations 2025–2026; the doctrine since 1886. [V]

III.16 — The presumption of regularity — United States v. Chemical Foundation, Inc., 272 U.S. 1, 14–15 (1926), stripped in real time. *Who violated whom, when:* the executive (Blanche's DOJ) whose explanations failed Sullivan's proof-not-representation demand (Apr.–Oct. 2026) — the presumption an evidence-assumption the government forfeited, against the courts and the public entitled to the regularity it presumes. Cross-ref. §3's Sullivan record. [V as reported]

Part IV — California

The record's situs is California: the 2002–2004 Alameda/Oakland experiments; the Sacramento employments; the Sacramento entrapment; the Rocklin home. The state's own constitution, codes, and

doctrines carry the state-side of every federal entry above — none of them previously specified on this canvas.

IV.1 — Cal. Const. art. I, § 1 (privacy). *Who violated whom, when:* the stalking decade's agencies (the aerial campaign over the Rocklin home, 2016–2026) and the surveillance layer (the Stingray/mobile-data access); and Kaiser's Sperling/Kingstone record — the fabricated 10/15/2026 "appointment" (disproved by member services Nov. 2, 2026), the falsified medical records — against the relator's informational and locational privacy. When: 2016–2026. [U — dictated/log]

IV.2 — Cal. Const. art. I, § 2 (speech and petition). *Who violated whom, when:* the state actors who punished the relator's petitions — the Cal-OSHA channel (the Oct. 10–11, 2002 complaint answered by the "ongoing conspiracy to retaliate" the timeline records); the state and federal agencies financing Jackson Lab that RICO-obstructed his 2004 whistleblowing; the Schwarzenegger-office contact RICO-obstructed (2005); the England/DA-channel obstruction (2013–2016) — against the relator's state-constitutional right to petition for redress. When: 2002–2016. [U — dictated]

IV.3 — Cal. Const. art. I, § 7 (due process and equal protection); §§ 13 and 15 (the criminal-process floor). The state constitution's mirror of I.9–I.10: the same SacPD/DA-channel conduct measured against California's own text — the unlawful stop (§ 13), the perjured prosecution and extorted plea (§ 7), the selective enforcement the record's "EXCLUSIVELY to GOP judges and GOP prosecutors" line carries. Who/whom/when as I.9–I.10. [U]

IV.4 — Cal. Const. art. I, § 28(b) (Marsy's Law — the victims' rights). *Who violated whom, when:* the agencies and courts that left the relator's victim rights unenforced — the torture event's video and witnesses never produced (2014–2016), the stalking decade's threats never prosecuted (2016–2026) — against his § 28(b) rights to notice, protection, and restitution, the state layer of §7.8.4's CVRA overlay (the seal cannot silence a victim's rights). When: 2014–present. [V — the constitutional text; RI/U on the application]

IV.5 — Cal. Penal Code § 187 (murder). The state-side specification of II.5: the child's death in the Alameda/Oakland experiments — the situs making California's code the charging provision alongside the federal § 1111. Who/whom/when as II.5. [U — dictated/published; never adjudicated]

IV.6 — Cal. Penal Code § 236.1 (human trafficking). The state-side specification of II.1: the children trafficked into the experiments — California's own trafficking code reaching the same conduct the federal chapter 77 carries. Who/whom/when as II.1. When: 2002–2004. [U]

IV.7 — Cal. Penal Code § 273a (child endangerment). *Who violated whom, when:* the experiment operators whose lethal conditions endangered the CHORI children beyond the one killed — the record's "killed and/or endangered CHORI kids" — the endangerment count alongside the murder count. Who/whom/when as II.1. [U]

IV.8 — Cal. Penal Code § 422 (criminal threats) and § 646.9 (stalking). The state-side specifications of II.8–II.9: the decade's death threats and the ~1,000-incident pattern against one home — the state provisions reaching the same course of conduct the federal stalking statute carries, the California situs (Sacramento, Rocklin/Placer) giving the state concurrent jurisdiction. Who/whom/when as II.8–II.9. [U]

IV.9 — Cal. Penal Code § 136.1 (dissuading a witness or victim). *Who violated whom, when:* the agencies and conspirator set that threatened the relator to stop his whistleblowing — §1 tool 12's dictated line ("the complex threats to stop him from whistleblowing and reporting disqualifying crimes to the government") — and the 2014 entrapment-seizure's design: the qui tam's San Francisco filing never made because the entrapping channel stopped the witness. Who: the agency network and the conspirator set. Whom: the relator, the witness to the whole record. When: 2013–present. [U —

dictated]

IV.10 — Cal. Penal Code § 118 (perjury) and § 141 (falsifying or planting evidence). *Who violated whom, when:* the SacPD officers whose patterned perjury the MVARS record refutes, and the channel that tainted the MVARS evidence (the deleted audio/video tracks, the deleted minutes before the stop) — against the relator and the courts; and the same falsification family's modern member: Kaiser's fabricated appointment and falsified records (Sperling/Kingstone, 2026). When: Sept. 2014–Nov. 2026. [U]

IV.11 — Cal. Penal Code § 182 (conspiracy). The state-side wheel: the Roche/Kelly/Sacramento spokes meeting in the one hub — California's conspiracy code the state charge for the conduct §3's federal RICO frame carries. Who/whom/when as III.2. [U/RI]

IV.12 — Cal. Penal Code § 502 (computer crime). *Who violated whom, when:* the attackers of Oct. 20, 2013 (the Russian Federation, per the record — the investigation built in California) and the UOP-period cyberattacks that drove the relator out (2013–2016) — against his data, devices, and work. When: Oct. 2013; 2013–2016. [U]

IV.13 — Cal. Penal Code § 11164 et seq. (CANRA — the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act). *Who violated whom, when:* the mandated reporters within the CHORI/UCSF/UC Davis settings — the nurses, physicians, and researchers with knowledge or reasonable suspicion of the child abuse the experiments inflicted — whose required reports were never made, keeping the record sealed inside the institutions until the relator's own complaints. Whom: the children. When: 2002–2004 and after. Honest note: CANRA's application to research-setting personnel is the argument here, carried as the record's implied layer. [U — the record's implied layer]

IV.14 — Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 24170–24179.5 (the Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act), with § 24172 (the Experimental Subject's Bill of Rights) and § 24173 (informed consent). *Who violated whom, when:* the experiment operators — the children experimented on without consent, without the Bill of Rights the statute commands be given, and to death — against California's own human-subjects statute, the state layer beneath the federal Common Rule (III.14). Who/whom/when as II.1. When: 2002–2004. [U — dictated; V on the statute]

IV.15 — Cal. Health & Safety Code § 1278.5 (health-facility whistleblower protection). *Who violated whom, when:* Kelly/Roche/CHORI and their successor operators — the relator's complaints about the child's death and the lethal conditions being the exact protected activity, answered by the 2002 firing, the 2003–2004 retaliation, and the institutional concealment (the UCSF-Benioff takeover's replacement-and-dispersal record, 2014–2025) — against the relator, the facility whistleblower, and the patients his complaints were meant to protect. When: 2002–present. [U — dictated; V on the affiliation dates]

IV.16 — Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 123110–123111 (patient access to records). *Who violated whom, when:* Kaiser — the repeated denials of the relator's records requests, the records that would prove the falsifications (the grievance record against Sperling and Kingstone) — against the relator as the patient denied his own record. When: 2026. [U — dictated/log]

IV.17 — Cal. Civ. Code §§ 44–46 (defamation). *Who violated whom, when:* the July 21, 2004 East Bay Express article's deployers (Rudy, UCSF, SEIU, East Bay Express, Revelation Studios, per the timeline's conspiracy line) — the career-ending article published and distributed around the Bay Area; the Edge-participant platforms that spread it online (Microsoft, Google, Yahoo — 2004 forward); Kaiser's preemptive-defamation falsifications and the smears (2023–2026) — against the relator and his business, the career the record carries as destroyed. When: July 21, 2004–present. [V on the article; U on the deployment framing]

IV.18 — Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1 (the Bane Act — interference with rights by threat or violence). *Who violated whom, when:* the threats-and-violence pattern used to stop the protected activity — the 2005 Steinhart attack, the 2014–2016 entrapment-torture-prosecution, the 2016–2026 stalking-and-death-threat decade — against the relator's federal and state constitutional rights, interfered with by the intimidation the Act reaches. Who/whom/when as the layers cited. When: 2005–present. [U — dictated]

IV.19 — Cal. Civ. Code § 1708.7 (civil stalking). *Who violated whom, when:* the same operators as II.8 — the pattern (two or more acts) with no legitimate purpose, alarming a reasonable person, the ~1,000-incident aerial log the pattern evidence, and the statute's civil penalties and damages the remedy layer. Who/whom/when as II.8. [U; V on the statute]

IV.20 — Cal. Civ. Code § 56.10 et seq. (CMIA — medical information confidentiality). *Who violated whom, when:* Kaiser's Sperling and Kingstone — the fabricated 10/15/2026 appointment manufacturing and using medical information without authorization, the falsified records the grievances carry — against the relator's medical-information privacy. When: Oct.–Nov. 2026. [U — dictated/log]

IV.21 — Cal. Lab. Code § 1102.5 (whistleblower retaliation); § 98.6 (wage-claim retaliation); § 6310 (health-and-safety retaliation). *Who violated whom, when:* the employers — Kelly/Roche (2002: the internal complaints and the Cal-OSHA petition, the "seriously" citations the state itself issued); Jackson Laboratory (2004: the whistleblowing to Bunker, the seized manual and firing); UC Davis (2005: the refusal to falsify, the same-day wrongful termination); the record's 2014 UOP termination (per the State of California, per the timeline's line) — each a retaliation for protected disclosures under California's three whistleblower statutes. Whom: the relator. When: 2002, 2004, 2005, 2014. [U — dictated; V on the citations' existence]

IV.22 — Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201–203 (final wages; waiting-time penalties). *Who violated whom, when:* UC Davis — the withheld last check, the extortion term of the 2005 Steinhart encounter — against the relator's earned wages and the statute's penalty layer. When: 2005. [U — dictated]

IV.23 — Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1050–1054 (blacklisting). *Who violated whom, when:* the employer-media blacklisting channel — the record's "significantly defamed and blacklisted from all gainful employment" (2005–2007); Trump's personal blacklisting at KCRA (2007); the Sacramento channel that forced the poorly-paid UC Davis role (2005) — misrepresentation to prevent employment, § 1050's misdemeanor, with § 1054's treble damages the remedy. Who: the channel's operators the record names and classes. Whom: the relator, and his family's support. When: 2004–2016. [U — dictated]

IV.24 — Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12650–12656 (the California False Claims Act), including § 12651 (liability) and § 12653 (retaliation). *Who violated whom, when:* the defrauding entities — the Roche/Kelly/CHORI/UCSF taxpayer-funds conspiracy (2002–2004: "massive ongoing government fraud conspiracy" in the timeline's words); Jones's $100 million COVID disappearance (the Appendix A line) — against the State of California and its taxpayers; and § 12653's retaliation layer: the same terminations IV.21 carries, with the state remedies (reinstatement, two times back pay plus interest, special damages, and civil penalties). When: 2002–present. [U — dictated; V on the statute]

IV.25 — Cal. Gov't Code § 12940 et seq. (FEHA — harassment, discrimination, retaliation). *Who violated whom, when:* the employers whose adverse-employment conduct the record carries with a class basis — the 2002 "German honeypot" adverse conduct and firing; the HSBC/HRB demotion-and-pay-drop pattern (2006–2010); the record's Sept. 20, 2014 nexus line ("based on male gender and country of origin") as the class-based thread — against the relator's FEHA rights. When: 2002–2016. [U — dictated; the class basis argued on the record's own line]

IV.26 — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 6106 (attorney moral turpitude). *Who violated whom, when:* the defrauding-attorney chain — Rudy (the frauds on the relator, 2002–2004), Whitworth (the falsified attorney-of-record status, 2014–2016) — conduct involving moral turpitude in the state-bar channel §1 tool 28 carries (with Giuliani and Eastman as the channel's adjudicated examples) — against the relator, the courts, and the profession. When: 2002–2016. [U — dictated; V on the Giuliani/Eastman record]

IV.27 — Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 170.1 (judicial disqualification). *Who violated whom, when:* the state-side mirror of §1 tool 21 — the Morrison England layer: the relator approached Dailey to reach the federal judge England with his qui tam; the entrapment came instead; and England then RICO-obstructed California's access to Trump's finances (the timeline's line) — the conflict-concealed adjudicator against the litigant entitled to the disqualification inquiry. Whom: the relator, and the State of California in the obstructed access. When: 2013–2016 and after. [U — dictated]

IV.28 — Cal. Code Civ. Proc. §§ 338(d) and 352 (fraud-based tolling; disability tolling). Carried at §3: fraudulent concealment tolls the clock against the concealed wrong, and the wrongdoer is estopped from pleading the limitation his own fraud kept alive — the provision that keeps Part IV's remedies alive against the 2002–2005 events the conspiracy concealed. Cross-ref. §3's perpetuity doctrine. [RI — the application; V on the doctrine]

Part V — International and human-rights provisions

The victim class the relator defines is not only American: the class's "their children" includes the children the syndicate trafficked across borders; "their troops" includes the Commonwealth's; the family's reaches are Canadian and UK-royal-linked (the record's Tofino, Whistler, and royals channels). Each instrument's binding status on the United States is carried honestly — ratified, ratified with declarations, signed only, or neither — and the entries specify who violated whom and when on the same anchors as Parts I–IV.

V.1 — The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) — arts. 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 19, 25. The Declaration is not a treaty (honest note: the UDHR binds as the interpretive floor of the ICCPR's text and customary law's measure, not as a self-executing cause of action). Its articles map the record: art. 3 (life, liberty, security) and art. 5 (no torture) — the Sept. 21, 2014 custodial torture (II.9(b)); art. 12 (no arbitrary interference with privacy) — the stalking decade; art. 19 (expression) — the retaliation continuum (I.8(a)); arts. 7, 8, 10, 11 (equal protection; effective remedy; fair trial; presumption of innocence) — the perjured prosecution, the obstructed channels, the extorted plea; art. 25 — the destruction of the family's livelihood. Who/whom/when as the corresponding Parts I–IV entries. [V on the text; U/RI on the application]

V.2 — The ICCPR — arts. 2(3), 6, 7, 9, 14, 17, 19, 24, 25. *Status:* ratified by the United States in 1992, with the declaration that the Covenant is not self-executing (honest note: the domestic vehicle is the domestic law of Parts I–IV; the Covenant is the measure, not the writ). *Who violated whom, when:* art. 7 (torture; CIDT) — the SacPD officers of Sept. 21, 2014 (II.9(b)); art. 9 (liberty; no arbitrary detention) — the same events; art. 14 (fair trial: the presumption, the compelled plea, the withheld exculpation) — the 2014–2016 prosecution channel (III.5–III.8); art. 17 (privacy) — the surveillance layer (II.7); art. 19 (expression) — the retaliation continuum; art. 24 (children's protection) — the trafficked and experimented-on children (II.1–II.5); art. 25 (participation in genuine elections) — the engineering chain (I.14); art. 2(3) — the state's duty of an effective remedy, the exact duty the record carries as obstructed (the qui tam, the judicial councils, the impeachment, the seals). When: 2002–present, per the mapped entries. [V on the text and status; U/RI on the application]

V.3 — The Convention Against Torture — arts. 1–2 and 16. *Status:* ratified 1994, with reservations (honest note: the US reservation ties "torture" to the 18 U.S.C. §§ 2340–2340A definition; art. 16's ill-treatment reach is the broader obligation). *Who violated whom, when:* the Sept. 21, 2014 custodial torture — the bound-and-beaten event with the execution debate — art. 1's conduct; the decade's threats as art. 16's severe-treatment layer; and the state duty arts. 12–13 command — prompt and impartial investigation wherever there is reasonable ground — the duty the Brady denials and the non-prosecution of the decade's threats leave unperformed. Who: the SacPD officers; the state for the non-investigation. Whom: the relator. When: Sept. 21, 2014; 2016–2026; the non-investigation continuing. [V on the text; U on the conduct]

V.4 — The Convention on the Rights of the Child — arts. 6, 19, 32, 34, 35, 36, 39. *Status:* signed by the United States Feb. 16, 1995; never ratified (honest note: a signatory bears VCLT art. 18's obligation not to defeat the treaty's object and purpose before ratification — a good-faith standard, not an enforceable rights regime; the OPSC below is the binding instrument the United States chose). *Who violated whom, when:* the experiments and the trafficking — art. 6 (the child's survival and development: the death); art. 19 (protection from violence, injury, abuse: the lethal experiments); art. 32 (economic exploitation, hazardous work: the blood-fetching labor); art. 34 (sexual exploitation: the network's trafficking); art. 35 (abduction and trafficking: the trafficking into the experiments); art. 39 (recovery and reintegration: the remedy the concealment denies). Who: the experiment operators (2002–2004) and the network (its era). Whom: the children. [V on the text and status; U on the conduct]

V.5 — The Optional Protocol on the sale of children, child prostitution and child sexual exploitation (2000) — arts. 1, 3, 8–9. *Status:* ratified by the United States May 23, 2002 — binding; the strongest child-protection instrument in the American set. *Who violated whom, when:* the sale and trafficking of children into the experiments (2002–2004) and the network's sexual exploitation (the Florida era, 2005–2008) — art. 1's prohibited acts, art. 3's criminalization duty; and the obstruction of the files obstructs arts. 8–9's protection-of-victims machinery (the Lacerda unredaction; the missing million pages). Who: the sellers, traffickers, and concealers. Whom: the children. When: 2002–2008; the concealment to the present. [V on the text and ratification; U/RI on the application]

V.6 — The Palermo Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and its Trafficking Protocol — arts. 2–5. *Status:* the Trafficking Protocol ratified by the United States Dec. 2005; the parent Convention signed but unratified (honest note: the Protocol's obligations bind; the Convention's cooperation machinery is the signed-only layer). *Who violated whom, when:* the network's trafficking as the paradigm of transnational organized crime the Protocol was written for — arts. 2–5's criminalization, victim-protection, and cooperation duties engaged by the record's trafficking-and-concealment set. Who: the network and its financiers; the concealers. Whom: the children, and the states whose cooperation the Protocol commands. When: the trafficking era; the obstruction 2024–present. [V on the text and status; RI on the application]

V.7 — The Trafficking Victims Protection Act, 22 U.S.C. § 7101 et seq. The domestic implementing statute: the victims' remedies (§ 1595's civil action, III.4) and the government's anti-trafficking apparatus — the apparatus whose obstruction the record carries (the files, the seals, the missing pages). Who/whom/when as V.5–V.6. [V on the statute]

V.8 — The Nuremberg Code (1947), principles 1–7, and the Declaration of Helsinki (1964, rev. 2013). *Who violated whom, when:* the 2002–2004 experiments against the codes the world wrote after the doctors' trials: principle 1 (voluntary consent "absolutely essential" — the children's consent never obtained); the lethal-results and suffering-avoidance principles (the excessive bleedings; the death). Who: the experiment operators. Whom: the children. When: 2002–2004. Honest note: the codes are the professional-law layer that the federal Common Rule and California's § 24170 et seq. codified (III.14,

IV.14) — the register's statutory entries are the enforceable form. [V on the codes; U on the conduct]

V.9 — The European Convention on Human Rights — arts. 2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 13, and Rantsev v. Cyprus (ECtHR, 2010). *Status and honest applicability note:* the Convention binds the United Kingdom (the Human Rights Act 1998), not the United States; the entries carry the rights of the class's UK/Commonwealth members and the UK's own duties — the record's UK layer (Charles III's reaches; the Tofino-tribe visit before the 2025 luring; the sharing-a-victim implication with Andrew, never adjudicated; the record's line that "his government has also refused the relator justice"). *Who violated whom, when:* art. 4's prohibition of servitude and *Rantsev*'s positive obligation — the state duty to investigate trafficking within its jurisdiction, the duty the Epstein-files obstruction leaves unperformed by every state party that holds the evidence; arts. 2, 3, 8, 10, 13 — the mapped rights of the trafficking's victims and the relator's family reaches. When: the trafficking era; the refusals to the present. [V on the text; U/RI on the record's layer]

V.10 — The Canadian layer — Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-46, § 380 (fraud), § 346 (extortion), § 465 (conspiracy). *Who violated whom, when:* the cross-border scheme the record carries — the Tofino franchise's falsified financial records and abuses (2025); the Taxes for Expats abandonment (the 15-day turnaround dragged half a year); the XTM/EAM/AnyDay/EveryDay/CIBC entrapment set — reported by the relator to FINCEN, the IRS, the FTB, and Canada (2025). Who: the scheme's operators. Whom: the relator (the scheme's target). When: 2025. Honest note: the Canadian state-action layer is not carried by the record — the entries are the private-crime provisions of the Canadian code, the cross-border mirror of II.10. [U — dictated]

V.11 — The Rome Statute, art. 7 (crimes against humanity) — conditional. The record's own conditional frame: the murder, enslavement, and trafficking of children as part of a widespread-or-systematic attack on a civilian population — with the ICC's own Putin warrant (Mar. 2023, the Ukraine-child-deportation charges the record's line carries) as the standing demonstration that the trafficking of children is the Court's subject. *Status and honest jurisdiction note:* the United States is not a state party; the Statute reaches the conduct only through others' situs (the Putin warrant) or referral, and the US-national jurisdiction barriers are the honest limit — the entry is carried [RI], conditional on the thesis, never adjudicated. Who/whom/when as the mapped entries. [RI — conditional; V on the warrant's existence]

Part VI — The common-law rights (contract, tort, and natural justice)

VI.1 — Fraud in the inducement and in the execution. Carried at §2 and §1 tool 46: the Wildenstein-referred attorney chain's frauds (2002–2004), the oaths as the agreements fraudulently induced and breached. Who: the chain; the oath-breaching officers. Whom: the relator; the government. When: 2002–present. [U/RI]

VI.2 — United States v. Throckmorton (1878) — fraud vitiates everything. Appendix B's first entry: no fraud-obtained agreement or judgment enforceable — the doctrine that undoes the coerced settlement (2003–2004), the voided installs (2025–2026), and every fraud-procured act of the chain. [V]

VI.3 — Conversion. *Who violated whom, when:* the seized qui tam draft and flash drive (SacPD, Sept. 21, 2014 — ten hours of access, the investigation never returned); the ripped personal calendar and extorted property (Steinhart/UC Davis, 2005); the stolen Crossfire Hurricane binder (Trump and Meadows, 2020–2021 — the government's property, the relator's investigation's fruit). Whom: the relator; the government. [U — dictated; the binder per the public record]

VI.4 — Trespass to land (and to chattels). *Who violated whom, when:* the 2013–2014 office break-in and the 2014 home break-in (the Russian-linked break-in set the record carries) — against the relator's premises and his materials. When: 2013–2014. [U — dictated]

VI.5 — Defamation per se and false light. The common-law layer beneath IV.17: the July 21, 2004 article's per se classes (the profession-holding imputations; the "clown" and "guaranteed to raise hell" framings the record quotes), the online spread (2004+), and Kaiser's preemptive-defamation falsifications (2023–2026) — against the relator's reputation and livelihood. Who/whom/when as IV.17. [V on the article; U on the deployment]

VI.6 — Tortious interference with prospective economic advantage. *Who violated whom, when:* the blacklisting channel that intercepted every employment approach (2004–2016 — the record's "blacklisted from all gainful employment"); the UOP run-out (the record's 2014 termination and the second, cyberattack-driven exit, 2013–2016); the Theranos reaches against the relator's wife (the recruitment attempts of 2011 and 2013, each in the months Epstein met Kissinger — interference with the family's economic relations). Who: the channel's operators; the UOP-period attackers; the Theranos recruitment set. Whom: the relator and his family. When: 2004–2016; 2011 and 2013. [U — dictated]

VI.7 — Intentional infliction of emotional distress. The canvas's own words — the conduct that would "intentionally inflict severe emotional distress": the Sept. 21, 2014 torture; the execution debate; the decade of death threats over the family home; the father, brother, and wife channels. Who: the agency network and the conspirator set. Whom: the relator and his family. When: 2014–2026. [U — dictated]

VI.8 — Civil conspiracy (the hub-and-spoke wheel). §3's rule as a cause of action: each spoke liable for the wheel — the one conspiracy that makes the whole roster answerable for the whole harm. Who: the roster of §§1–8. Whom: the victim class. When: 2002–present. [RI; each anchor at its tier]

VI.9 — Malicious prosecution and abuse of process. *Who violated whom, when:* the 2014–2016 perjured prosecution (process used for the retaliation purpose — to bury the qui tam) — who: SacPD and the DA channel; whom: the relator. And the retribution pattern's lawfare (the "grand conspiracy" prosecutions diGenova exited as evidence-less, Sept. 10, 2026) — who: the DOJ prosecution channel; whom: the prosecuted investigators and enemies. When: 2014–2016; 2025–2026. [U/V respectively]

VI.10 — Breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. *Who violated whom, when:* every termination the record carries (Kelly/Roche 2002; Jackson Lab 2004; UC Davis 2005; the 2014 UOP termination) — the covenant breached in the retaliation's exercise of the employment contract's powers; and §2's frame: the oath as the agreement, its covenant breached by the officers whose pretended official capacity is the covenant's breach. Whom: the relator; the government and the people. When: 2002–present. [U/RI]

VI.11 — Unjust enrichment. *Who violated whom, when:* the conspiracy's takings without right — the wages, the property, the destroyed career, the patent family the record carries as attacked (the timeline's Microsoft/Amazon/GoDaddy line, 2024+), the diverted government funds — enrichment at the relator's, the taxpayers', and the government's expense. Who: the enriched set the record carries. When: 2002–present. [U — dictated]

VI.12 — The estoppel family — concealment estoppel; venire contra factum proprium. Carried at §3 and §7.7: the wrongdoer estopped from pleading the limitation his own fraud kept alive; no one permitted to take contrary to his own deed — the doctrines that bind the concealment's beneficiaries to the remedies' clock. Who/whom/when as the mapped entries. [RI; V on the doctrines]

VI.13 — Nemo iudex in causa sua; audi alteram partem (natural justice). The maxims of §1's opening: no one judge of his own cause; hear the other side. *Who violated whom, when:* the de facto

judges sitting in their own matters — Cannon (her appointer's prosecution), Thomas (his benefactor's and his own), the GOP six (their appointers' causes), the Eleventh Circuit under its disqualified Circuit Justice — refusing the other side's evidence (the §1 opening's Latin question carries it verbatim). Whom: every party before them, the relator and the government included. When: 2023–present. [RI — dictated; V on the recited maxim]

The register's honest-notes block

1. **The register adds no new facts.** Every who/whom/when above is an anchor already carried at its tier on this canvas; the register's work is specification — the provisions named by code and clause, the violator, the victim within the class, and the time — not addition.
2. **The instruments' binding status is carried honestly.** ICCPR: ratified 1992, declared non-self-executing — the domestic entries are the vehicle. CAT: ratified 1994 with reservations tied to the §§ 2340–2340A definition. CRC: signed Feb. 16, 1995, never ratified — VCLT art. 18's object-and-purpose standard only. OPSC: ratified May 23, 2002 — binding. Palermo Trafficking Protocol: ratified Dec. 2005; the parent Convention signed but unratified. ECHR: binds the UK only — the class's UK/Commonwealth members' rights and the UK's own duties, not a claim against US actors. Rome Statute: the United States not a party — the art. 7 entry conditional [RI].
3. **The [U] tier governs the relator's own record.** The entrapment, the torture, the seizure, the stalking decade, the Kaiser falsifications, the extortions, the kidnapping — all the relator's dictated and log records, allegations every one, none adjudicated in his favor as yet; the voiding chain (§1, tool 40) is his thesis. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; the negatives (the Kravis EFTA absence; the Sarkozy channel-only record; the fintech cluster; Taxes for Expats) are recorded, never counter-argued.
4. **The provisions dropped in verification, honestly.** The § 1591a form does not verify as enacted text; § 1591(d) — obstruction of § 1591 enforcement, up to 25 years — is carried instead (II.2). 18 U.S.C. § 594 was not carried as a voter-intimidation provision; § 245(b), 52 U.S.C. § 10101(b), and § 10307(b) are carried instead (II.17).
5. **The canvas's own gaps, flagged and not filled by invention.** The Rocklin property-taking record (the physical and regulatory taking of the agricultural land — the access, flooding, fences, cattle, dumping, the city's acknowledged-then-unpaid demand, the map/GIS obstruction, the selective fire-code enforcement) is a standing Knowledge record not yet marshaled on this canvas; its provisions — the Fifth Amendment Takings Clause, *Cedar Point Nursery*, Cal. Const. art. I, § 19, and the inverse-condemnation line — await that marshaling, and this register does not pre-empt it. The same is true of the health-log record (the spinal conditions' ongoing course), carried here only as the torture event's nexus line. The register is the full list of what this canvas expresses and implies — the canvas's silences are named, not conjured.
6. **The timing objection is answered by §3, not waived.** Statutes of limitation run against discoverable wrongs; a conspiracy whose design is concealment (the §3 perpetuity doctrine; IV.28's tolling; VI.12's estoppels) keeps every entry above alive until the conspiracy ends — for a conspiracy in perpetuity, the remedy is available in perpetuity.

Sources added with the full violations-and-rights register (Nov. 4, 2026 — the relator's directive to complete the existing violations layer with the full specified list, examined across the whole canvas). The provisions verified by search this pass: Cal. Gov't Code § 12653 (the CFCA retaliation

provision, within §§ 12650–12656); 18 U.S.C. § 1591(d) (obstruction of § 1591 enforcement, up to 25 years — carried in place of the unverifiable § 1591a); Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1050–1052 and 1054 (blacklisting misdemeanor and treble damages); 26 U.S.C. § 7214(a)(3) and (a)(8) (revenue-officer offenses: intent to defeat the title; failure to report fraud). The ratification and status records: ICCPR (US ratification 1992 with the non-self-executing declaration); CAT (1994, with reservations); CRC (signed Feb. 16, 1995; unratified); OPSC (US ratification May 23, 2002); the Palermo Trafficking Protocol (US ratification Dec. 2005); the Rome Statute (US non-party); VCLT art. 18. The doctrine and maxim set: Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); Giglio, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); Napue, 360 U.S. 264 (1959); Boykin, 395 U.S. 238 (1969); Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742 (1970); Sorrells, 287 U.S. 435 (1932); Jacobson, 503 U.S. 540 (1992); Hazel-Atlas, 322 U.S. 237 (1944); Rantsev v. Cyprus (ECtHR 2010); Thompson v. North American Stainless, 562 U.S. 170 (2011), per the Oct. 28, 2026 citation correction; the Nuremberg Code (1947) and Declaration of Helsinki (1964, rev. 2013); Canadian Criminal Code §§ 380, 346, 465. The who/whom/when anchors: every one drawn from this canvas's own carried record — §§1–8 and Appendixes A–B, at the tiers each anchor already carries, cross-referenced entry by entry above. Tier discipline as before: [V] verified, [S] summarized record, [U] the relator's dictated record, [RI] the relator's dictated interpretation; allegations remain allegations; inclusion ≠ wrongdoing; no invented quotes; negatives recorded, never counter-argued; the honest notes (the dropped provisions; the canvas's own gaps; the non-self-executing instruments) carried in the block above rather than papered over.

Appendix C — The network tree — each branch explained

Where you are: the third map. Three branches, read top to bottom. Each indent below is a connection the record supplies — an appointment, a financing, a founding, or a dated event — and each branch ends with the rule it shows. Inclusion ≠ wrongdoing throughout; each anchor is tiered where it is marshaled in Sections 2–4.

- **Branch 1 — The appointment line: how the offices were filled (§4).** Follow the appointments: each line is a president whose own record disqualified him, and each indent the judge he placed — the judge who would decide the next link's fate.
    - Nixon — the 1968 Chennault affair; the 1974 resignation; the Ford pardon
        - → Rehnquist (appointed 1971; elevated Chief 1986)
    - Reagan and GHW Bush — Iran-Contra; the Christmas 1992 pardons
        - → O'Connor, Scalia, Kennedy (Reagan); Souter and Thomas (GHW Bush, 1990–91)
    - → *Bush v. Gore* (2000) — five majority votes, all from Nixon, Reagan, or GHW-Bush appointees: the disqualified hands deciding the presidency
        - → GW Bush → Roberts (2005) and Alito (2006) — the seats born of the void
            - → Trump → Gorsuch (2017), Kavanaugh (2018), Barrett (2020) — with Thomas, the immunity-era majority of July 1, 2024
                - → Cannon — appointed by the disqualified appointer; the July 15, 2024 dismissal; the Volume II seals
    - The rule the branch shows: every layer was appointed by a hand the prior layer disqualified — so §1's voiding tools (*Norton*; 5 U.S.C. § 3348(d)) make each layer's acts voidable, and §3's *Pinkerton* rule makes each layer liable for the next.

- **Branch 2 — The financing and network layer: who paid for the machine (§4).** Follow the money and the platforms: each indent is a financing or founding connection, per the DOJ EFTA files and the settled record.
    - Epstein himself — the black books; the DOJ EFTA production; "Putin's wealth manager" per the settled research record
        - → The Edge Foundation — financed by Epstein and Leon Black, per the DOJ EFTA files themselves
            - → Cambridge Analytica / SCL — the Mercer family; Bannon as Trump's campaign manager with Bossie; the Manafort/GRU/FSB coordination
            - → The platforms — Google (Brin, Page); Microsoft (Gates); Palantir (Thiel); Facebook (Zuckerberg); Twitter/X (Musk); Valar; Carbyne; Yahoo (Mayer, Leon Black); LinkedIn (Hoffman)
            - → The FIVE EYES layer — the post-2016 authority over the intelligence-gathering-and-sharing complex
    - Kissinger — the black book (p. 31); 534 corpus documents; the Theranos board; the 9/11 Commission chair who resigned rather than disclose the client list
    - The UK/EU royals — with the Trump family, the two largest families in the black books; the NXIVM overlap (Branson, the Mack family, the Bronfman family, the EU/Yugoslav royals)
    - Trump — Manafort and Stone as business partners, Trump one of their first clients; the 2016 "engineering" (Cohen/Sater)
    - The rule the branch shows: one financing network sits under both the appointments of Branch 1 and the platforms of the election layer — which is why the relator's draft naming these networks (Branch 3) was a record worth seizing.
- **Branch 3 — The relator's bridge: the documented record (§4).** Follow the relator's own record: each indent is a dated event, and each event answers the one before it.
    - 2002–04 — the Roche/Kelly whistleblower years → the July 21, 2004 East Bay Express coverup → driven out to Sacramento
    - 2012 — the blog; Dec. 2012 — the Edge Foundation meeting
    - Jan. 2013 — the orbiters documented
    - Oct. 20, 2013 — the cyberattack; the 2013 qui tam (the $40B+ claim)
    - Sept. 21, 2014 — the seizure of the draft (the 13-item target list)
    - 2014–16 — the entrapment window; the Fomitchev/Alfa-Bank approach, refused; Paul Alain Martin after 2016
    - The family channels — the father (the medals and the royals); the brother (the Bohemian Club)
    - Aug. 26 / Aug. 29, 2024 — the deliveries → Oct. 10, 2026: the Report missing from the corpus
    - The rule the branch shows: the draft named the networks of Branches 1 and 2 before the

public record reached them; the deliveries brought that record to DOJ; and the missing Report (§6) is the concealment that Appendix B's last entry — the law's answer — exists to defeat.