

[Index](#)    [The Big Pictures](#)    [Ongoing Investigations](#)

[Crossfire Hurricane Origins](#)    [Epstein's "Commonwealth"](#)

[Epstein's Black Book](#)    [Christian Constitutionalism](#)    [Imperial Cults](#)

[Antisocial Syndicates](#)    [Illegitimate Power](#)    [Active Measures](#)

[Engineering Malthus](#)    [Hypothalamus News](#)    [African Mutterland](#)

[AI Fact Check](#)    [American Injustice](#)    [Terror Log](#)

[Heroes and Zeroes](#)    [Performance Theory](#)    [Humanity Incorporated](#)


[About UPR News](#)    [Revelation](#)    [Patriotic Litigation](#)    [2026 Archives](#)

[2025 Archives](#)    [2024 Archive](#)    [2023 Archive](#)    [Sitemap](#)

[Contact Us](#)

An illegitimate SCOTUS, installed by the GOP's Iran Contra, U.S. Elections 2000, 9/11, and/or Trump-Russia 2016 treason conspiracies prove there is more than one spoke being overtly furthered to overthrow the United States to give aid, comfort, and adhere to America's enemies.

Published on 24 October 2023 at 11:02



As *UpRights News*, *Common Dreams*, and *The Hartmann Report* have respectively, and/or collectively proven, an illegitimate GOP SCOTUS majority, financed into power by Jeffrey Epstein's crime syndicate, is now using the power its illegitimate justices are usurping, by using the law unlawfully, to overthrow the United States in an additional ongoing conspiracy spoke - using lawfare to overthrow the United States to give aid, comfort, and/or to aid enemies of the United States, specifically Trump, the GOP, Russia, 9/11's Saudis, Iran, Hamas, Hezbollah, ISIS, North Korea, the Taliban, and/or Jeffrey Epstein's organized crime syndicate, merged with the organized crime syndicates of NXIVM and Hamish Ogston.

Links to many of these articles are found below the following *Common Dreams* reporting on the ongoing gerrymandering spoke of the ongoing conspiracy by Jeffrey Epstein's crime syndicate, the GOP, Russia, and the Saudis to overthrow the United States to keep their proxies, enemies of the United States, in power over the justice system to allow them to not just continue their ongoing organized crimes and expand the scope of the same, but to evade historical, criminal, and civil accountability, investigations, prosecutions, lawsuits, seizure of their criminal assets, and/or to evade the death penalty for some of their crimes.

As reported by Miles Mohuaescu of *Common Dreams* 10/24/2023, "The Court may be ready to scuttle remaining portions of the Voting Rights Act by giving states the

ability to racially gerrymander by claiming that they're only engaging in partisan gerrymandering. It's outrageous.

*"We are witnessing right now a massive and unabashed assault on voting rights unlike anything we've ever seen since the Jim Crow era. This is Jim Crow in new clothes."* —Sen. Raphael Warnock

Were it not for the Republican-dominated U.S. Supreme Court majority, the clown show by the House of Representatives' narrow 5-member Republican majority might never have come about. Instead, there would likely be a slim House Democratic majority, Hakeem Jeffries would be Speaker, there would have been no crisis over raising the debt limit, no danger of the government shutting down in mid-November and aid to Ukraine and Israel would already have passed.

As *Slate* reported a week before the 2022 election, "To a degree that's absolutely unprecedented in modern times, the midterms will be [and were] conducted with illegal maps—all of which were approved or imposed by Republican-controlled courts. Put simply, Republican-appointed judges may have already guaranteed GOP control over the House before a single ballot was cast." *Slate* was proven all too right. We can thank John Roberts and his right-wing SCOTUS colleagues for the chaos in the House.

SCOTUS has incoherently created two conflicting standards for determining whether federal courts can find that gerrymandering abridged the constitutional rights of American citizens. In *Rucho v. Common Cause* (2018) a slim 5-4 Republican majority held that gerrymandering designed to benefit one political party over the other may not be decided by Federal Courts, enabling partisan state legislatures to pick their own voters with no meaningful remedy. At the same time, it still permits Federal courts to overturn gerrymandering done for purposes of racial discrimination. But with African Americans overwhelming voting Democratic, SCOTUS has permitted state legislatures to gerrymander in order to increase their party's legislative and congressional majorities by claiming they're doing it for partisan reasons without any clear standards as to how to tell the difference between partisan gerrymandering and racial gerrymandering.

If SCOTUS rules for South Carolina, it will effectively gut protections against racial gerrymandering by letting states claim that their motivation was party advantage, not racial disadvantage.

As constitutional lawyer David Gans stated, "The bottom line is it would be much harder to bring a racial gerrymandering claim where a state is saying that it sorted voters to achieve a partisan end, even if it used race as a means to do so."

Now SCOTUS is seriously considering making it more difficult to prove in court that a gerrymander was done for racial reasons (which remains illegal) as opposed to partisan reasons (which SCOTUS has ruled may not be challenged in Federal court.)

In *Alexander v. South Carolina Conference of the NAACP*, the South Carolina legislature moved 30,000 black voters out of the Charleston congressional district, thus making it all but certain that Republicans would win the House seat in the district. (Ironically, the District in question elected Republican Nancy Mace, a supporter of Jim Jordan for Speaker). The Federal District Court unanimously ruled that this was an unconstitutional racial gerrymander and “made a mockery of the districting principle of constituent consistency.”

South Carolina appealed to SCOTUS, asserting that this was a partisan gerrymander designed to help Republicans and not a racial gerrymander designed to disenfranchise Black voters.

During oral arguments on October 15, 2023, Republican Justices expressed sympathy for South Carolina’s argument, and may be on the verge of overturning the lower Federal Court and ruling it partisan, not a racial, gerrymander, and thus A-OK. Justice Alito asked 37 questions along these lines, compared to only 28 questions from the other 8 Justices combined. Alito had written in a previous opinion, joined by Roberts, that courts must “presume the good faith” of legislatures in redistricting cases.” To presume that partisan legislatures act “in good faith” when their own political survival is at stake is a laughable conclusion and borders on idiotic.

Chief Justice Roberts stated that the lower court’s ruling is “all resting on circumstantial evidence...[T]hat would be breaking new ground in our voting rights jurisprudence,” seeming to suggest that Roberts is prepared to overturn the lower court’s factual findings (which SCOTUS is not supposed to do) because its decision was allegedly based on circumstantial evidence (which is permissible).

But in deciding whether a gerrymander is partisan or racial, there is rarely smoking gun evidence like the legislature stating directly it was making its redistricting decisions in order to disenfranchise African Americans. If SCOTUS rules for South Carolina, it will effectively gut protections against racial gerrymandering by letting states claim that their motivation was party advantage, not racial disadvantage.

This case shows the absurdity of SCOTUS’ *Rucho* decision that the constitutionality of a partisan gerrymander is non-judicial for Federal Courts, because there are no clear standards to determine if a partisan gerrymander occurred, but there are standards to determine if a racial gerrymander occurred. In this case, South Carolina actually admits

that gerrymandering occurred to help Republicans win more seats, but claims that the gerrymandering could not be questioned by Federal Courts under *Rucho* because it was partisan and not racial.

Much of the oral arguments were consumed with debating how to determine if a gerrymander is racial or partisan. South Carolina's attorney agreed that "race and politics can't be disentangled." But this just demonstrates the incoherence, indeed stupidity, of *Rucho*. Remember that *Rucho* did not find that partisan gerrymandering is constitutional—It merely found that the standards for determining if there is partisan gerrymandering are too unclear for Federal courts to decide one way or the other.

South Carolina is freely admitting to gerrymandering. It is trying to get SCOTUS to force the NAACP to jump through hoops to prove the gerrymander is racial. Legal coherence can only be achieved by SCOTUS revising its *Rucho* holding and finding that where the evidence shows that partisan gerrymandering occurred, or is even openly admitted by defendants, then it's unconstitutional and judiciable. Anything less allows states to lie that their reason for gerrymandering is partisan and not racial and then receive a judicial get-out-of-jail-free card to continue to gerrymander anyway.

Civil rights advocates fought and literally died to get the Voting Rights Act of 1965 enacted. The Roberts Court has scuttled much of that law, ruling in *Shelby County v. Holder* that Section 4 of the Act requiring Justice Department preclearance of changes to voting rights in states with a history of racial discrimination is unconstitutional. Now SCOTUS may be ready to scuttle the rest of the Act by giving states the ability to racially gerrymander by claiming that they're only engaging in partisan gerrymandering.

Republican Supreme Court Justices may wear black robes rather than white robes and pointed hats, but they may be as effective as Klan members in denying African Americans the right to elect their own representatives."

Again, this is just one other spoke in the broader ongoing criminal conspiracy by the GOP, Trump, Russia, the Saudis, and/or the organized crime syndicate of Jeffrey Epstein to "engineer" their proxies into power over criminal investigations, prosecutions, and courts.

The following articles further support that a GOP SCOTUS majority was installed by organized crime, terrorists, traitors, and the crime syndicate of Jeffrey Epstein.

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/illegitimate-power/1519858-a-bohemian-club-member-and-insider-who-has-met-with-harlan-crow-g-w-bush-jeb-bush-h-r-mcmaster-bill->

[barr-and-or-the-late-senator-dianne-feinstein-has-let-uprights-news-staff-know-that-scotus-has-been-bought-and-sold](#)

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/illegitimate-power/1498841> the-hartmann-report-on-the-republican-scotus-selling-out-america-to-the-billionaire-oligarchs-linked-to-jeffrey-epstein-s-david-koch-s-financed-and-russia-s-and-scl-group-s-cambridge-analytica-s-mercere-family-s-financed-federalist-society

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/illegitimate-power/1490206> scotus-6-out-of-9-of-whom-were-hand-picked-by-jeffrey-epstein-s-david-koch-s-and-russia-s-cambridge-analytica-s-mercere-family-s-federalist-society-may-superfund-jeffrey-epstein-s-failed-billionaire-experiment-who-financed-jeffrey-epstein-s-trump-s-and-the-gop-s-treason-with-russia

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/performance-theory/1488825> updated-were-reagan-bush-trump-and-or-gop-presidencies-and-their-changes-to-the-united-states-government-including-6-9-scotus-justices-legitimate-or-not-and-did-they-engage-in-illegitimate-rico-obstructive-pardons-laws-and-rulings-but-not-limited-to-the-same-as-a-crime-syndicate

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/epstein-s-commonwealth/1486778> jeffrey-epstein-s-david-koch-whose-federalist-society-installed-6-out-of-9-corrupted-scotus-justices-seeks-to-gut-the-laws-holding-jeffrey-epstein-s-organized-crime-syndicate-responsible-because-of-course

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/1463140> scotus-has-placed-itself-above-congress-the-constitution-and-the-founders

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/performance-theory/1448981> how-long-after-the-declaration-of-independence-did-woman-gain-the-right-to-vote-celebrating-103-years-

[of-self-determination-now-being-threatened-by-toxic-republican-rape-culture-infiltrating-and-usurping-scotus](#)

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/epstein-s-commonwealth/1446247> have-6-9-scotus-justices-been-illegitimately-hand-picked-by-jeffrey-epstein-s-david-koch-s-and-jeffrey-epstein-s-uk-royals-scl-s-cambridge-analytica-s-mercero-family-s-federalist-society-illegitimate-government-violating-laws-to-be-able-to-change-laws-to-keep-themselves-in-power-a-habsburg-homage

<https://www.uprightsnews.com/active-measures/1432905> did-members-of-the-federalist-society-who-hand-picked-the-gop-s-scotus-say-the-law-and-not-the-courts-immediately-disqualified-trump-and-or-others-from-public-office-in-a-self-executing-manner-mashed-potatoes-for-donnie-urine-mints



U.P.R. News Legal Disclaimer: Every person, family, organization, interorganization, agency, taskforce, country and/or proxy, expressed and/or implied of any wrongdoing in any of our published, distributed, and/or edited investigative journalism articles (researched speculative art opinions, expressions of speech, and religious expressions), is innocent of, and not liable for, any of the allegations until a legitimate court and/or law specify otherwise. Similarly, no perceived mischaracterization of any statement in any article we publish is true or false until a court specifies otherwise, and in such case we reserve the right to retract, correct, and/or publish a new article to correct any misunderstanding as the accuracy of any claim with new information we can report upon. Uprights News tests the truth with the facts we can find, and we understand that more facts may change our understanding of that perceived truth at a later date. Uprights News tests the truth by asking questions, and we try our best to find information that answers those questions. We also use obstructive A.I. linked to Epstein in some of our articles, whose "shared disclaimers" specify they (likely provably intentionally) make mistakes. All of our statements and articles should be treated as opinions or questions in the manner, "what if this allegation/fact is true, then is this allegation/fact also true?", even if those questions are expressed as opinions instead of as questions. This is also a media lab, where we experiment with and test our understanding of the truth in a cumulative manner. U.P.R. News and the content we publish should be considered like public investigators thinking out loud based on the evidence they have to protect the public. Everything we publish should separately be considered word art,

religious art, cognitive behavioral therapy exercises by a victim of violent crime kidnapped, entrapped, and tortured under color of law then abandoned and targeted by 'justice' for 1st and 4th Amendment rights and whistleblower rights violations by them in conspiracy with treasonous child sex traffickers involved in war and murder crimes against children, but this is also hyperbole, sarcasm, a cry for justice by an artist trying to protect the U.S. Constitution from failing, but is also reflective, debate, allusion, allegory, hypotheses, comedy, tragedy, recanted and/or evolving testimony based on new discoveries, 1st Amendment art, media art, modern art, and/or performance art and/or separately our articles and ideas and symbols are thought experiments, conjecture, openly speculative, published openly to not appear to be plotting unlawfully against any party or government, researched, balanced, credible (supported by Special Counsels John Durham, and Jack Smith's "evidence beyond a reasonable doubt" of disqualifying crimes, as well as by many Congressional investigations), and separately are exercises in the freedom of religion and the exploration of worldviews. All of our statements and articles we publish may separately be based on reasonable inference. We are not the ultimate deciders of what is true or not true, but rather, God, the law, an open journal documenting provable harm, credible and qualified governments not behaving badly nor in bad faith nor in a moot manner, and/or readers of our articles, who are free to read here or not, are free to decide what they believe is true or not true, based on their own additional research. Per Nietzsche, because it is true and it is false that it is good and it is evil when one animal kills another and eats it dead, the truth and harm can often be difficult to agree upon. Accordingly, Uprights News indemnifies all of its owners and contributors to all of its articles and for all of the content across all of the Uprights News website forever, from the beginning of time to the present and forth. Anyone who reads or receives any of the content of any of our articles agrees to and understands this disclaimer, and if not, they should ask an adult who reads to explain it to them. Anyone who reads any content at Uprights News fully indemnifies all contributors and owners to any article at UPR News as a precondition for any use, from the beginning of time forth. Every article we publish needs to be considered with every other article, and/or our supporting research, and/or based on your own research, as not to take any single article or claim out of context, and anyone using any of our copyrighted work or the work of others at Uprights News agrees to not to use nor consider any single article, or any part thereof, out of context of any other article or claim at Uprights News, because our articles and supporting research build on one another in an overlapping manner that form a deconstructed information puzzle and work of art. Uprights News is self-funded and/or advised by ex-military, ex-intel, and ex-law enforcement, who have been insiders, victims, and/or investigators of the Trump, GOP, Dems, Russians, and crime syndicate of Jeffrey Epstein, and thus we are an independent media organization that can't be bribed or influence by Jeffrey Epstein's crime syndicate of billionaires and the companies they wield as weapons against the world, and so we reserve the right to change our terms of service at any time. Accepting and agreeing to all of the above terms and herein are a precondition for using this website and any of its content in any manner, and everyone using this website must agree to all of these terms to use any of the same. Art like Uprights News is subjective and may or should result in different and/or competing opinions in a free society. All of this is hypothesizing out loud, and we welcome collaboration and correction requests, but our email systems have been overwhelmed by others, flooding our inbox beyond capacity. All of this is also a 1st Amendment expression by victims of violent crimes linked to Jeffrey Epstein. This is also a front channel to the US government in a bid to protect the same from its criminal element linked to the RICO obstruction of justice for the USA by its treasonous child sex trafficking element. This is also a public interest research project and the brainstorming for the same, testing 'the truth' daily, proven by our record of correcting the record with new information. This is also collectively an act of conscience against treasonous child sex traffickers engaged in acts of various types of war against children, the United States, and other countries, which we want to protect the United States from, believing them -- based on enormous evidence -- to be usurpers, anti-capitalist, anti-Christian, and privatized billionaire socialists sharing resources (taxpayer funds) operating a major RICO fraud as government contractors, trying to bring socialism back to America, which we will

defend against here as is the duty of free press, per American traditional values, and per our sworn oath(s) to defend against all of the same.